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## SUMMARY TABLE OF FINDINGS

Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

| Topic | MICS indicator number | MDG <br> indicator number | Indicator | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |
| Breastfeeding | 45 |  | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | 35.6 percent |
|  | 15 |  | Exclusive breastfeeding rate | 37.4 percent |
|  | 16 |  | Continued breastfeeding rate |  |
|  |  |  | at 12-15 months | 95.4 percent |
|  |  |  | at 20-23 months | 89.2 percent |
|  | 17 |  | Timely complementary feeding rate | 51.7 percent |
|  | 18 |  | Frequency of complementary feeding | 48.0 percent |
|  | 19 |  | Adequately fed infants | 43.7 percent |
| Salt iodization | 41 |  | lodized salt consumption | 84.3 percent |
| Vitamin A | 42 |  | Vitamin A supplementation (under-fives) | 89.2 percent |
|  | 43 |  | Vitamin A supplementation (post-partum mothers) | 17.2 percent |
| CHILD HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Immunization | 25 |  | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | 97.0 percent |
|  | 26 |  | DPT immunization coverage | 90.1 percent |
|  | 27 |  | Polio immunization coverage | 95.6 percent |
|  | 28 | 15 | Measles immunization coverage | 87.5 percent |
|  | 31 |  | Fully immunized children | 84.0 percent |
|  | 29 |  | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | 43.6 percent |
| Tetanus toxoid | 32 |  | Neonatal tetanus protection | 89.6 Percent |
| Care of illness | 33 |  | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | 70.1 percent |
|  | 34 |  | Home management of diarrhoea | 27.7 percent |
|  | 35 |  | Received ORT or increased fluids, and continued feeding | 48.9 percent |
|  | 23 |  | Care seeking for suspected pneumonia | 30.1 percent |
|  | 22 |  | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 21.5 percent |
| Solid fuel use | 24 | 29 | Solid fuels (households using solid fuels) | 87.6 percent |
| ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Water and sanitation | 11 | 30 | Use of improved drinking water sources | 97.6 percent |
|  | 13 |  | Water treatment | 7.4 percent |
|  | 12 | 31 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | 39.2 percent |
|  | 14 |  | Disposal of child's faeces | 22.5 percent |
| Security of tenure and durability of housing | 93 |  | Security of tenure | 36.4 percent |
|  | 94 |  | Durability of housing | 7.9 percent |
|  | 95 | 32 | Slum household (having at least one slum condition) | 74.0 percent |


| Topic | MICS <br> indicator number | MDG <br> indicator number | Indicator | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Maternal and newborn health | 20 |  | Antenatal care | 47.7 percent |
|  | 44 |  | Content of antenatal care |  |
|  |  |  | Blood test taken | 24.5 percent |
|  |  |  | Blood pressure measured | 46.2 percent |
|  |  |  | Urine specimen taken | 30.1 percent |
|  |  |  | Weight measured | 45.1 percent |
|  | 4 | 17 | Skilled attendant at delivery | 20.1 percent |
|  | 5 |  | Institutional deliveries | 16.0 percent |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Child development | 46 |  | Support for learning | 47.5 Percent |
|  | 47 |  | Father's support for learning | 50.3 percent |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 52 |  | Pre-school attendance | 14.6 percent |
|  | 53 |  | School readiness | 32.0 percent |
|  | 54 |  | Net intake rate in primary education | 67.4 percent |
|  | 55 | 6 | Net primary school attendance rate | 81.3 percent |
|  | 56 |  | Net secondary school attendance rate | 38.8 percent |
|  | 58 |  | Transition rate to secondary school | 89.1 percent |
|  | 59 | 7b | Primary completion rate | 46.7 percent |
|  | 61 | 9 | Gender parity index |  |
|  |  |  | primary school | 1.06 ratio |
|  |  |  | secondary school | 1.14 ratio |
| Literacy | 60 | 8 | Adult literacy rate (female, 15-24 year-olds) | 69.9 percent |
| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |
| Birth registration | 62 |  | Birth registration | 9.8 percent |
| Child labour | 71 |  | Child labour | 12.8 percent |
|  | 72 |  | Labourer students | 54.9 percent |
|  | 73 |  | Student labourers | 9.2 percent |
| Early marriage and polygyny | 67 |  | Marriage before age 15 | 33.1 percent |
|  |  |  | Marriage before age 18 | 74.0 percent |
|  | 68 |  | Young women aged 15-19 currently married/in union | 41.9 percent |
|  | 69 |  | Spousal age difference (10+ years) |  |
|  |  |  | Women aged 15-19 | 31.8 percent |
|  |  |  | Women aged 20-24 | 36.2 percent |
| Disability | 101 |  | Child disability (at least one reported disability) | 17.5 Percent |
| HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR, AND ORPHANED AND VULNERABLE CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |
| HIVIAIDS knowledge and attitudes | 82 | 19b | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people (female) | 15.8 percent |
|  | 89 |  | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 47.8 percent |
| Support to orphaned and vulnerable children | 75 |  | Prevalence of orphans | 5.8 percent |
|  | 78 |  | Children's living arrangements (not living with a bioloigical parent) | 5.5 percent |
|  | 77 | 20 | School attendance of orphans versus non-orphans | 0.84 ratio |
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## FOREWORD

I am very glad to know that Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics is going to publish the final report of the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS), 2006.

MICS is an international household survey undertaking initiated by UNICEF. Bangladesh has been conducting MICS since 1993 and the last one was conducted between June and October 2006. The Bangladesh MICS report is published in a document called "Progotir Pathey" (Road to Progress). MICS provides valuable information on the situation of children and women in Bangladesh and is used for monitoring the progress of the goals and targets of the Millennium Declaration adopted by all 191 United Nations member states in September 2001 and the Plan of Action of World Fit for Children adopted by 189 Member states at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. It may be mentioned that over the years, the content and coverage of MICS increased, MICS is the largest survey undertaking of BBS which generates indicators down to the district level.

I express my sincere gratitude to UNICEF for providing technical and financial support to BBS for conducting the survey and publishing the report. My thanks are also due to Mr. A Y M Ekramul Hoque, Director General BBS and Mr. Md. Shamsul Alam, Project Director, Monitoring the Situation of Children and Women (MSCW) and his colleagues for conducting the survey and bringing out the final report within the shortest possible time. The local consulting firm "Mitra and Associates" also deserves special appreciation for field data collection and data entry.

Suggestions and comments for improving the survey and report are most welcomed.

October 2007


## PREFACE

The Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics has been conducting the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey since 1993 with the technical support of UNICEF. MICS 2006 was conducted during June through October 2006. The MICS 2006 is the ninth survey conducted in Bangladesh. This final report is based on the MICS 2006. A key findings report was published in June 2007.

Over the years, the indicators and definitions have changed, thus the MICS is now in its third version (MICS3). More than 50 countries conducted the MICS3 in 2005-2006, which was monitored and coordinated by the global MICS team at UNICEF headquarters.

MICS 2006 was conducted in 1,950 Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) and covered as many as 62,463 households throghout the country. For sampling purpose, the whole country was divided into five strata, namely municipal, city corporation, rural, slum and tribal areas. The number of PSUs was 384 in municipal areas, 156 in city corporations, 1,280 in rural areas, 52 in slums and 78 in tribal areas. Each PSU was an enumeration area of population Census 2001 and comprising around 100 households. From each PSU 35 households were selected systematically for enumeration.

The data collection and entry was done by the local consulting firm, Mitra and Associates, with close supervision and guidance from the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. The report is prepared by a team led by the Project Director, Monitoring the Situation of Children and Women (MSCW) Project, Mr. Md. Shamsul Alam. Dr. Nawshad Ahmed, Planning Officer, Ms. Deqa Ibrahim Musa, Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist, Ms. Misaki Ueda, Chief Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Section of UNICEF provided technical support for preparing this report. Messrs. Alamgir Hossain, Mizanur Rahman Khandaker and Ms. Delwara Begum, Statistical Officers of BBS also helped in the preparation of the report. Mr. S. M. Anwar Husain, Statistical Assistant with the MSCW Project handled the tabulations and data processing. All of them deserve special thanks. The members of the technical committee also provided valuable inputs towards finalizing this report.

Special thanks are also due to the MICS global team at UNICEF New York, Mr. Attila Hancioglu, Ms. Emma Holmberg, Ms. Rhiannon James and Mr. Ngagne Diakhate, for their independent review and validation of the survey findings.

The report covers a wide range of issues pertaining to child health and nutrition, reproductive health, child development, child protection, early marriage, orphanhood and child disability. It is presented in two volumes: Volume I is the full technical report, while Volume II presents the district data.

We hope the findings of this report will be very useful to the planners, researchers and policy makers of different institutions for developing appropriate measures to improve the lives of children and women in Bangladesh.

October 2007


## MAP OF BANGLADESH

Map of Bangladesh showing the location and number of sample areas


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics conducted the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) between June and October 2006. The main objective of the survey was to provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in Bangladesh. The survey also aimed at furnishing data needed for monitoring progress towards goals established by the MDGs, the goals of A World Fit For Children, and other internationally agreed upon goals, as a basis for future action; as well as contributing to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in Bangladesh and strengthening technical expertise in the design, implementation, and analysis of such systems. A total of 62,463 households were surveyed. Questionnaires were completed for 1) households, 2) women aged 15-49 and 3) mothers or caretakers of under-five children.

## Breastfeeding

Nationally, 37.4 percent of children aged less than six months were being exclusively breastfed, a level considerably lower than recommended. At age 6-9 months, 51.7 percent of children were receiving breast milk and solid or mushy food. By age 12-15 months, 95.4 percent of children were still being breastfed and by age 20-23 months, 89.2 percent were still breastfed. Girls were more likely to be exclusively breastfed than boys. More girls than boys also received timely complementary feeding.

## Salt iodization

In the interviewed households, salt used for cooking was tested for iodine content using an iodine testing solution. The iodine testing solution is a quantitative test and cannot detect whether the salt is adequately iodized or not. The MICS 2006 found that in 84.3 percent of Bangladeshi households salt was found to contain 10 parts per million (PPM) or more of iodine. There is a 10 percent variation between urban and rural areas in the consumption of iodized salt, while it was greater between the richest and poorest households at about 20 percent.

## Vitamin A supplementation

Vitamin A supplementation of children aged 9-59 months within the six months prior to the survey stands at 89.2. On the other hand, only 17.2 percent of mothers with a birth in the previous two years before the MICS received a Vitamin A supplement within eight weeks of the birth. There is significant rural-urban variation in Vitamin A supplementation of women with 15 percent in rural areas and 28.1 percent in City Corporations.

## Immunization

In Bangladesh 84 percent of children aged 12-23 months are fully immunized. Dropouts are seen but are not so marked for the series of DPT and Polio immunizations. DPT immunization coverage
declines from 96.6 percent in the first dose to 90.1 percent by the third dose. Similarly, Polio coverage falls from 99.1 percent in Polio 1 to 95.6 percent by Polio 3. Measles immunization coverage is lower than the other antigens at 87.5 percent.

## Oral rehydration treatment

Nationally, 7.1 percent of under five children had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey. The incidence of diarrhoea is higher in boys than girls. Several districts, namely, Bhola, Bandarban, Jamalpur and Lalmonirhat had recorded above 12 percent rate of diarrhoea. The peak of diarrhoea prevalence occurs in the weaning period, among children age 6-23 months. The incidence was 11.1 percent in the age group 6-11 months and 10.1 percent in the age group 12-23 months. ORT use rate nationally was 70.1 percent.

More than one third ( 41.1 percent) of under five children with diarrhoea drank more than usual, while 58.2 percent drank the same or less. Slightly more than sixty-six percent ate somewhat less, the same or more (continued feeding), but 33.2 percent ate much less or ate almost none. Given these figures, 48.9 percent children received increased fluids and at the same time continued feeding. About 27.7 percent of households practiced home management of diarrhoea.

## Care seeking and antibiotic treatment of pneumonia

Nationally, 5.3 percent of children aged 0-59 months were reported to have had symptoms of pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey. Of these children, 30.1 percent were taken to an appropriate health care provider. Only 21.5 percent of under- 5 children with suspected pneumonia had received an antibiotic during the two weeks prior to the survey. Overall, 15.7 percent of women know of the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and difficult breathing. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility was fever ( 82.7 percent).

## Water and sanitation

Overall, 97.6 percent of the population had access to improved drinking water sources - 99.2 percent in urban areas and 97.1 percent in rural areas.

Only 39.2 percent of the population of Bangladesh lived in households using improved sanitation facilities. This percentage is 68.8 percent in City Corporations, 57.8 percent in urban areas and 31.9 percent in rural areas. Only 22.5 percent of mother's/caretakers dispose of their child's faeces safely.

## Security of tenure

In urban areas, more than one third ( 35.1 percent) of households did not have formal documentation for the residence, and 7.9 percent of respondents to the household questionnaire indicated that there is a risk of eviction. Combining these figures, it is observed that 36.4 percent of households do not have security of tenure. In urban slums, the situation was the worst with 89.4 percent of households not having formal documentation for the residence, and 24.7 percent of households respondents believed there was a risk of eviction.

## Antenatal care

Coverage of antenatal care (by a doctor, nurse, or midwife) is relatively low in Bangladesh with 47.7 percent of women receiving antenatal care by a skilled attendant at least once during the pregnancy. The lowest level of antenatal care was in the tribal areas.

## Assistance at delivery

The proportion of births delivered by skilled health personnel is very low in Bangladesh at 20.1 percent. Of these, doctors delivered 15.5 percent while 4.6 percent of the births in the two year's prior to the survey were delivered by a midwife or nurse

## Child development

For almost half ( 47.5 percent) of under-five children surveyed, an adult engaged in more than four activities that promote learning and school readiness during the three days preceding the survey The average number of activities that adults engaged with children was 3.4. Nationally, 8.4 percent of children were living in a household without their natural fathers.

## Pre-school, primary and secondary school attendance

Only 14.6 percent of children aged $36-59$ months attended pre-school. Similarly, secondary school attendance was quite low with about 39 percent of secondary school-age children attending secondary schools. On the other hand primary school attendance was relatively high in Bangladesh with 81.3 percent of primary school age children attending primary school.

## Adult literacy

More than two-thirds (69.9 percent) of Bangladeshi women aged 15-24 years were literate. Variations between geographic areas were noticeably evident with slum areas reporting a literacy rate of 38.2 percent for women in the same age group.

## Birth registration

In Bangladesh birth registration remains very low with only about 10 percent of under-five births having been registered.

## Child labour

Nationally, child labour prevalence was found to be 12.8 percent. Of them, 7.5 percent were working in a family business. There was significant male-female variation in child labour, with 17.5 percent of males and 8.1 percent of females involved in child labour.

## Early marriage

Early marriage is common in Bangladesh: 33.1 percent of women aged 15-49 years were married before their 15th birthday and 74 percent of women aged 20-49 were married before their 18th birthday. There are wide variations between divisions: Rajshahi has the highest rate of marraige before a woman turned 18 (81.4 percent) compared to Sylhet ( 57.6 percent).

## Child disability

Nationally, 17.5 percent of children aged between two and nine years had at least one reported disability.

## Child injury

Injury is one of the leading causes of child death in Bangladesh. The MICS 2006 found 6.5 percent of children under 18 years suffered from some form of injury.

## Knowledge of HIV

Only 15.8 percent of young women ( $15-24$ age group) have comprehensive knowledge of HIV. The level of education and residence were highly associated with knowledge of HIV.

## Orphans and vulnerable children

In Bangladesh, 5.8 percent of the children below 18 years have either one or both parents dead. The proportion of children under 18 years not living with a biological parent was 5.5 percent: 2.9 percent male and and 8.3 percent female.


## ■ INTRODUCTION

## Background

This report is based on the Bangladesh Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2006, conducted by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics in association with Mitra and Associates and funded by UNICEF Bangladesh. The survey provides valuable information on the situation of children and women in Bangladesh, and was based, in large part, on the needs to monitor progress towards goals and targets emanating from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. Both of these commitments build upon promises made by the international community at the 1990 World Summit for Children (WSC).

In signing these international agreements, governments committed themselves to improving conditions for their children and to monitoring progress towards that end. UNICEF was assigned a supporting role in this task (see table below).

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of childfocused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and sub national levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions...." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)

The Plan of Action (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."

Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

By taking active participation in the WSC in 1990, ratifying the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) in 1992, the Optional Protocols in 2000, participating in the preparation of the World Fit for Children (WFFC) and endorsing it in the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002, Bangladesh is a strong supporter of the Global Movement for Children.

Along with the global agenda, a South Asian agenda emerged through the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) summit declarations and regional meetings, with a long-term vision and plans of action. The Colombo Resolution 1992 and Rawalpindi Resolution 1996, form the basis of the regional agenda along with the Declaration of the Eleventh SAARC Summit held in January 2002.

Bangladesh has been responding to its commitment to children through its development programme, policies and legal provisions. The Children Act 1974 and Children Rules 1976 are the principal instruments for establishing child rights in Bangladesh. They are complemented by the Compulsory Primary Education Policy 1990 and other policies related to health, service delivery and more than 40 specific laws protecting the rights and wellbeing of children. The Government formulated a third National Plan of Action (NPA) for Children (2005-2010) to reflect the aims of the Poverty Reduction Strategy (PRS), Health, Nutrition and Population Sector Programme (HNPSP), and second Primary Education Development Programme (PEDP2).

The Government has been keen to create a more comprehensive monitoring system to capture the results for children and women and get an idea about the quality of investment. A strong database is
needed for this. Monitoring progress will ensure greater realization of the rights of children and women. More systematic data collection on selected indicators and impact results will be institutionalized. Surveys like the MICS, Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), Sample Vital Registration System (SVRS) and Child Nutrition Survey will be continued for getting reliable data and situation reports. This will form the basis for learning, consultation, dialogue and Annual Development Programme (ADP) priority selection.

This final report presents the results of the indicators and topics covered in the survey.

## Survey objectives

The following objectives guided the Bangladesh Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2006:

- To provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in Bangladesh;
- To furnish data needed for monitoring progress towards goals established by the MDGs, the goals of A World Fit For Children (WFFC), and other internationally agreed upon goals, as a basis for future action;
- To contribute to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in Bangladesh and to strengthen technical expertise in the design, implementation, and analysis of such systems.



## Sample Design

The sample for the Bangladesh Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) was designed to provide estimates on a large number of indicators on the situation of children and women at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for all six Divisions, six city corporations, 64 Districts, urban slums in two large metropolitan cities and tribal areas. For the sampling purpose the whole country was divided into five strata: municipal, city corporation, rural, slum and tribal area.

Municipal: Those areas where there were municipalities counted in the 2001 Census. The municipal areas declared after the Census were considered as rural areas. The six city corporation areas were not considered under municipal areas.

City Corporation: Also called metro cities are six in number, namely Dhaka, Chittagong, Rajshahi, Khulna, Sylhet and Barisal. Dhaka and Chittagong city corporations are divided into slum and nonslum areas while other city corporations are classified as non-slum areas.

Rural: The whole geographic area of the country, excluding municipal areas and city corporations is considered rural.

Slum: The Slum Survey conducted by BBS in 1997 was used as a sampling frame to select slum areas which are located in Dhaka and Chittagong.

Tribal: Tribal areas were taken from the three divisions of Dhaka, Chittagong and Rajshahi where tribal populations are residing. The mauza/mahallas (lowest administrative unit with a boundary) in these divisions having tribal population were considered as the domain for the selection of the primary sampling units (PSUs) from these areas.

From these strata 1,950 PSUs were selected using the probability proportional to size (PPS) method. PSUs are the enumeration areas of the Census 2001 comprising around 100 households. The number of PSUs was 1,280 from rural areas, 384 from municipalities, 156 from city corporations, 52 from slums and 78 from tribal areas. After a household listing was carried out within the selected enumeration areas, a systematic sample of 35 households was drawn. All the selected enumeration areas were visited during the fieldwork period. The sample was stratified by region and is not selfweighting. For reporting national level results, sample weights were used.

## Questionnaires

MICS 2006 had three questionnaires. These were: 1) household questionnaire, 2) questionnaire for individual women aged $15-49$, and 3 ) questionnaire for under-five children. These questionnaires were prepared following the global questionnaire set for MICS though tailored to the specifics of the Bangladesh context. The questionnaires included the following modules:

- Household questionnaire: This questionnaire included modules for the household information panel, household listing form, education, water and sanitation, households characteristics, security of tenure and durability of housing, child labour, disability, salt iodization, and orphaned and vulnerable children.
- Questionnaire for individual women: Bangladesh included modules for the women's information panel, tetanus toxoid, maternal and newborn health, marriage/union, attitude towards domestic violence, and HIV/AIDS.
- Questionnaire for under-five children: Bangladesh included the modules for under-five child information panel, child development, birth registration and early learning, vitamin A, breastfeeding, care of illness, and immunization.

The questionnaires of MICS 2006 were based on the global format of MICS3 model questionnaire. From the MICS3 model English version, the questionnaires were translated into Bangla and were pre-tested in four sample areas of which two were in rural areas, one in City Corporation and one in the slum area during May 2006. Based on the results of the pre-test, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires.

The questionnaire for under-five children was administered to mothers or caretakers of under-five children ${ }^{1}$ living in the households. Normally, the questionnaire was administered to mothers of underfive children; in cases when the mother was not listed in the household roster, a primary caretaker for the child was identified and interviewed.

In addition to the administration of questionnaires, survey teams tested household salt used for cooking for iodine content. In these tests an iodine testing solution was used to detect whether or not iodine was present in the salt. The iodine testing solution is therefore a quantitative test and cannot detect whether the salt is adequately iodized or not.

The table below gives the list of areas where pre-tests were held before finalizing the questionnaires.

| Area | District | Thana |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rural | Dhaka | Ghior |
| Rural | Narayanganj | Sonargaon |
| Urban | Dhaka SMA | Pallabi |
| Slum | Dhaka | Kamrangirchar |

1 The terms "under-5 children", "children aged 0-4 years", and "children aged 0-59 months" are used interchangeably in this report.

## Training and fieldwork

The field staff were trained for eight days in early June 2006. The data were collected by 32 teams; each comprised of four interviewers and a supervisor. There was one quality control officer for every two teams of enumerators: two female and two male. Field work began on June 20 and concluded on 8 October, 2006.

## Data processing

Data were entered on twelve microcomputers using the CSPro software. In order to ensure quality control, all questionnaires were doubly entered and internal consistency checks were performed. Procedures and standard programs developed under the global MICS3 project and adapted to the Bangladesh questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began simultaneously with data collection in July and finished in December 2006. Data were analysed using the SPSS software program and the model syntax and tabulation plans developed for this purpose.


# ■ SAMPLE COVERAGE AND THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS 

## Sample coverage

Of the 68,247 households selected and tested for the sample, 67,540 were occupied. Of them, 62,463 households were successfully interviewed for a household response rate of 92.5 percent. Within those interviewed households, 78,260 of the eligible females (aged 15-49) were identified. Of them, 69,860 women were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 89.3 percent. The women's response rate was lowest in the slum area and was attributed to non-availability of respondents: eligible women were away working at the time of the interview. The household interviews identified 34,710 under-5 children; questionnaires were completed for 31,566 of them, a response rate of 90.9 percent. Overall, the individual women's questionaire had a response rate of 82.6 percent and the under-5 questionnaire had a rate of 84.1 percent (Table HH.1).

## Characteristics of households

Table HH. 2 shows the age and sex distribution of the surveyed population, as does the population pyramid in Figure HH.1. The average household size was 4.83 persons.

The surveyed population indicates a sex ratio of 102, which is lower than the national sex ratio of 106.6 in the 2001 census. The proportion of the MICS population aged 0-4 year was 11.6 percent ( 11.8 percent male and 11.4 percent female), while the 2001 census reported it larger, at 13.0 percent ( 13.1 percent male and 12.9 percent female). This could indicate fertility reduction in recent years.

The proportion of the MICS population aged 0-14 years was 35.5 percent ( 35.8 percent male and 35.1 percent female), while the 2001 census recorded it again larger, at 39.4 percent, ( 40.1 percent male and 38.6 percent female.

The MICS found a demographic dependency ratio ${ }^{2}$ of 67.2 percent ( 70.0 percent male and 64.2 percent female) compared to the 76.4 percent of the 2001 census 2001 ( 79.5 percent male and 73 percent female).

[^0]Table HH.1: Results of household and individual interviews
The numbers of households, women and under-5 children, by each of the three types of respondent interviews and their response rate, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics | Area |  |  |  |  | Division |  |  |  |  |  | National |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban (Municipality) | Urban non-slum (City corporation) | Urban slum | Tribal | Barisal | Chittagong | Dhaka | Khulna | Rajshahi | Sylhet |  |
| Sampled households | 44797 | 13440 | 5459 | 1820 | 2731 | 6370 | 12740 | 18200 | 10010 | 16378 | 4549 | 68247 |
| Occupied households | 44437 | 13311 | 5357 | 1756 | 2679 | 6306 | 12532 | 17981 | 9954 | 16275 | 4492 | 67540 |
| Interviewed households | 41342 | 12262 | 4851 | 1527 | 2481 | 5825 | 11464 | 16445 | 9318 | 15212 | 4199 | 62463 |
| Household response rate (\%) | 93.0 | 92.1 | 90.6 | 87.0 | 92.6 | 92.4 | 91.5 | 91.5 | 93.6 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 92.5 |
| Eligible women (15-49 years) | 50685 | 16114 | 6756 | 1964 | 2741 | 7065 | 15201 | 20211 | 11411 | 18306 | 6066 | 78260 |
| Interviewed women | 45085 | 14503 | 6157 | 1709 | 2406 | 6280 | 13509 | 17955 | 10288 | 16514 | 5314 | 69860 |
| Women's response rate (\%) | 89.0 | 90.0 | 91.1 | 87.0 | 87.8 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 88.8 | 90.2 | 90.2 | 87.6 | 89.3 |
| Women's overall response rate | 82.8 | 82.9 | 82.5 | 75.7 | 81.3 | 82.1 | 81.3 | 81.2 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 81.9 | 82.6 |
| Eligible children under 5 | 24107 | 6320 | 2250 | 862 | 1171 | 2949 | 7551 | 9080 | 4398 | 7453 | 3279 | 34710 |
| Mother/Caretaker Interviewed | 21813 | 5796 | 2083 | 786 | 1088 | 2672 | 6798 | 8278 | 4041 | 6832 | 2945 | 31566 |
| Under-5 response rate (\%) | 90.5 | 91.7 | 92.6 | 91.2 | 92.9 | 90.6 | 90.0 | 91.2 | 91.9 | 91.7 | 89.8 | 90.9 |
| Under-5 overall response rate (\%) | 84.2 | 84.5 | 83.8 | 79.3 | 86.0 | 83.7 | 82.4 | 83.4 | 86.0 | 85.7 | 84.0 | 84.1 |

Table HH.2: Household population's age distribution, by sex
Percentage distribution of the household population by five-year age groups and dependency age groups, and the number of children aged 0-17 years, by sex, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Sex |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  |  | Female | Percent | No. | Percent | No. | Percent |
| Age | 0-4 | 17924 | 11.8 | 16984 | 11.4 | 34908 | 11.6 |
|  | 5-9 | 18697 | 12.3 | 18228 | 12.2 | 36925 | 12.2 |
|  | 10-14 | 17955 | 11.8 | 17261 | 11.6 | 35216 | 11.7 |
|  | 15-19 | 16437 | 10.8 | 17948 | 12.0 | 34385 | 11.4 |
|  | 20-24 | 12032 | 7.9 | 14706 | 9.8 | 26738 | 8.9 |
|  | 25-29 | 11056 | 7.3 | 12411 | 8.3 | 23467 | 7.8 |
|  | 30-34 | 9485 | 6.2 | 10117 | 6.8 | 19602 | 6.5 |
|  | 35-39 | 10239 | 6.7 | 9637 | 6.4 | 19876 | 6.6 |
|  | 40-44 | 8533 | 5.6 | 7239 | 4.8 | 15772 | 5.2 |
|  | 45-49 | 7688 | 5.0 | 6551 | 4.4 | 14239 | 4.7 |
|  | 50-54 | 5738 | 3.8 | 4741 | 3.2 | 10478 | 3.5 |
|  | 55-59 | 4217 | 2.8 | 3966 | 2.7 | 8184 | 2.7 |
|  | 60-64 | 4092 | 2.7 | 3684 | 2.5 | 7776 | 2.6 |
|  | 65-69 | 2596 | 1.7 | 1937 | 1.3 | 4533 | 1.5 |
|  | 70+ | 5632 | 3.7 | 3995 | 2.7 | 9627 | 3.2 |
|  | Missing/DK | 0 | '(*)' | 4 | '(*)' | 4 | '(*)' |
| Dependency age groups | <15 | 54576 | 35.8 | 52474 | 35.1 | 107050 | 35.5 |
|  | 15-64 | 89517 | 58.8 | 91000 | 60.9 | 180517 | 59.8 |
|  | 65+ | 8228 | 5.4 | 5933 | 4.0 | 14161 | 4.7 |
|  | Missing/DK | 0 | '(*)' | 4 | '(*)' | 4 | '(*)' |
| Age | Children aged 0-17 years | 64406 | 42.3 | 63104 | 42.2 | 127509 | 42.3 |
|  | Adults 18+/Missing/DK | 87916 | 57.7 | 86307 | 57.8 | 174223 | 57.7 |
| Total |  | 152322 | 100.0 | 149410 | 100.0 | 301732 | 100.0 |

[^1]Figure HH.1: Age and sex distribution of household population, Bangladesh, 2006


Table HH. 3 provides basic background information on the surveyed households: Within households, the sex of the household head, division, urban/rural status, number of household members, and religion of the household head are shown in the table. These background characteristics are also used in subsequent tables in this report; the figures in the table are also intended to show the numbers of observations by major categories of analysis in the report.

Table HH.3: Household composition
Percentage distribution of households by selected characteristics, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Weighted percent | No. of households weighted | No. of households unweighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex of household head | Male | 91.0 | 56822 | 56911 |
|  | Female | 9.0 | 5641 | 5552 |
| Division | Barisal | 6.3 | 3909 | 5825 |
|  | Chittagong | 17.6 | 11015 | 11464 |
|  | Dhaka | 32.4 | 20219 | 16445 |
|  | Khulna | 12.0 | 7465 | 9318 |
|  | Rajshahi | 26.3 | 16432 | 15212 |
|  | Sylhet | 5.5 | 3423 | 4199 |
| Area | Rural | 70.0 | 43735 | 41342 |
|  | Urban | 29.0 | 18138 | 18640 |
|  | Urban municipality | 20.7 | 12925 | 12262 |
|  | City Corporations | 8.3 | 5213 | 6378 |
|  | Non-slum | 7.7 | 4793 | 4851 |
|  | Slum | . 7 | 420 | 1527 |
|  | Tribal | . 9 | 590 | 2481 |
| Religion of household head | Islam | 89.1 | 55638 | 52770 |
|  | Hindu | 9.6 | 5993 | 6937 |
|  | Christian | . 4 | 237 | 1111 |
|  | Buddhist | . 9 | 590 | 1634 |
|  | Other/no religion/missing | '(*)' | 5 | 11 |
| Number of household members | 1 | 1.9 | 1209 | 1214 |
|  | 2-3 | 23.1 | 14439 | 14386 |
|  | 4-5 | 45.1 | 28187 | 28246 |
|  | 6-7 | 20.9 | 13035 | 13083 |
|  | 8-9 | 6.0 | 3751 | 3742 |
|  | 10+ | 2.9 | 1841 | 1792 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 62463 | 62463 |

[^2]Table HH.3.1: Household composition
Percentage distribution of households by selected characteristics, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics | Weighted <br> percent | No. of households <br> weighted | No. of households <br> unweighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At least one child aged < 18 years | 85.9 | 62463 | 62463 |
| At least one child aged < 5 years | 44.6 | 62463 | 62463 |
| At least one woman aged 15-49 years | 92.4 | 62463 | 62463 |

## Characteristics of respondents

Tables HH. 4 and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of female respondents aged 15-49 years of age and of under-5 children. In both tables, the total numbers of weighted and un-weighted observations are equal because the sample weights have been standardized. In addition, the table shows the numbers of observations in each background category, which are used in subsequent tabulations throughout this report.

Table HH. 4 includes information on the distribution of women by division, urban-rural area, age, marital status, motherhood status, education ${ }^{4}$, wealth index quintiles ${ }^{5}$, and ethnicity. Of the total women respondents aged 15-49, 67.9 percent lived in a rural area and 31.2 percent in an urban area. Among the urban residents, 21.9 percent lived in a municipality and 9.4 percent in a city corporation. And among the city corporations. 8.7 percent of the women respondents lived in a nonslum area and 0.7 percent lived in a slum.

[^3]Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics
Percentage distribution of women aged 15-49 years by background characteristics, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Weighted | No. of women | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 6.0 | 4172 | 6280 |
|  | Chittagong | 19.1 | 13372 | 13509 |
|  | Dhaka | 32.1 | 22404 | 17955 |
|  | Khulna | 11.6 | 8124 | 10288 |
|  | Rajshahi | 24.9 | 17394 | 16514 |
|  | Sylhet | 6.3 | 4393 | 5314 |
| Area | Rural | 67.9 | 47449 | 45085 |
|  | Urban | 31.2 | 21807 | 22369 |
|  | Urban municipality | 21.9 | 15267 | 14503 |
|  | City Corporations | 9.4 | 6540 | 7866 |
|  | Non-slum | 8.7 | 6067 | 6157 |
|  | Slum | . 7 | 473 | 1709 |
|  | Tribal | . 9 | 604 | 2406 |
| Age | 15-19 | 21.9 | 15284 | 15020 |
|  | 20-24 | 18.1 | 12630 | 12733 |
|  | 25-29 | 16.0 | 11151 | 11160 |
|  | 30-34 | 13.4 | 9376 | 9395 |
|  | 35-39 | 12.7 | 8853 | 8951 |
|  | 40-44 | 9.5 | 6627 | 6673 |
|  | 45-49 | 8.5 | 5939 | 5928 |
| Marital/Union status | Currently married/in union | 78.6 | 54933 | 54830 |
|  | Formerly married/in union | 5.6 | 3915 | 3920 |
|  | Never married/in union | 15.8 | 11012 | 11110 |
| Motherhood status | Ever gave birth | 90.4 | 53175 | 53128 |
|  | Never gave birth | 9.6 | 5673 | 5622 |
| Education | None | 34.1 | 23812 | 23895 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 13.8 | 9669 | 10004 |
|  | Primary completed | 11.9 | 8286 | 8241 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 27.1 | 18917 | 18838 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 12.8 | 8923 | 8663 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | . 4 | 247 | 215 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 6 | 4 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 18.3 | 12818 | 12580 |
|  | Second | 19.1 | 13359 | 13677 |
|  | Middle | 19.8 | 13821 | 14246 |
|  | Fourth | 20.4 | 14241 | 14633 |
|  | Richest | 22.4 | 15622 | 14724 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 69860 | 69860 |

* An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

The largest segment of individual women respondents ( 21.9 percent) were in the youngest age grouping of 15-19 years, followed by those in the 20-24 age group (18.1 percent), the 25-29 age group ( 16 percent), 30-34 age group ( 13.4 percent), $35-39$ age group ( 12.7 percent), $40-44$ age group ( 9.5 percent), and then the $45-49$ year-olds ( 8.5 percent).

A large portion of the women respondents (aged 15-49) were married, at 78.6 percent; 5.6 percent of them were formerly married and 15.8 percent had never married. Slightly more than 90 percent of them had given birth at least once, while 9.6 percent of them had not.

In terms of education, 34.1 percent of them had never been to school, while 13.8 percent had at least spent a few years in primary school (but dropping out). Another 11.9 percent had completed their primary education. Some 27.1 percent of them had not completed secondary school, while 12.8 percent had.

Breaking the individual women respondents down by wealth index, 18.3 percent were the poorest, 19.1 percent were in the second quintile, 19.8 percent were in the middle quintile and 22.4 percent were in the richest quintile.

Regarding the surveyed under-5 children (Table HH.5), 73 percent lived in rural areas, 26.2 percent resided in urban areas and 0.8 percent in the tribal areas. Among the urban residents 19.2 percent were in municipalities, while 7 percent were in city corporations. And within the city corporations, 6.4 percent lived in a non-slum community and 0.7 percent were in a slum.

By age-group breakdown, 7.3 percent of them were younger than 6 months, 10.7 percent were 6-11 months old, 19.1 percent were 12-23 months old, 20 percent were $24-35$ months old, 21.5 percent were $36-47$ months old, and 21.4 percent were $48-59$ months old.

Table HH.5: Children's background characteristics
Percentage distribution of under-5 children by background characteristics, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Weighted | No. of under-5 | No. of under-5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 51.4 | 16222 | 16259 |
|  | Female | 48.6 | 15344 | 15307 |
| Division | Barisal | 5.9 | 1873 | 2672 |
|  | Chittagong | 21.5 | 6797 | 6798 |
|  | Dhaka | 31.5 | 9942 | 8278 |
|  | Khulna | 10.0 | 3148 | 4041 |
|  | Rajshahi | 23.1 | 7284 | 6832 |
|  | Sylhet | 8.0 | 2521 | 2945 |
| Area | Rural | 73.0 | 23034 | 21813 |
|  | Urban | 26.2 | 8280 | 8665 |
|  | Urban municipality | 19.2 | 6061 | 5796 |
|  | City Corporations | 7.0 | 2219 | 2869 |
|  | Non-slum | 6.4 | 2009 | 2083 |
|  | Slum | . 7 | 210 | 786 |
|  | Tribal | . 8 | 253 | 1088 |
| Age | < 6 months | 7.3 | 2302 | 2300 |
|  | 6-11 months | 10.7 | 3367 | 3374 |
|  | 12-23 months | 19.1 | 6032 | 6079 |
|  | 24-35 months | 20.0 | 6320 | 6281 |
|  | 36-47 months | 21.5 | 6789 | 6764 |
|  | 48-59 months | 21.4 | 6751 | 6764 |
| Mother's education | None | 35.6 | 11224 | 11338 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 15.8 | 4997 | 5154 |
|  | Primary completed | 12.9 | 4084 | 4079 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 25.2 | 7948 | 7877 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 10.2 | 3204 | 3022 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | . 3 | 106 | 94 |
|  | Missing/DK | . 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 25.3 | 7987 | 7798 |
|  | Second | 21.0 | 6615 | 6794 |
|  | Middle | 18.7 | 5918 | 6147 |
|  | Fourth | 18.5 | 5854 | 5931 |
|  | Richest | 16.4 | 5192 | 4896 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 31566 | 31566 |



## Nutritional importance

Children's nutritional status is a reflection of their overall health. When children have access to an adequate food supply, are not exposed to repeated illness, and are well cared for, they reach their growth potential and are considered well nourished.

Malnutrition is associated with more than half of all children deaths worldwide. Undernourished children are more likely to die from common childhood ailments; and those who survive experience recurring sicknesses and faltering growth. Three-quarters of the children who die from causes related to malnutrition have been characterized as only mildly or moderately malnourished - showing no outward sign of their vulnerability. The MDG target is to reduce by half the proportion of people who suffer from hunger between 1990 and 2015. The World Fit for Children goal is to reduce the prevalence of malnutrition among children under five years of age by at least one-third (between 2000 and 2010), with special attention to children under two years of age. A reduction in the prevalence of malnutrition will assist in the goal to reduce child mortality.

## Breastfeeding

Breastfeeding for the first two years of life protects children from infection, provides an ideal source of nutrients, and is economical and safe. However, many mothers stop breastfeeding too soon and there are often pressures to switch to infant formula, which can contribute to growth faltering and micronutrient malnutrition and is unsafe if clean water is not readily available. The World Fit for Children goal states that children should be exclusively breastfed for six months and continue to be breastfed with safe, appropriate and adequate complementary feeding up to age two and even beyond.

The World Health Organization (WHO) and UNICEF jointly recommend:

- Exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months of life
- Continued breastfeeding for two years or more
- Safe, appropriate and adequate complementary foods beginning at six months
- Frequency of complementary feeding: two times per day for babies aged 6-8 months and three times per day for 9-11 months.


## Table NU.1: Initial breastfeeding

Percentage of individual women respondents aged 15-49 years who had given birth in the two years preceding the survey, who breastfed their baby within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics | Percentage who <br> started <br> breastfeeding <br> within one hour <br> of birth* | Percentage who <br> started <br> breastfeeding <br> within one day <br> of birth | No. of women <br> with live birth <br> in the two years <br> preceding the <br> survey |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Barisal | 41.9 |  | 82.7 |

The WHO and UNICEF also recommended that breastfeeding be initiated within one hour of birth.
The indicators for recommended child-feeding practices are as follows:

- Exclusive breastfeeding rate ( $<6$ months and $<4$ months)
- Timely complementary feeding rate (6-9 months)
- Continued breastfeeding rate (12-15 and 20-23 months)
- Timely initiation of breastfeeding (within 1 hour of birth)
- Frequency of complementary feeding (6-11 months)
- Adequately fed infants (0-11 months).

Table NU. 1 shows the proportion of mothers who started breastfeeding their infants within one hour of birth, and mothers who started breastfeeding within one day of birth (which includes those who started within one hour). Nationally, 35.6 percent of infants in the MICS were breastfed within one hour of birth and 81.5 percent of them within one day of birth. There is no significant variation between divisions or socio-economic status in the early initiation of breastfeeding except in the tribal areas where 29.9 percent of infants were breastfed within one hour of birth. However, in several districts, Lakshimipur, Jessore, Gaibandha, Rangpur and Thakurgaon, more mothers had delayed the start of breastfeeding (see Table NU.1.1 in Volume II).

Figure NU.1: Initial breastfeeding (within one hour and within one day of birth) Bangladesh, 2006

Table NU.2: Breastfeeding
Proportion of children according to breastfeeding status in each age group, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Children 0-3 months |  | Children 0-5 months |  | Children 6-9 months |  | Children 12-15 months |  | Children 20-23 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent exclusively breastfed | No. of children | Percent exclusively breastfed * | No. of children | Percent receiving breast milk and solid/ mushy food ** | No. of children | Percent <br> breasted <br> *** | No. of children | Percent <br> breasted | No. of children |
| Sex | Male | 48.0 | 694 | 36.0 | 1192 | 50.3 | 1201 | 94.4 | 938 | 88.4 | 1201 |
|  | Female | 51.5 | 645 | 39.0 | 1110 | 53.2 | 1074 | 96.6 | 889 | 90.0 | 1096 |
| Division | Barisal | 43.5 | 75 | 30.1 | 142 | 46.3 | 152 | 93.7 | 106 | 90.9 | 141 |
|  | Chittagong | 57.4 | 355 | 48.8 | 550 | 45.1 | 486 | 96.9 | 403 | 80.5 | 446 |
|  | Dhaka | 44.0 | 387 | 30.4 | 683 | 48.6 | 735 | 95.0 | 586 | 89.4 | 733 |
|  | Khulna | 47.9 | 127 | 38.3 | 205 | 70.6 | 186 | 96.7 | 193 | 94.5 | 246 |
|  | Rajshahi | 51.7 | 285 | 38.5 | 524 | 58.7 | 493 | 95.4 | 419 | 94.8 | 533 |
|  | Sylhet | 45.8 | 110 | 31.6 | 198 | 48.5 | 223 | 92.4 | 119 | 85.0 | 198 |
| Area | Rural | 50.2 | 983 | 37.7 | 1717 | 53.2 | 1685 | 97.1 | 1349 | 90.0 | 1663 |
|  | Urban | 47.2 | 342 | 35.2 | 562 | 46.9 | 572 | 90.7 | 465 | 86.8 | 618 |
|  | Urban municipality | 46.9 | 237 | 35.9 | 394 | 45.4 | 432 | 92.5 | 344 | 86.4 | 457 |
|  | City Corporations | 47.9 | 105 | 33.7 | 168 | 51.4 | 140 | 85.5 | 121 | 87.8 | 161 |
|  | Non-slum | 46.5 | 95 | 32.0 | 155 | 50.9 | 123 | 84.2 | 108 | 87.7 | 148 |
|  | Slum | (61.0) | 10 | 52.5 | 14 | 54.7 | 17 | (95.7) | 13 | (89.8) | 12 |
|  | Tribal | 72.8 | 14 | 68.6 | 23 | 56.8 | 18 | (100.0) | 12 | 92.1 | 16 |
| Mother's education | None | 48.1 | 397 | 37.2 | 680 | 46.3 | 746 | 96.8 | 550 | 89.4 | 729 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 56.5 | 193 | 40.0 | 348 | 48.6 | 345 | 97.8 | 300 | 90.6 | 356 |
|  | Primary completed | 49.6 | 171 | 34.3 | 305 | 55.8 | 329 | 97.1 | 240 | 92.0 | 299 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 49.9 | 435 | 38.5 | 698 | 56.7 | 637 | 95.1 | 522 | 87.5 | 676 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 44.3 | 138 | 35.8 | 264 | 53.5 | 217 | 86.8 | 200 | 87.4 | 234 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | ${ }^{(* *)}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5 | '(*)' | 8 | '(*)' | 1 | '(*)' | 13 | '(*)' | 3 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 49.6 | 283 | 36.0 | 521 | 51.8 | 610 | 98.3 | 416 | 91.2 | 576 |
|  | Second | 48.3 | 287 | 35.6 | 500 | 47.5 | 494 | 96.4 | 385 | 90.8 | 469 |
|  | Middle | 51.7 | 260 | 39.6 | 424 | 50.7 | 387 | 98.7 | 374 | 89.3 | 403 |
|  | Fourth | 49.7 | 264 | 39.6 | 463 | 58.1 | 428 | 96.3 | 332 | 89.2 | 434 |
|  | Richest | 49.1 | 244 | 36.8 | 392 | 50.5 | 357 | 86.0 | 320 | 84.4 | 414 |
| National |  | 49.7 | 1338 | 37.4 | 2302 | 51.7 | 2275 | 95.4 | 1826 | 89.2 | 2297 |

* MICS indicator 15 ** MICS indicator 17 *** MICS indicator 16 An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed. Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
Table NU.3: Adequately fed infants
Proportion of infants under 6 months of age exclusively breastfed, proportion of infants 6-11 months who were breastfed and who ate solid/semi-solid food at least the minimum recommended number of times the day prior to the survey, and the proportion of infants adequately fed, Bangladesh, 2006
No. of infants
aged
$0-11$ months $0-11$ months
who were
appropriately
fed** 6-11 months
who received
breastmilk and
complementary
food at least
the minimum
recommended
No. of times per
day**
 9-11 months
who received
breastmik and
complementary
food at least 3
times in prior
24 hours 6-8 months
wo received
breastmilk and
complementary
food at least 2
times in prior
24 hours $0-5$ months
exclusively
breastfed


Background characteristics

| Sex | Male |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Female |
| Division | Barisal |
|  | Chittagong |
|  | Dhaka |
|  | Khulna |
|  | Rajshahi |
|  | Sylhet |
| Area | Rural |
|  | Urban |
|  | Urban municipality |
|  | City Corporations |
|  | Non-slum |
|  | Slum |
|  | Tribal |
| Mother's education | None |
|  | Primary incomplete |
|  | Primary completed |
|  | Secondary incomplete |
|  | Secondary completed or higher |
|  | Non-standard curriculum |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest |
|  | Second |
|  | Middle |
|  | Fourth |
|  | Richest |
| Total |  |

*MICS indicator 18 * MICS indicator 19
An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

In Table NU.2, breastfeeding status is based on the reports of mothers/caretakers of children's consumption of food and fluids in the 24 hours prior to the survey interview. 'Exclusively breastfed' refers to infants who received only breast milk and vitamins, mineral supplements, or medicine. Table NU. 2 shows the rates of exclusive breastfeeding of infants during the first six months of life (separately for 0-3 months and 0-5 months), as well as complementary feeding of children aged 6-9 months and continued breastfeeding of children aged at 12-15 months and 20-23 months.

Nationally, 49.7 percent of children in the survey were exclusively breastfed up to the age of three months, and 37.4 percent of children aged less than six months were exclusively breastfed, a level considerably lower than recommended. Between 6 and 9 months, 51.7 percent of the children were receiving breast milk and solid or mushy foods. When they were 12-15 months old, 95.4 percent of the children were still being breastfed; and by age 20-23 months 89.2 percent of them were still breastfed. Girls were more likely to be exclusively breastfed than boys. Also, more girls than boys received timely complementary feeding. There was no significant variation between divisions or socio-economic status in exclusive breastfeeding. However and interestingly, exclusively breastfeeding was much higher among the surveyed tribal population: at 72.8 percent for infants up to three months and 68.6 percent for those under six months. Although the total tribal sample size was small and thus no conclusive statement can be made, it should be investigated further through another survey.

Figure NU. 2 shows the detailed pattern of breastfeeding by the children's age in months. Even at the earliest stage, the majority of children were being fed liquids or foods other than breast milk. By the end of the fifth month, the proportion of children exclusively breastfed was les than 40 percent. However, 75 percent of children were receiving breast milk beyond two years, which is a very good practice (Table NU.3w).

What is 'adequate feeding' is defined by different criteria depending on the age of a child. For infants aged 0-5 months, exclusive breastfeeding is considered as adequate. Infants aged 6-8 months are considered to be adequately fed if they are receiving breast milk and complementary food at least two times per day, while infants aged 9-11 months are considered to be adequately fed if they are receiving breast milk and complementary food at least three times a day. Nationally, 40.8 percent of infants aged 6-8 months in the survey were adequately fed; 55 percent of children aged 9-11 months were adequately fed; and 43.7 percent of children $0-11$ months were adequately fed in terms of both breastfeeding and complementary feeding (Table NU.3). Girls were more likely to be adequately fed than boys. There is some variation among the divisions in feeding patterns but not much difference between populations of different socio-economic background. For example, Khulna Division rated better than the national average, while Barisal and Dhaka Divisions lagged behind. This divisional variation perhaps should be investigated further through another survey to identify positive elements in the feeding of children.

Table NU.3w: Infant feeding patterns
Proportion of children younger than 3 years by feeding pattern and by age group, Bangladesh, 2006

| Age group (months) | Infant feeding pattern |  |  |  |  |  | Total | No. of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent exclusively breastfed | Percent breastfed and plain water only | Percent breastfed and non-milk liquids | Percent breastfed and other milk/ formula | Percent breastfed and complementary foods | Percent weaned (not breastfed) |  |  |
| 0-1 | 57.5 | 16.1 | 5.8 | 17.2 | . 4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 540 |
| 2-3 | 44.4 | 17.1 | 7.3 | 28.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 798 |
| 4-5 | 20.4 | 19.0 | 12.2 | 35.3 | 10.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 964 |
| 6-7 | 4.7 | 16.5 | 10.6 | 26.1 | 38.9 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 1101 |
| 8-9 | 1.5 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 13.9 | 63.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1174 |
| 10-11 | . 3 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 7.5 | 77.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1092 |
| 12-13 | . 0 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 86.5 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 939 |
| 14-15 | . 2 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 85.7 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 887 |
| 16-17 | . 2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 86.9 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 923 |
| 18-19 | . 0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 88.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 986 |
| 20-21 | . 0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 86.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 1183 |
| 22-23 | . 0 | . 5 | . 8 | . 9 | 85.4 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 1114 |
| 24-25 | . 0 | . 5 | . 3 | . 3 | 74.9 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 936 |
| 26-27 | . 0 | . 4 | . 5 | . 4 | 65.9 | 32.8 | 100.0 | 939 |
| 28-29 | . 0 | . 4 | . 0 | . 4 | 62.6 | 36.6 | 100.0 | 985 |
| 30-31 | . 0 | . 2 | . 1 | . 5 | 58.1 | 41.1 | 100.0 | 1070 |
| 32-33 | . 1 | . 1 | . 0 | . 2 | 49.3 | 50.2 | 100.0 | 1230 |
| 34-35 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 8 | 46.4 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 1160 |
| Total | 5.2 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 61.4 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 18021 |

Figure NU.2: Infant feeding pattern by age, Bangladesh, 2006


## Salt iodization

lodine deficiency disorders (IDD) is the world's leading cause of preventable mental disability and impaired psychomotor development in young children. In its most extreme form, iodine deficiency causes cretinism. It also increases the risks of stillbirth and miscarriage in pregnant women. lodine deficiency is most commonly and visibly associated with goitre. IDD takes its greatest toll by impairing mental growth and development, contributing in turn to poor school performance, reduced intellectual ability, and impaired work performance. The international goal is to achieve sustainable elimination of iodine deficiency by 2005; the indicator is the percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt (>15 ppm).

Although the MICS includes a component to analyse the iodine content of household salt used for cooking, the standard salt test kit was not used in Bangladesh. Instead an iodine-testing solution was used, checking for iodine and potassium iodate. However, the iodine-testing solution is a quantitative test and cannot detect whether the salt is adequately iodized or not.

The survey tested salt samples in 99 percent of households. At the time of the survey, slightly more than 84 percent of households used salt containing at least 10 ppm of iodine. The lowest use of iodized salt was in Chittagong Division ( 77.7 percent) and highest in Khulna Division ( 93.6 percent). In Cox's Bazaar District, only 20.8 percent of the surveyed households were using iodized salt at the time of the survey (see Table NU.4.1 in Volume II). While there was a 10 percent variation between urban and rural areas, it was greater between the richest and poorest households, at 20 percent).

Table NU. 4 : lodized salt consumption
Percentage of households consuming adeuqately iodized salt, Bangladesh, 2006 ${ }^{6}$

| Background characteristics |  | Percent of households in which salt was tested | No. of households interviewed | Percent of households with salt test result |  |  | Total | No. of households in which salt was tested or with no salt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of households with no salt |  | Not iodized | lodized* |  |  |
| Division | Barisal |  | 99.1 | 3909 | . 6 | 9.1 | 90.3 | 100.0 | 3901 |
|  | Chittagong | 99.0 | 11015 | . 8 | 21.5 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 10987 |
|  | Dhaka | 98.6 | 20219 | 1.0 | 15.0 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 20128 |
|  | Khulna | 99.1 | 7465 | . 7 | 5.7 | 93.6 | 100.0 | 7445 |
|  | Rajshahi | 98.4 | 16432 | 1.3 | 17.0 | 81.7 | 100.0 | 16379 |
|  | Sylhet | 98.9 | 3423 | . 9 | 7.0 | 92.1 | 100.0 | 3415 |
| Area | Rural | 98.8 | 43735 | . 9 | 17.8 | 81.2 | 100.0 | 43614 |
|  | Urban | 98.6 | 18138 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 18056 |
|  | Urban municipality | 98.7 | 12925 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 90.4 | 100.0 | 12888 |
|  | City Corporation | 98.3 | 5213 | . 8 | 4.8 | 94.3 | 100.0 | 5169 |
|  | Non-slum | 98.3 | 4793 | . 8 | 4.5 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 4750 |
|  | Slum | 98.5 | 420 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 418 |
|  | Tribal | 98.7 | 590 | . 7 | 11.8 | 87.6 | 100.0 | 586 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 98.2 | 13530 | 1.5 | 23.4 | 75.1 | 100.0 | 13493 |
|  | Second | 98.8 | 13019 | . 9 | 19.6 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 12982 |
|  | Middle | 98.9 | 12397 | . 8 | 15.5 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 12361 |
|  | Fourth | 98.9 | 11572 | . 8 | 10.1 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 11532 |
|  | Richest | 98.9 | 11946 | . 6 | 3.5 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 11888 |
| Total |  | 98.7 | 62463 | 1.0 | 14.8 | 84.3 | 100.0 | 62256 |

[^4]Figure NU.3: Iodized salt consumption, Bangladesh, 20067


## Vitamin A supplementation

Vitamin A is essential for preserving eye sight (lack of it can lead to blindness) and the proper functioning of the immune system. It is found in foods such as milk, liver, eggs, red and orange fruits, red palm oil and green leafy vegetables, although the amount of Vitamin A readily available to the body from these sources varies widely. In developing areas of the world, where Vitamin $A$ is largely consumed in the form of fruits and vegetables, daily per capita intake is often insufficient to meet dietary requirements. Inadequate nutritional intakes are further compromised by increased requirements of a child's growing body or during periods of illness, as well as increased losses during common childhood infections. As a result, Vitamin A deficiency is quite prevalent in the developing world and particularly in countries with the highest burden of deaths among under-5 children.

One of the goals from the 1990 World Summit for Children called for the elimination of Vitamin A deficiency and its consequences, including blindness, by the year 2000. This goal was also endorsed at the Policy Conference on Ending Hidden Hunger in 1991, the 1992 International Conference on Nutrition, and the UN General Assembly's Special Session on Children in 2002. The critical role of Vitamin A for child health and immune function also makes control of its deficiency a primary component of child survival efforts and thus critical for achieving the fourth MDG: a two-thirds reduction in under-5 mortality by the year 2015.

[^5]For countries with Vitamin A deficiency problems, current international recommendations call for highdose Vitamin A supplementation every four to six months, targeted to all children between the ages of six to 59 months living in affected areas. Providing young children with two doses of Vitamin A capsules a year is a safe, cost-effective, efficient strategy for eliminating its deficiency and improving child survival. Giving supplements to new mothers who are breastfeeding helps protect their baby during the first months of life and helps to replenish her stores of the vitamin, which are depleted during pregnancy and lactation. For countries with Vitamin A supplementation programmes, the indicator for adequacy is the proportion of children 6 to 59 months receiving at least one high-dose Vitamin A supplement in the previous six months.

Based on UNICEF/WHO guidelines, the Bangladesh Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW) recommends that children aged 9-11 months be given low dose Vitamin A capsule and children aged 12-59 months be given high potency vitamin A capsule every six months. In the country, vitamin A capsules are linked to immunization services and are given when the child has contact with these services after six months of age. It is also recommended that mothers take a Vitamin A supplement within eight weeks of giving birth due to increased Vitamin A requirements during pregnancy and lactation.

In Bangladesh within the six months prior to the MICS, 89.2 percent of children aged 9-59 months received a high dose vitamin A supplement (Table NU.5). There is no significant variation between divisions but there is 7.3 percent difference in Vitamin A supplementation between the poorest and the richest quintiles. Dhaka District leads with 95.7 percent while Netrokona District ranks the lowest with a 79.2 percent (see table NU.5.1 in Volume II).

The age pattern of vitamin A supplementation shows that supplementation in the last six months rises from 48.4 percent among children aged $9-11$ months to 88.7 percent among children aged 12-23 months and keeps on rising with age to 93.1 percent among the oldest children.

The mother's level of education is somewhat related to the likelihood of Vitamin A supplementation. The percentage receiving a supplement in the last six months increases from 86.8 percent among children whose mothers have no education to 94.1 percent of those whose mothers have secondary or higher education.

The consumption of a Vitamin A supplementation among post-partum mothers is low in Bangladesh. Only 17.2 percent of mothers who gave birth in the two years prior to the survey interview received a Vitamin A supplement within eight weeks of giving birth (Table NU.6). The proportion was highest in Barisal Division (23.5 percent) and lowest in Sylhet division (11.1 percent). There was a significant rural-urban variation, with 15.8 percent in rural areas and 28.1 percent in city corporations receiving the suppliment. Vitamin A suppliment coverage increased with the education level of the mother, from 12.7 percent who had no education to 31.6 percent among those with a secondary or higher education. There also was significant difference between the poorest and the richest quintiles, varying from 11.4 percent to 26.4 percent. Several districts had quite low rates of supplementation: Brahmonbaria ( 6.3 percent), Lakshipur ( 5.2 percent), Rajbari ( 7.1 percent), Chuadanga ( 6.4 percent) and Sunamganj ( 4.1 percent) (see table NU.6.1 in Volume II for details).

Table NU.5: Children's Vitamin A supplementation
Percentage distribution of children aged 9-59 months who did or did not receive a high dose Vitamin A supplement in the last six months prior to the survey interview, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percent of children who received Vitamin A: |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within last 6 months * | Prior to last 6 months | Not sure when | Not sure if received | Never received Vitamin A | Total | No. of children aged 9-59 months |
| Sex | Male | 89.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | . 4 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 14153 |
|  | Female | 88.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | . 4 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 13456 |
| Division | Barisal | 88.4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | . 5 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 1623 |
|  | Chittagong | 90.0 | 1.3 | . 9 | . 4 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 5897 |
|  | Dhaka | 88.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 | . 4 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 8727 |
|  | Khulna | 91.7 | 2.1 | . 8 | . 1 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 2809 |
|  | Rajshahi | 88.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | . 6 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 6398 |
|  | Sylhet | 87.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | . 3 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 2155 |
| Area | Rural | 88.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 | . 4 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 20094 |
|  | Urban | 92.0 | 1.6 | . 9 | . 4 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 7298 |
|  | Urban municipality | 92.0 | 1.6 | . 8 | . 5 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 5350 |
|  | City Corporations | 92.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | . 3 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1948 |
|  | Non-slum | 92.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | . 2 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 1763 |
|  | Slum | 88.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | . 5 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 185 |
|  | Tribal | 82.0 | 3.0 | 1.7 | . 6 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 216 |
| Age | 9-11 months | 48.4 | . 2 | . 7 | . 4 | 50.3 | 100.0 | 1711 |
|  | 12-23 months | 88.7 | 1.9 | . 9 | . 3 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 6032 |
|  | 24-35 months | 92.6 | 2.0 | 1.4 | . 4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 6320 |
|  | 36-47 months | 92.9 | 2.5 | 1.3 | . 5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 6789 |
|  | 48-59 months | 93.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | . 3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 6751 |
| Mother's education | None | 86.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | . 5 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 9987 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 88.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | . 5 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 4391 |
|  | Primary completed | 89.3 | 2.1 | . 7 | . 3 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 3554 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 91.1 | 2.0 | . 8 | . 3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 6793 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 94.1 | . 9 | . 7 | . 2 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 2783 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 90.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | . 1 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 99 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 100.0 | 2 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | 86.2 | 2.3 | 1.6 | . 4 | 9.5 | 100.0 | 7010 |
|  | Second | 86.7 | 2.8 | 1.5 | . 4 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 5772 |
|  | Middle | 89.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | . 6 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 5213 |
|  | Fourth | 91.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | . 3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 5072 |
|  | Richest | 93.5 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 2 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 4541 |
| National |  | 89.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | . 4 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 27609 |

Figure NU.4: Children's Vitamin A supplementation, Bangladesh 2006


Table NU.6: Post-partum mother's Vitamin A supplementation
Percentage of mothers aged 15-49 years with a birth in the two years preceding the survey who did or did not receive a high-dose Vitamin A supplement before the infant was 8 weeks old, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Received | Not sure if | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 23.5 | 1.8 | 738 |
|  | Chittagong | 17.3 | 1.3 | 2554 |
|  | Dhaka | 18.7 | 1.1 | 3697 |
|  | Khulna | 15.6 | . 6 | 1145 |
|  | Rajshahi | 16.3 | 1.3 | 2740 |
|  | Sylhet | 11.1 | . 6 | 1024 |
| Area | Rural | 15.8 | 1.0 | 8757 |
|  | Urban | 21.0 | 1.8 | 3040 |
|  | Urban municipality | 18.4 | 1.7 | 2230 |
|  | City Corporation | 28.1 | 2.0 | 811 |
|  | Non-slum | 29.0 | 2.2 | 729 |
|  | Slum | 19.9 | . 2 | 81 |
|  | Tribal | 24.1 | . 8 | 101 |
| Education | None | 12.7 | 1.2 | 3730 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 13.6 | . 7 | 1892 |
|  | Primary completed | 14.9 | . 9 | 1551 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 19.9 | 1.1 | 3429 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 31.6 | 2.2 | 1260 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (13.9) | . 0 | 38 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 11.4 | 1.0 | 2908 |
|  | Second | 13.9 | 1.0 | 2535 |
|  | Middle | 18.8 | 1.0 | 2230 |
|  | Fourth | 18.6 | 1.0 | 2238 |
|  | Richest | 26.4 | 2.0 | 1989 |
| Total |  | 17.2 | 1.2 | 11899 |
| * MICS indicator 43 <br> Figures in parenthesis are ba | ed on 25-49 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |



## Immunization

Immunization plays a key function in realizing the fourth MDG of reducing child mortality by two thirds between 1990 and 2015. Immunizations have saved the lives of millions of children in the three decades since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) in 1974. However, worldwide there are still 27 million children overlooked by routine immunization. As a result, vaccinepreventable diseases cause more than two million deaths every year.

The World Fit for Children goal on immunizations expects countries to reach 90 percent coverage in immunizing fully every child younger than a year, with at least 80 percent coverage in every district or equivalent administrative unit.

According to UNICEF and WHO guidelines, a child should receive a BCG vaccination to protect against tuberculosis, three doses of DPT to protect against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus, three doses of polio vaccine, and a measles vaccination by the age of 12 months. During the MICS interview, reaserchers asked mothers to show the vaccination cards of any under-5 children to copy the information into the questionnaire.

That exercise indicates that only half ( 53.4 percent) of the surveyed under-5 children had vaccination cards. If the child did not have a card, the mother was asked to recall whether or not the child had received each of the vaccinations and, for DPT and Polio how many times. Table CH. 1 shows the proportion of children aged 12-23 months who received each of the vaccinations. Only children within that age group - old enough to be fully vaccinated - were counted. In the top panel, the numerator includes all children who were vaccinated at any time before the survey, according to the vaccination card or the mother's recall. In the bottom panel, only those who were vaccinated before their first birthday are included. For children without vaccination cards, the proportion of vaccinations given before the first birthday is assumed to be the same as for children with vaccination cards.

Approximately 97 percent of children aged 12-23 months received a BCG vaccination by the age of 23 months, and 96.6 percent of them received the first dose of DTP (Table CH.1). The proportion declines for subsequent doses of DPT, to 94.6 percent for the second dose, and 90.1 percent for the third dose. Similarly, 99.1 percent of children received the first Polio vaccination by age 23 months but this declined to 95.6 percent by the third dose. The coverage for measles vaccination at 23 months was lower than for the other immunizations, at 87.5 percent.

## Table CH.1: Vaccinations in the first year of life

Percentage of children aged 12-23 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the survey and before the first birthday, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background <br> characteristics | BCG* | DPT <br> 1 | DPT <br> 2 | DPT <br> $3^{* *}$ | Polio <br> 0 | Polio <br> 1 | Polio <br> 2 | Polio <br> $3^{* * * ~}$ | Measles <br> $* * * *$ | All <br> $* * * * *$ | None | No. of <br> children aged <br> 12-23 months |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination card | 65.3 | 65.2 | 64.1 | 61.7 | .2 | 65.1 | 63.9 | 61.5 | 54.2 | 59.1 | .0 | 6032 |
| Mother's recall | 31.7 | 31.3 | 30.5 | 28.5 | 7.0 | 34.0 | 34.3 | 34.1 | 33.3 | 24.9 | .8 | 6032 |
| Either | 97.0 | 96.6 | 94.6 | 90.1 | 7.2 | 99.1 | 98.2 | 95.6 | 87.5 | 84.0 | .8 | 6032 |
| Vaccinated by age <br> 12 months | 96.7 | 96.3 | 94.3 | 89.7 | 7.2 | 98.9 | 98.0 | 95.1 | 85.3 | 81.4 | .9 | 6032 |

* MICS Indicator 25
** MICS Indicator 26
*** MICS Indicator 27
**** MICS Indicator 28; MDG Indicator 15
***** MICS Indicator 31


## Table CH.1c: Vaccinations in the first year of life (continued)

Percentage of children aged 12-23 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the survey and before the first birthday, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics | HepB1 | HepB2 | HepB3* | No. of children <br> aged 12-23 months |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination card | 46.0 | 44.5 | 41.7 | 6032 |
| Mother's recall | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 6032 |
| Either | 48.7 | 46.1 | 43.6 | 6032 |
| Vaccinated by age 12 months | 48.5 | 45.7 | 43.0 | 6032 |
| * MICS Indicator 29 |  |  |  |  |

A Hepatitis B vaccine is also recommended as part of the immunization schedule in Bangladesh. Approximately 48.7 percent of children aged 12-23 months had received the first dose of Hepatitis B vaccine (Table CH.1c). As with the other vaccination series, the proportion receiving the subsequent dose declined to 46.1 percent for the second and 43.6 percent for the third.

Tables CH. 2 and CH.2c show vaccination coverage rates (up to the day of the survey interveiw) among children aged 12-23 months, by background characteristics and based on vaccination cards or each mother's/caretaker's recall. There was some variation between income groups and areas: slums and tribal areas had comparatively a lower coverage rate for all immunizations. Also, children of mothers with at least some secondary education were more likely to receive their vaccinations as compared to those of mothers with less education.
Table CH.2: Vaccinations by background characteristics
Percentage of children aged 12-23 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | BCG | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPT } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPT } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPT } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polio } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polio } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polio } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polio } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Measles | All | None | Percent with health card | No. of children aged 12-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 97.7 | 97.1 | 95.2 | 91.0 | 7.1 | 99.0 | 98.1 | 95.5 | 88.5 | 85.0 | . 9 | 65.9 | 3109 |
|  | Female | 96.3 | 96.0 | 93.9 | 89.1 | 7.3 | 99.2 | 98.3 | 95.6 | 86.5 | 83.0 | . 7 | 65.0 | 2923 |
| Division | Barisal | 98.1 | 97.6 | 95.8 | 89.6 | 13.1 | 98.9 | 97.6 | 94.4 | 90.4 | 83.5 | . 9 | 64.8 | 376 |
|  | Chittagong | 96.4 | 95.7 | 94.3 | 91.3 | 6.2 | 98.9 | 98.0 | 94.4 | 86.6 | 84.0 | 1.1 | 64.5 | 1282 |
|  | Dhaka | 97.4 | 96.7 | 94.8 | 89.1 | 6.6 | 99.4 | 98.6 | 96.2 | 85.5 | 82.1 | . 5 | 60.0 | 1868 |
|  | Khulna | 98.9 | 98.9 | 97.5 | 95.9 | 6.2 | 99.8 | 99.5 | 98.6 | 92.8 | 90.8 | . 2 | 70.3 | 609 |
|  | Rajshahi | 97.9 | 97.6 | 95.4 | 90.6 | 8.0 | 99.6 | 98.8 | 96.4 | 90.8 | 86.2 | . 2 | 70.3 | 1386 |
|  | Sylhet | 91.9 | 91.7 | 87.7 | 83.3 | 6.3 | 96.4 | 94.7 | 91.5 | 79.9 | 77.6 | 3.4 | 69.3 | 510 |
| Area | Rural | 96.7 | 96.2 | 94.1 | 89.4 | 5.9 | 99.0 | 98.0 | 95.3 | 87.2 | 83.4 | . 9 | 65.3 | 4403 |
|  | Urban | 98.0 | 97.7 | 96.1 | 92.5 | 10.8 | 99.4 | 98.9 | 96.6 | 88.7 | 85.9 | . 5 | 65.8 | 1583 |
|  | Urban municipality | 98.3 | 98.2 | 96.7 | 93.5 | 8.9 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 96.8 | 89.0 | 86.7 | . 6 | 69.1 | 1191 |
|  | City Corporation | 97.3 | 96.1 | 94.5 | 89.5 | 16.7 | 99.7 | 98.4 | 95.9 | 87.8 | 83.2 | . 3 | 56.0 | 392 |
|  | Non-slum | 97.7 | 96.6 | 95.2 | 90.5 | 17.5 | 99.7 | 98.8 | 96.3 | 89.3 | 84.6 | . 3 | 57.2 | 359 |
|  | Slum | 92.4 | 90.7 | 86.8 | 78.7 | 7.7 | 98.7 | 94.5 | 91.9 | 71.3 | 68.9 | 1.3 | 42.5 | 33 |
|  | Tribal | 88.8 | 87.0 | 85.1 | 80.6 | 2.5 | 94.0 | 92.1 | 86.4 | 78.7 | 76.2 | 5.1 | 67.8 | 46 |
| Mother's education | None | 94.6 | 93.4 | 90.2 | 83.8 | 4.0 | 98.5 | 97.2 | 93.6 | 82.3 | 77.5 | 1.4 | 59.8 | 1919 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 97.1 | 96.9 | 93.7 | 87.9 | 4.4 | 99.1 | 97.3 | 93.1 | 83.5 | 79.1 | . 9 | 66.5 | 939 |
|  | Primary completed | 97.3 | 97.1 | 95.7 | 91.9 | 5.3 | 99.0 | 98.2 | 96.4 | 88.2 | 84.8 | . 9 | 67.2 | 755 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.0 | 95.7 | 8.8 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 98.3 | 92.5 | 91.2 | . 1 | 70.6 | 1727 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 99.3 | 99.2 | 98.6 | 95.7 | 18.5 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 97.2 | 95.1 | 91.1 | . 7 | 65.7 | 666 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{*}{ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | 26 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{(*)}{ }^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | $\left.{ }^{(*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | 1 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 94.1 | 93.6 | 90.4 | 84.3 | 4.2 | 98.6 | 96.9 | 93.9 | 82.7 | 78.2 | 1.3 | 62.3 | 1438 |
|  | Second | 97.1 | 96.2 | 93.9 | 88.1 | 4.9 | 98.9 | 98.2 | 94.8 | 84.0 | 80.5 | 1.0 | 64.1 | 1283 |
|  | Middle | 96.8 | 96.5 | 94.6 | 89.7 | 6.5 | 98.8 | 98.0 | 95.0 | 87.6 | 83.3 | 1.2 | 67.9 | 1175 |
|  | Fourth | 99.0 | 98.7 | 97.3 | 95.1 | 7.0 | 99.6 | 99.1 | 97.3 | 91.9 | 89.8 | . 2 | 67.7 | 1101 |
|  | Richest | 99.1 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 95.8 | 15.1 | 99.8 | 99.4 | 97.8 | 93.8 | 91.1 | . 0 | 66.3 | 1036 |
| National |  | 97.0 | 96.6 | 94.6 | 90.1 | 7.2 | 99.1 | 98.2 | 95.6 | 87.5 | 84.0 | . 8 | 65.5 | 6032 |

Table CH.2c: Vaccinations by background characteristics (continued)
Percentage of children aged 12-23 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Bangladesh, 2006
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|c|}\hline \text { Background characteristics } & \text { HepB1 } & \text { HepB2 } & \text { HepB3 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent } \\ \text { with health } \\ \text { card }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { No. of } \\ \text { children } \\ \text { aged } \\ \text { 12-23 }\end{array}\right)$

Figure CH.1: Vaccinations in the first year of life, Bangladesh, 2006


## Tetanus toxoid

The fifth MDG expects countries to reduce by three quarters (between 1990 and 2015) their maternal mortality ratio. One strategy to achieve this is to eliminate the incidence of maternal tetanus. The MDG also includes the reduction of neonatal tetanus to less than one case per 1,000 live births in every district. The World Fit for Children goal on this issue calls for the elimination of both maternal and neonatal tetanus by 2005 .

To prevent maternal and neonatal tetanus requires that all pregnant women receive at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine. However, if women have not received two doses of the vaccine during their pregnancy, they (and their newborn) are still considered protected under the following conditions:

- Received at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine, the last within three years prior to the survey interiew;
- Received at least three doses, the last within the prior five years;
- Received at least four doses, the last within ten years;
- Received at least five doses up to the present.

Figure CH .2 and Table CH. 3 show the percentage of mothers with a birth in the past 24 months (prior to the survey interview) considered protected against neonatal tetanus. Nationally, 89.6 percent of women had received sufficient protection against tetanus. Geographically, Sylhet Division lags behind the others, at 84.7 percent while Khulna Division leads, at 91 percent. About 55 percent of the surveyed mothers received at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine during their previous pregnancy.

Figure CH.2: Neonatal tetanus protection (women with a live birth in the previous 24 months), Bangladesh, 2006


## Table CH.3: Neonatal tetanus protection

Percentage of mothers with a birth in the two years prior to the survey interview who were protected against neonatal tetanus, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Received at least 2 doses during last pregnancy | Received at least 2 doses, the last within prior 3 years | Received at least 3 doses, the last within prior 5 years | Received at least 4 doses, the last within prior 10 years | Received at least 5 doses during lifetime | Protected against tetanus* | No. of mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 62.8 | 22.3 | 2.6 | 1.1 | . 0 | 88.9 | 738 |
|  | Chittagong | 59.4 | 26.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | . 2 | 90.8 | 2554 |
|  | Dhaka | 54.4 | 28.0 | 3.7 | 2.6 | . 8 | 89.5 | 3697 |
|  | Khulna | 46.2 | 37.5 | 4.0 | 2.8 | . 4 | 91.0 | 1145 |
|  | Rajshahi | 53.9 | 29.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | . 2 | 90.2 | 2740 |
|  | Sylhet | 49.6 | 28.1 | 4.3 | 2.6 | . 1 | 84.7 | 1024 |
| Area | Rural | 54.7 | 28.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | . 4 | 88.9 | 8757 |
|  | Urban | 54.8 | 30.9 | 3.5 | 2.7 | . 2 | 92.1 | 3040 |
|  | Urban municipality | 51.8 | 32.9 | 3.6 | 2.7 | . 3 | 91.3 | 2230 |
|  | City Corporations | 62.8 | 25.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | . 0 | 94.5 | 811 |
|  | Non-slum | 64.4 | 25.1 | 3.5 | 2.7 | . 0 | 95.7 | 729 |
|  | Slum | 48.9 | 28.2 | 3.1 | 3.7 | . 0 | 83.9 | 81 |
|  | Tribal | 53.4 | 19.0 | 2.0 | . 6 | . 3 | 75.2 | 101 |
| Age | 15-19 years | 66.8 | 22.9 | 2.0 | . 4 | . 0 | 92.0 | 2364 |
|  | 20-24 years | 57.6 | 29.8 | 3.8 | 1.7 | . 0 | 92.8 | 4111 |
|  | 25-29 years | 50.2 | 32.0 | 3.8 | 3.3 | . 5 | 89.8 | 2946 |
|  | 30-34 years | 43.7 | 31.1 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 85.3 | 1554 |
|  | 35-39 years | 43.5 | 24.8 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 77.3 | 735 |
|  | 40-44 years | 40.1 | 22.3 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 74.5 | 150 |
|  | 45-49 years | (52.1) | (4.9) | (2.3) | (2.3) | (.0) | (61.5) | 40 |
| Education | None | 50.6 | 25.1 | 3.8 | 2.8 | . 5 | 82.7 | 3730 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 55.9 | 25.6 | 4.6 | 2.5 | . 3 | 88.8 | 1892 |
|  | Primary completed | 56.1 | 28.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | . 5 | 91.1 | 1551 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 57.4 | 32.1 | 3.0 | 1.8 | . 3 | 94.6 | 3429 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 55.5 | 34.1 | 3.4 | 2.9 | . 3 | 96.2 | 1260 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (66.0) | (19.3) | (9.4) | (.0) | (.0) | (94.7) | 38 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | 53.1 | 25.2 | 3.7 | 2.5 | . 3 | 84.8 | 2908 |
|  | Second | 55.6 | 25.8 | 3.5 | 1.7 | . 4 | 87.0 | 2535 |
|  | Middle | 55.7 | 28.3 | 3.3 | 2.7 | . 3 | 90.4 | 2230 |
|  | Fourth | 53.0 | 33.3 | 3.4 | 3.0 | . 4 | 93.1 | 2238 |
|  | Richest | 56.6 | 32.5 | 3.7 | 2.2 | . 4 | 95.3 | 1989 |
| National |  | 54.7 | 28.6 | 3.5 | 2.4 | . 4 | 89.6 | 11899 |

* MICS Indicator 32

Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

## Oral rehydration treatment

Diarrhoea is the second leading cause of death among under-five children worldwide. Most diarrhoea-related deaths in children are due to dehydration from loss of large quantities of water and electrolytes from the body through liquid stools. Management of diarrhoea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing fluid intake and continuing to feed the child are also important strategies for managing diarrhoea.

The goals are to: 1) reduce by one half death due to diarrhoea among children under five by 2010 compared to 2000 (A World Fit for Children); and 2) reduce by two thirds the mortality rate among children under five by 2015 compared to 1990 (MDGs). In addition, the World Fit for Children calls for a reduction in the incidence of diarrhoea by 25 percent.

The indicators are:

- Prevalence of diarrhoea
- Oral rehydration therapy (ORT)
- Home management of diarrhoea
> (ORT or increased fluids) AND continued feeding
For the MICS, mothers (or caretakers) were asked to report if their child (any younger than 5 years) had diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey interview. If so, the mother was asked a series of questions about what the child had to drink and eat during the episode and if this was more or less than the child usually ate and drank.

In total, some 7.1 percent of under-5 children had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey interview (Table CH.4). However, diarrhoea prevalence was not similar in all divisions. Barisal Division registered the highest rate of 8.9 percent while Khulna had the lowest, at 4.4 percent. Several districts (Bhola, Bandarban, Jamalpur and Lalmonirhat) had quite high rates, above 12 percent rate (see Table CH.4.1 in Volume II for details). The peak of diarrhoea prevalence occurs in the weaning period, among children aged 6-23 months. This incidence was 11.1 percent among the younger half (aged $6-11$ months) and 10.1 percent in the older half (12-23 months). The incidence was higher among boys than girls. The incidence of diarrhoea is negatively correlated with mothers' education and income levels.

The ORT use rate nationally was 70.1 percent; the rate in urban area was 76.5 percent and 67.7 percent in rural areas. The rate increases with mothers' education, reaching as high as 84 percent among females who had at least completed their secondary education.

Table CH. 4 also shows the percentage of children receiving various types of recommended liquids during the diarrhoea episode. (Because mothers mentioned more than one type of liquid, the total of the percentages goes beyond 100). About 62.7 percent of the under-5 children with a diarrhoea episode received fluids from ORS packets; 13.5 percent of them received recommended homemade fluids, and 3.7 percent received pre-packaged ORS fluids.

Table CH.4: Oral rehydration treatment
Percentage of under-5 children with diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey and who were treated with oral rehydration solution (ORS) or other oral rehydration treatment (ORT), Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Had diarrhoe $a$ in last two weeks | No. of under-5 children | Fluid from ORS packet | Recommende d homemade fluid | Pre- packaged ORS fluid | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { treatment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORT } \\ & \text { use } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | No. of under-5 children with diarrhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 7.4 | 16222 | 63.4 | 12.5 | 4.4 | 29.3 | 70.7 | 1200 |
|  | Female | 6.9 | 15344 | 61.8 | 14.6 | 3.0 | 30.7 | 69.3 | 1054 |
| Division | Barisal | 8.9 | 1873 | 61.6 | 18.3 | 3.3 | 31.8 | 68.2 | 167 |
|  | Chittagong | 7.6 | 6797 | 68.7 | 12.1 | 1.0 | 26.5 | 73.5 | 515 |
|  | Dhaka | 7.1 | 9942 | 63.0 | 16.5 | 6.5 | 27.2 | 72.8 | 704 |
|  | Khulna | 4.4 | 3148 | 56.5 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 39.9 | 60.1 | 139 |
|  | Rajshahi | 7.4 | 7284 | 60.2 | 12.3 | 4.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 540 |
|  | Sylhet | 7.5 | 2521 | 57.9 | 11.7 | 1.9 | 35.5 | 64.5 | 188 |
| Area | Rural | 7.1 | 23034 | 59.5 | 14.1 | 2.9 | 32.3 | 67.7 | 1630 |
|  | Urban | 7.4 | 8280 | 71.2 | 11.9 | 6.1 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 611 |
|  | Urban municipality | 7.1 | 6061 | 70.3 | 11.7 | 4.7 | 24.3 | 75.7 | 428 |
|  | City Corporation | 8.2 | 2219 | 73.3 | 12.4 | 9.3 | 21.7 | 78.3 | 183 |
|  | Non-slum | 7.9 | 2009 | 73.9 | 13.1 | 10.1 | 20.7 | 79.3 | 159 |
|  | Slum | 11.2 | 210 | 69.0 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 24 |
|  | Tribal | 5.1 | 253 | 61.5 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 32.8 | 67.2 | 13 |
| Age | < 6 months | 4.7 | 2302 | 38.4 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 108 |
|  | 6-11 months | 11.1 | 3367 | 59.1 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 35.6 | 64.4 | 375 |
|  | 12-23 months | 10.1 | 6032 | 68.6 | 11.7 | 4.1 | 26.5 | 73.5 | 606 |
|  | 24-35 months | 7.0 | 6320 | 62.8 | 15.9 | 5.0 | 28.1 | 71.9 | 443 |
|  | 36-47 months | 5.7 | 6789 | 65.1 | 13.0 | 2.3 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 388 |
|  | 48-59 months | 4.9 | 6751 | 61.0 | 18.7 | 6.0 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 332 |
| Mother's education | None | 7.9 | 11224 | 58.6 | 11.8 | 2.1 | 33.8 | 66.2 | 882 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 8.3 | 4997 | 62.2 | 14.7 | 3.2 | 30.2 | 69.8 | 417 |
|  | Primary completed | 6.7 | 4084 | 60.0 | 17.6 | 8.3 | 30.1 | 69.9 | 274 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 6.2 | 7948 | 66.6 | 12.5 | 3.8 | 27.9 | 72.1 | 489 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 5.5 | 3204 | 77.1 | 15.4 | 6.2 | 16.0 | 84.0 | 178 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 13.6 | 106 | 67.8 | 9.3 | . 0 | 22.9 | 77.1 | 14 |
|  | Missing/DK | . 0 | 2 |  |  |  | . |  | 0 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 8.6 | 7987 | 57.5 | 12.6 | 1.8 | 34.6 | 65.4 | 685 |
|  | Second | 7.6 | 6615 | 59.4 | 13.9 | 3.0 | 32.7 | 67.3 | 502 |
|  | Middle | 7.1 | 5918 | 60.9 | 15.2 | 3.3 | 30.5 | 69.5 | 420 |
|  | Fourth | 5.6 | 5854 | 71.3 | 13.1 | 4.6 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 325 |
|  | Richest | 6.2 | 5192 | 72.4 | 12.8 | 8.7 | 21.6 | 78.4 | 321 |
| National |  | 7.1 | 31566 | 62.7 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 29.9 | 70.1 | 2254 |

More than one third (41.1 percent) of under-5 children with a diarrhoea episode drank more than usual while 58.2 percent drank the same or less (Table CH.5). Slightly more than 66 percent ate somewhat less, same or more (continued feeding), but 33.2 percent ate much less or ate almost nothing. That leaves 48.9 percent of the relevant children receiving increased fluids and at the same time continued feeding.

About 27.7 percent of households practiced home management of diarrhoea. There are significant differences in the home management of diarrhoea by background characteristics. There is some difference between boys and girls and rural and urban areas in the home management of diarrhoea. Geographical variation also exists in this respect. In Rajshahi Division, only 42.6 percent of children received ORT or increased fluids AND continued feeding, while the figure is 57.6 percent in Barisal Division. The families in the richest quintile and the mothers having education level of higher secondary and above had managed diarrhoea quite well.

Figure CH.3: Oral rehydration treatment (percentage of under-5 children with diarrhoea who received ORT or increased fluids, and continued feeding), Bangladesh, 2006


## Table CH.5: Home management of diarrhoea

Percentage of under-5 children with diarrhoea in the last two weeks prior to the survey who received increased fluids and continued to feed during the episode, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Had diarthoea in last two weeks | No. of under-5 children | Children with diarrhoea who drank more | Children with diarrhoea who drank the same or less | Children with diarrhoea who ate somewhat less, same or more | Children with diarrhoea who ate much less or none | Home management of diarrhoea | Received ORT or increased fluids AND continued feeding ** | No. of under-5 children with diarrhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 7.4 | 16222 | 42.8 | 56.2 | 67.1 | 32.0 | 29.8 | 50.6 | 1200 |
|  | Female | 6.9 | 15344 | 39.1 | 60.4 | 65.1 | 34.5 | 25.4 | 46.9 | 1054 |
| Division | Barisal | 8.9 | 1873 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 67.3 | 32.1 | 34.7 | 57.6 | 167 |
|  | Chittagong | 7.6 | 6797 | 34.7 | 64.4 | 62.8 | 36.2 | 23.3 | 48.1 | 515 |
|  | Dhaka | 7.1 | 9942 | 43.6 | 55.6 | 70.1 | 29.5 | 32.0 | 52.6 | 704 |
|  | Khulna | 4.4 | 3148 | 40.8 | 58.8 | 70.9 | 28.6 | 30.3 | 48.1 | 139 |
|  | Rajshahi | 7.4 | 7284 | 40.9 | 58.3 | 62.2 | 37.0 | 24.6 | 42.6 | 540 |
|  | Sylhet | 7.5 | 2521 | 40.4 | 59.2 | 67.9 | 31.8 | 24.7 | 47.8 | 188 |
| Area | Rural | 7.1 | 23034 | 38.8 | 60.4 | 66.1 | 33.1 | 26.4 | 47.8 | 1630 |
|  | Urban | 7.4 | 8280 | 47.2 | 52.1 | 66.2 | 33.3 | 31.3 | 51.6 | 611 |
|  | Urban municipality | 7.1 | 6061 | 42.3 | 57.0 | 67.1 | 32.3 | 27.8 | 51.9 | 428 |
|  | City Corporations | 8.2 | 2219 | 58.9 | 40.6 | 64.1 | 35.5 | 39.7 | 51.0 | 183 |
|  | Non-slum | 7.9 | 2009 | 61.4 | 38.2 | 63.9 | 35.6 | 41.1 | 50.5 | 159 |
|  | Slum | 11.2 | 210 | 42.2 | 57.3 | 65.5 | 34.5 | 30.3 | 54.1 | 24 |
|  | Tribal | 5.1 | 253 | 31.0 | 64.4 | 67.2 | 31.5 | 27.8 | 58.1 | 13 |
| Age | 0-11 months | 8.5 | 5669 | 33.9 | 65.1 | 64.5 | 34.0 | 21.7 | 40.4 | 483 |
|  | 12-23 months | 10.1 | 6032 | 42.6 | 56.5 | 57.3 | 42.1 | 26.9 | 45.5 | 606 |
|  | 24-35 months | 7.0 | 6320 | 42.2 | 57.6 | 67.1 | 32.8 | 27.1 | 49.8 | 443 |
|  | 36-47 months | 5.7 | 6789 | 45.4 | 53.8 | 71.4 | 27.8 | 33.6 | 53.7 | 388 |
|  | 48-59 months | 4.9 | 6751 | 42.3 | 57.1 | 77.6 | 22.3 | 32.3 | 60.8 | 332 |
| Mother's education | None | 7.9 | 11224 | 39.6 | 59.7 | 66.2 | 33.3 | 26.2 | 46.5 | 882 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 8.3 | 4997 | 39.4 | 60.1 | 64.8 | 34.2 | 28.5 | 49.5 | 417 |
|  | Primary completed | 6.7 | 4084 | 35.9 | 63.3 | 66.3 | 33.7 | 24.2 | 48.8 | 274 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 6.2 | 7948 | 41.1 | 57.7 | 64.8 | 34.1 | 26.4 | 48.0 | 489 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 5.5 | 3204 | 60.4 | 39.0 | 74.7 | 24.9 | 44.5 | 64.4 | 178 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 13.6 | 106 | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | '(*)' | 14 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 2 | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 0 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 8.6 | 7987 | 35.7 | 63.4 | 62.6 | 37.0 | 22.6 | 44.5 | 685 |
|  | Second | 7.6 | 6615 | 41.4 | 58.1 | 65.7 | 33.1 | 28.0 | 46.9 | 502 |
|  | Middle | 7.1 | 5918 | 39.3 | 59.6 | 68.3 | 31.0 | 27.3 | 49.4 | 420 |
|  | Fourth | 5.6 | 5854 | 41.4 | 57.8 | 68.5 | 31.0 | 27.6 | 54.6 | 325 |
|  | Richest | 6.2 | 5192 | 54.0 | 45.7 | 69.3 | 30.3 | 38.9 | 55.1 | 321 |
| National |  | 7.1 | 31566 | 41.1 | 58.2 | 66.2 | 33.2 | 27.7 | 48.9 | 2254 |

[^6]
## Care seeking and antibiotic treatment of pneumonia

Pneumonia is the leading cause of death in children and the use of antibiotics for children under-5 with suspected pneumonia is a key intervention. The World Fit for Children goal for acute respiratory infections is to reduce related deaths by one-third.

Children with suspected pneumonia are those who have an illness with a cough, accompanied by rapid or difficult breathing and whose symptoms are not due to a problem in the chest or a blocked nose. The MICS indicators are:

- Prevalence of suspected pneumonia
- Care seeking for suspected pneumonia
- Antibiotic treatment for suspected pneumonia
- Knowledge of the danger signs of pneumonia

Table CH. 6 presents the prevalence of suspected pneumonia and, if care was sought outside the home, the site of care. Nationally, 5.3 percent of under- 5 children were reported to have had symptoms of pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey interview. Of them, 30.1 percent were taken to an appropriate health care provider, while 27 percent were taken to traditional practitioners. There was a strong correlation between the education level of the mother as well as the economic status of the household and the appropriate treatment of suspected pneumonia in a child the more educated a mother was or the more income a family had the more likelihood there was for proper treatment.

Figure CH.4: Care seeking for suspected pneumonia (percentage of under-5 children who were taken to any appropriate health care provider in the two weeks prior to the survey, Bangladesh, 2006

Table CH.6: Care seeking for suspected pneumonia
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months who were taken to a health care provider in the two weeks prior to the survey, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Had acute respiratory infection | No. of under-5 Children | Govt. hospital | Other govt. | Private hospital clinic | Private physician | Pharmacy | Other private, relative, shop | Traditional practitioner | NGO Hospital/ Clinic | Other | Any appropriate provider * | No. of under-5 children with suspected pneumonia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 5.8 | 16222 | 12.7 | . 6 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 23.1 | 5.5 | 25.9 | . 5 | 6.6 | 30.0 | 936 |
|  | Female | 4.9 | 15344 | 12.4 | . 4 | 1.9 | 13.6 | 20.1 | 3.8 | 28.1 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 30.1 | 748 |
| Division | Barisal | 6.4 | 1873 | 14.7 | . 0 | 2.4 | 7.5 | 17.9 | 10.9 | 24.9 | . 0 | 5.8 | 24.6 | 121 |
|  | Chittagong | 4.8 | 6797 | 12.2 | . 5 | 3.3 | 16.6 | 26.8 | 2.3 | 28.4 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 33.1 | 328 |
|  | Dhaka | 4.4 | 9942 | 15.6 | . 8 | 1.8 | 14.3 | 25.4 | 5.9 | 22.0 | . 6 | 1.1 | 32.6 | 441 |
|  | Khulna | 4.4 | 3148 | 16.8 | . 8 | 3.6 | 14.1 | 20.6 | 1.7 | 26.6 | . 0 | 8.8 | 34.9 | 139 |
|  | Rajshahi | 6.9 | 7284 | 9.7 | . 3 | 2.4 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 5.7 | 31.2 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 25.9 | 506 |
|  | Sylhet | 5.9 | 2521 | 8.6 | . 0 | 1.0 | 20.2 | 23.9 | . 7 | 24.9 | . 0 | 5.3 | 29.8 | 149 |
| Area | Rural | 5.6 | 23034 | 10.7 | . 6 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 22.1 | 4.3 | 30.9 | . 8 | 6.2 | 25.9 | 1286 |
|  | Urban | 4.7 | 8280 | 18.9 | . 1 | 4.5 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 5.6 | 13.6 | . 4 | 6.7 | 44.2 | 387 |
|  | Urban municipality | 5.3 | 6061 | 21.5 | . 0 | 4.8 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 6.8 | 15.7 | . 4 | 7.4 | 42.2 | 321 |
|  | City Corporation | 3.0 | 2219 | 6.3 | . 6 | 2.8 | 44.2 | 38.2 | . 2 | 3.2 | . 4 | 3.5 | 54.2 | 67 |
|  | Non-slum | 2.9 | 2009 | 5.2 | . 0 | 2.5 | 47.2 | 40.4 | . 2 | 2.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | 55.0 | 59 |
|  | Slum | 3.9 | 210 | (13.8) | (5.1) | (5.1) | (22.5) | (22.4) | (.0) | (5.1) | (3.4) | (5.2) | (48.2) | 8 |
|  | Tribal | 4.0 | 253 | (6.5) | (.0) | (.8) | (3.0) | (16.7) | (25.1) | (29.9) | (2.2) | (6.5) | (14.7) | 10 |
| Age | 0-11 months | 8.5 | 5669 | 10.6 | . 5 | 3.8 | 18.4 | 20.3 | 5.5 | 29.0 | . 8 | 7.2 | 34.6 | 483 |
|  | 12-23 months | 6.8 | 6032 | 14.5 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 13.2 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 30.1 | . 4 | 5.6 | 31.5 | 409 |
|  | 24-35 months | 5.1 | 6320 | 14.7 | . 1 | 2.0 | 14.0 | 23.2 | 6.6 | 23.2 | . 8 | 2.8 | 32.7 | 320 |
|  | 36-47 months | 3.8 | 6789 | 12.7 | . 3 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 22.0 | 4.4 | 24.3 | . 7 | 7.8 | 23.5 | 259 |
|  | 48-59 months | 3.2 | 6751 | 10.2 | . 0 | . 6 | 9.1 | 21.9 | 4.1 | 24.7 | . 9 | 9.3 | 20.8 | 213 |
| Mother's education | None | 5.1 | 11224 | 11.6 | . 3 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 22.5 | 5.4 | 31.7 | . 3 | 6.8 | 19.6 | 572 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 5.5 | 4997 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 20.9 | 4.0 | 30.7 | . 7 | 6.6 | 21.7 | 274 |
|  | Primary completed | 5.9 | 4084 | 9.1 | . 9 | 1.8 | 14.2 | 24.1 | 4.9 | 30.2 | . 5 | 5.0 | 26.3 | 239 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 5.9 | 7948 | 15.6 | . 3 | 3.4 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 5.5 | 20.5 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 42.4 | 465 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 3.8 | 3204 | 19.4 | . 0 | 6.4 | 33.4 | 20.6 | . 1 | 11.7 | . 7 | 3.1 | 59.5 | 121 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 8.5 | 94 | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 8 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 5.8 | 7987 | 9.5 | 1.1 | . 7 | 4.8 | 19.3 | 7.2 | 32.3 | . 8 | 7.3 | 16.8 | 465 |
|  | Second | 6.2 | 6615 | 12.3 | . 0 | 2.6 | 10.5 | 20.8 | 4.5 | 32.3 | . 6 | 6.7 | 26.0 | 410 |
|  | Middle | 5.6 | 5918 | 13.0 | . 1 | 1.6 | 11.9 | 21.5 | 3.2 | 32.7 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 27.9 | 329 |
|  | Fourth | 4.7 | 5854 | 15.4 | . 7 | 2.1 | 23.4 | 25.1 | 3.4 | 16.6 | . 8 | 4.2 | 41.9 | 276 |
|  | Richest | 3.9 | 5192 | 15.8 | . 1 | 7.4 | 30.6 | 25.5 | 3.7 | 8.0 | . 3 | 4.0 | 55.9 | 203 |
| National |  | 5.3 | 31566 | 12.6 | . 5 | 2.4 | 13.8 | 21.8 | 4.7 | 26.9 | . 7 | 6.4 | 30.1 | 1683 |

[^7]* MICS indicator 23


## Table CH.7: Antibiotic treatment of pneumonia

Percentage of under-5 children with suspected pneumonia who received antibiotic treatment, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | No. of under-5 children with | Percent under-5 children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 936 | 21.8 |
|  | Female | 748 | 21.2 |
| Division | Barisal | 121 | 13.1 |
|  | Chittagong | 328 | 22 |
|  | Dhaka | 441 | 25.2 |
|  | Khulna | 139 | 24.4 |
|  | Rajshahi | 506 | 20 |
|  | Sylhet | 149 | 18.5 |
| Area | Rural | 1286 | 22 |
|  | Urban | 387 | 19.3 |
|  | Urban municipality | 321 | 18.4 |
|  | City Corporations | 67 | 23.5 |
|  | Non-slum | 59 | -25.4 |
|  | Slum | 8 | -10.2 |
|  | Tribal | 10 | 36.4 |
| Age | 0-11 months | 483 | 23.3 |
|  | 12-23 months | 409 | 22.7 |
|  | 24-35 months | 320 | 20.2 |
|  | 36-47 months | 259 | 21.3 |
|  | 48-59 months | 213 | 17.4 |
| Mother's education | None | 572 | 18.8 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 274 | 24 |
|  | Primary completed | 239 | 20.9 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 465 | 20.3 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 121 | 36.1 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 11 | '(*)' |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 465 | 17.2 |
|  | Second | 410 | 22.2 |
|  | Middle | 329 | 22.8 |
|  | Fourth | 276 | 21.3 |
|  | Richest | 203 | 28.1 |
| National |  | 1683 | 21.5 |
| *MICS indicator 22 |  |  |  |

Table CH.7a: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia
Percentage of mothers (caretakers) of under-five children by knowledge of types of symptoms for taking a child immediately to a health care facility, and percentage of mothers who recognize fast and difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, Bangladesh, 2006

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |





 バ


 Background characteristics

| Division | Barisal |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Chittagong |
|  | Dhaka |
|  | Khulna |
|  | Rajshahi |
|  | Sylhet |
| Area | Rural |
|  | Urban |
|  | Urban municipality |
|  | City Corporation |
|  | Non-slum |
|  | Slum |
|  | Tribal |
| Mother's education | None |
|  | Primary incomplete |
|  | Primary completed |
|  | Secondary incomplete |
|  | Secondary completed or higher |
|  | Non-standard curriculum |
|  | Missing/DK |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest |
|  | Second |
|  | Middle |
|  | Fourth |
| National |  |

[^8]Table CH. 7 shows the proportion of children treated with antibiotics when their mother (caretakers) suspected they may have pneumonia. Overall, only 21.5 percent of under-5 children who were sick in the two-week period prior to the survey interview received any antibiotic for treating pneumonia. Not surprising, the treatment rate increased in parallel to the increasing level of education of the mothers and economic status of households. There was not much variation between the rural and urban areas. However, an antibiotic was less applied among the older of the under-5 children.

A mother's knowledge of the danger signs of pneumonia is an important determinant of care-seeking behaviour. Overall, 15.7 percent of mother's in the survey correctly knew the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and difficult breathing. Most mothers ( 82.7 percent) said fever was the tell-tale symptom of pneumonia and thus the sign for seeking teatment in a health care facility. However, 36.1 percent of the mothers identified fast breathing only, while another 35 percent said difficult breathing only (Table CH.7a).

## Solid fuel use

More than three billion people around the world rely on solid fuels (biomass and coal) for their basic energy needs, including cooking and heating. But using solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor smoke, which is a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants. The problem is the incomplete combustion that takes place, resulting in the release of toxic elements such as carbon monoxide, polyaromatic hydrocarbons and sulphur dioxide. The use of solid fuels increases the risks of acute respiratory illness, pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, cancer, and possibly tuberculosis, low birth weight, cataracts, and asthma.

For the MICS, the primary indicator is the proportion of the population using solid fuels as the main source of energy for cooking.

The survey fingings indicate that a very high proportion ( 87.6 percent) of all households in Bangladesh use solid fuels for cooking (Table CH.8), reflected largely in the reported use of wood (48.4 percent). The prevalence was lowest in city corporations ( 30.6 percent) but very high in rural areas where almost all the surveyed households ( 97.2 percent) relied on solid fuels. Again, there were distinctions based on the educational level of the household head and household wealth; for example, 98.2 percent of the poorest quintile-ranking families used solid wood for cooking, compared to 48.9 percent of the richest quintile.

Solid fuel use alone is a poor proxy for indoor air pollution because the concentration of the pollutants depends on were it is burned - in a fire or in different types of stoves. A closed stove with a chimney minimizes the indoor pollution, while an open stove or fire with no chimney or hood means that there is no protection from the harmful effects of solid fuels. As table CH. 9 shows a very high proportion of households ( 97.5 percent) were using on open stove or fire with no chimney or hood for there cooking purposes. Only 0.1 percent of the surveyed households used a closed stove with a chimney; 2.3 percent also used an open stove or fire but with a chimney.

Table CH.8: Solid fuel use
Percentage distribution of households according to type of cooking fuel, and percentage of households that used solid fuels for cooking, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Electricity | Gas | Kerosene | Wood | Other | Total | Solid fuels | No. of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | . 1 | 2.1 | . 3 | 83.5 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 3909 |
|  | Chittagong | . 3 | 15.8 | . 2 | 64.2 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 83.0 | 11015 |
|  | Dhaka | . 4 | 18.0 | . 3 | 41.9 | 37.8 | 100.0 | 79.7 | 20219 |
|  | Khulna | . 3 | 2.5 | . 3 | 48.6 | 46.6 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 7465 |
|  | Rajshahi | . 1 | 2.0 | . 2 | 33.7 | 60.9 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 16432 |
|  | Sylhet | . 1 | 9.1 | . 2 | 66.2 | 23.2 | 100.0 | 89.4 | 3423 |
| Area | Rural | . 1 | . 9 | . 1 | 48.7 | 48.5 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 43735 |
|  | Urban | . 7 | 32.6 | . 7 | 46.9 | 17.3 | 100.0 | 64.3 | 18138 |
|  | Urban municipality | . 5 | 19.3 | . 6 | 55.0 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 77.9 | 12925 |
|  | City Corporation | 1.1 | 65.5 | . 8 | 27.1 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 30.6 | 5213 |
|  | Non-slum | 1.1 | 69.4 | . 7 | 23.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 26.8 | 4793 |
|  | Slum | . 8 | 20.9 | 1.3 | 69.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 74.5 | 420 |
|  | Tribal | . 0 | . 1 | . 2 | 75.0 | 24.5 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 590 |
| Education of household head | None | . 1 | 3.0 | . 1 | 43.6 | 51.1 | 100.0 | 94.7 | 27559 |
|  | Primary incomplete | . 1 | 4.9 | . 1 | 52.2 | 40.8 | 100.0 | 93.0 | 7721 |
|  | Primary completed | . 2 | 7.4 | . 1 | 54.0 | 36.7 | 100.0 | 90.7 | 6506 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | . 2 | 11.9 | . 2 | 55.2 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 10349 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | . 9 | 33.5 | . 9 | 48.4 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 63.8 | 9982 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | . 0 | 5.1 | . 0 | 35.3 | 58.5 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 190 |
|  | Missing/DK | . 3 | 19.8 | . 0 | 49.0 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 77.6 | 154 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 22.7 | 75.5 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 13530 |
|  | Second | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 52.3 | 45.4 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 13019 |
|  | Middle | . 0 | . 3 | . 1 | 60.5 | 36.8 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 12397 |
|  | Fourth | . 2 | 4.3 | . 3 | 68.4 | 25.4 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 11572 |
|  | Richest | 1.1 | 48.3 | . 9 | 41.3 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 48.9 | 11946 |
| National |  | . 2 | 10.1 | . 3 | 48.4 | 39.2 | 100.0 | 87.6 | 62463 |

Note: Liquid propane gas (LPG), natural gas and biogas are considered as gas.
Straw/shrubs/grass, animal dung and agricultural crop residue are considered as 'other'.

Table CH.9: Solid fuel use by type of stove or fire
Percentage distribution of households using solid fuels for cooking by type of stove or fire, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage of households using solid fuels for cooking: |  |  |  | Total | No. of households using solid fuels for cooking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Closed stove with chimney | Open stove or fire with chimney or hood | Open stove or fire with no chimney or hood | Other stove |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | . 2 | 1.1 | 98.6 | . 1 | 100.0 | 3791 |
|  | Chittagong | . 3 | . 7 | 99.0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 9140 |
|  | Dhaka | . 0 | . 8 | 99.1 | . 0 | 100.0 | 16107 |
|  | Khulna | . 5 | 3.1 | 96.4 | . 1 | 100.0 | 7108 |
|  | Rajshahi | . 0 | . 8 | 99.2 | . 0 | 100.0 | 15538 |
|  | Sylhet | . 1 | 22.1 | 77.8 | . 0 | 100.0 | 3059 |
| Area | Rural | . 1 | 2.1 | 97.7 | . 0 | 100.0 | 42497 |
|  | Urban | . 2 | 3.0 | 96.7 | . 0 | 100.0 | 11661 |
|  | Urban municipality | . 2 | 3.3 | 96.4 | . 0 | 100.0 | 10063 |
|  | City Corporations | . 0 | 1.0 | 99.0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 1597 |
|  | Non-slum | . 0 | 1.1 | 98.9 | . 0 | 100.0 | 1284 |
|  | Slum | . 0 | . 5 | 99.3 | . 1 | 100.0 | 313 |
|  | Tribal | . 0 | . 5 | 99.4 | . 1 | 100.0 | 587 |
| Education of household head | None | . 1 | 1.7 | 98.2 | . 0 | 100.0 | 26086 |
|  | Primary incomplete | . 1 | 2.5 | 97.4 | . 0 | 100.0 | 7179 |
|  | Primary completed | . 1 | 3.4 | 96.4 | . 0 | 100.0 | 5901 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | . 3 | 2.4 | 97.3 | . 0 | 100.0 | 8912 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | . 4 | 3.0 | 96.5 | . 1 | 100.0 | 6368 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | . 0 | 2.8 | 97.2 | . 0 | 100.0 | 178 |
|  | Missing/DK | . 0 | 3.9 | 96.1 | . 0 | 100.0 | 120 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | . 0 | 1.1 | 98.8 | . 0 | 100.0 | 13282 |
|  | Second | . 0 | 1.7 | 98.2 | . 0 | 100.0 | 12717 |
|  | Middle | . 1 | 2.1 | 97.8 | . 0 | 100.0 | 12050 |
|  | Fourth | . 2 | 3.1 | 96.7 | . 0 | 100.0 | 10857 |
|  | Richest | . 6 | 5.1 | 94.2 | . 1 | 100.0 | 5838 |
| National |  | . 1 | 2.3 | 97.5 | . 0 | 100.0 | 54745 |
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## Water and sanitation

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases, such as trachoma, cholera, typhoid, and schistosomiasis. Drinking water can also be tainted with chemical, physical and radiological contaminants that harmfully affect human health. In addition to its association with disease, access to safe drinking water may be particularly important for women and children, especially in rural areas, because they tend to shoulder the primary responsibility for carrying water, often over long distances.

The seventh MDG goal expects countries to reduce by half (between 1990 and 2015) the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. The World Fit for Children goal calls for a one-third reduction in the proportion of households without access to hygienic sanitation facilities and affordable and safe drinking water.

The MICS used the following indicators:

## Water

- Use of improved drinking water sources
- Use of adequate water treatment method
- Time to source of drinking water
- Person collecting drinking water


## Sanitation

- Use of improved sanitation facilities
- Sanitary disposal of child's faeces

The population using improved sources of drinking water are those with any of the following types of water supply: piped water (into dwelling, yard or plot), public tap/standpipe, tube well/borehole, protected well, protected spring, and rainwater collection. Bottled water is considered as an improved water source only if the household is using it for other purposes also, such as hand washing and cooking.

As Table EN. 1 shows, 97.6 percent of the surveyed population had access to improved drinking water sources - 99.2 percent in urban areas and 97.1 percent in rural areas. By divisions, Khulna Division is relatively worse than the other Divisions; about 92 percent of the population in this Division gets its drinking water from an improved source. It may be mentioned that arsenic contamination is not considered here.

The source of drinking water for the population varies strongly by division. In Dhaka Division where there is a higher concentration of people (especially the capital city of Dhaka), 14.6 percent of the population used drinking water that is piped into their dwelling, yard or plot. In Barisal, Khulna and Rajshahi Divisions, less than 2 percent of the households used piped water. Tube wells provide the main source of drinking water varying from 82.4 percent in Dhaka Division to 97.2 percent in Rajshahi Division. Public taps provided water to 2.5 percent of households in Dhaka and Khulna Divisions.

## Table EN.1: Use of improved water sources

Percentage distribution of household population, according to main source of drinking water, and percentage of household members using improved drinking water sources, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Improved source of drinking water* | No. of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Improved sources |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sources |  |  |  |
|  |  | Piped into dwelling | Piped into yard or plot | Public tap/ standpipe | Tubewell/ borehole | Other improved sources |  |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | . 5 | . 2 | . 9 | 95.0 | . 3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 19099 |
|  | Chittagong | 4.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 87.7 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 59424 |
|  | Dhaka | 9.2 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 82.4 | . 2 | . 4 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 95557 |
|  | Khulna | . 7 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 87.0 | 4 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 33854 |
|  | Rajshahi | . 5 | . 4 | . 5 | 97.2 | . 5 | . 9 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 73400 |
|  | Sylhet | 2.8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 87.8 | . 9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 20398 |
| Area | Rural | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | 95.9 | . 7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 212285 |
|  | Urban | 14.3 | 8.1 | 5.0 | 71.5 | . 2 | . 8 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 86762 |
|  | Urban municipality | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 87.6 | . 2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 62086 |
|  | City Corporation | 39.0 | 19.8 | 9.6 | 31.2 | . 1 | . 3 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 24676 |
|  | Non-slum | 42.1 | 18.2 | 7.9 | 31.5 | . 1 | . 3 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 22763 |
|  | Slum | 2.4 | 39.7 | 29.8 | 27.7 | . 1 | . 3 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 1913 |
|  | Tribal | . 0 | . 3 | . 2 | 70.7 | 7.4 | 21.5 | 100.0 | 78.5 | 2685 |
| Education of household head | None | . 6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 92.6 | . 8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 130785 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 90.9 | . 7 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 38100 |
|  | Primary completed | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 91.1 | . 4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 32288 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 3.8 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 88.2 | . 4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 50570 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 17.8 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 75.3 | . 4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 48344 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | . 7 | . 0 | . 0 | 95.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 846 |
|  | Missing/DK | . 1 | 15.8 | 1.9 | 80.9 | . 6 | . 6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 798 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 98.7 | . 3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 60145 |
|  | Second | . 0 | . 0 | . 5 | 95.0 | . 8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 60461 |
|  | Middle | . 0 | . 6 | 2.0 | 91.7 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 60435 |
|  | Fourth | . 2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 91.4 | . 6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 97.5 | 60343 |
|  | Richest | 20.6 | 9.1 | 2.8 | 66.6 | 2 | . 7 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 60349 |
| National |  | 4.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 88.7 | . 6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 301732 |
| * MICS indicato | ator 11; MDG indicator 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Unimproved sources include: unprotected well, unprotected spring and surface water.
Other improved sources include protected well, protected spring, rainwater and bottled water.

Arsenic contamination of ground water remains a significant issue for Bangladesh. About 8 percent of household respondents reported that the tubewells they relied upon had been tested and marked red, meaning the arsenic level was higher than the Bangladesh standard of $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ and the well water should not be used for drinking and cooking purposes (Table EN.1a). About 55 percent of the surveyed households reported that their tubewells also had been tested but marked green, meaning the arsenic level was lower than the Bangladesh standard. Another 38 percent of houshehold respondents said their tubewells had not been tested yet. The blanket testing of all tubewells has not been carried out nationwide, and has been confined only to the areas considered to be more at risk of arsenic contamination.

Table EN 1a: Tubewells tested/marked for arsenic contamination
Percentage distribution of households according to testing of tubewells for arsenic contamination, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | TW tested for arsenic |  |  |  | Total | No. of households who have heard of arsenic and use a tubewell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not tested | Tested/ marked red | Tested/ marked green | Missing |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 26.0 | 1.1 | 72.7 | . 2 | 100.00 | 2815 |
|  | Chittagong | 41.3 | 17.2 | 41.3 | . 2 | 100.00 | 7751 |
|  | Dhaka | 32.3 | 7.6 | 59.9 | . 3 | 100.00 | 14185 |
|  | Khulna | 20.1 | 8.6 | 71.0 | . 2 | 100.00 | 6178 |
|  | Rajshahi | 55.6 | 2.8 | 41.5 | . 2 | 100.00 | 10464 |
|  | Sylhet | 34.4 | 4.1 | 61.1 | . 4 | 100.00 | 2187 |
| Area | Rural | 33.8 | 9.1 | 56.9 | . 2 | 100.00 | 32344 |
|  | Urban | 48.0 | 3.8 | 48.0 | . 3 | 100.00 | 11052 |
|  | Urban municipality | 46.0 | 4.3 | 49.5 | . 2 | 100.00 | 9561 |
|  | City Corporation | 60.7 | . 5 | 38.0 | . 8 | 100.00 | 1491 |
|  | Non-slum | 60.3 | . 4 | 38.4 | . 8 | 100.00 | 1399 |
|  | Slum | 67.8 | 1.7 | 30.5 | . 0 | 100.00 | 91 |
|  | Tribal | 51.8 | 1.9 | 46.1 | . 3 | 100.00 | 184 |
| Education of household head | None | 37.1 | 8.4 | 54.2 | . 2 | 100.00 | 17816 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 35.9 | 8.3 | 55.6 | . 2 | 100.00 | 5533 |
|  | Primary completed | 38.3 | 8.3 | 53.1 | . 2 | 100.00 | 4871 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 38.3 | 7.3 | 54.2 | . 2 | 100.00 | 7988 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 37.9 | 5.5 | 56.3 | . 3 | 100.00 | 7113 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 39.3 | 6.5 | 54.2 | . 0 | 100.00 | 151 |
|  | Missing/DK | 41.1 | 4.6 | 54.2 | . 0 | 100.00 | 107 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 37.7 | 9.0 | 53.1 | . 3 | 100.00 | 8209 |
|  | Second | 37.4 | 8.7 | 53.8 | . 1 | 100.00 | 8963 |
|  | Middle | 35.4 | 8.7 | 55.7 | . 2 | 100.00 | 9420 |
|  | Fourth | 36.1 | 7.8 | 55.8 | . 3 | 100.00 | 9584 |
|  | Richest | 41.7 | 3.7 | 54.4 | . 3 | 100.00 | 7404 |
| National |  | 37.5 | 7.7 | 54.6 | . 2 | 100.0 | 43580 |

Table EN.1b: Problems of arsenic contamination
Percentage of households whose members have heard of arsenic, and percentage of households aware of the specific problems or diseases caused by arsenic contamination, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Proportion of households who have heard of arsenic | No. of households | Proportion of households aware of the problems of arsenic contamination: |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. of households who have heard of arsenic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Black, white or red spots over the body |  | Hands and feet become rough to touch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Legs } \\ & \text { swell up } \end{aligned}$ | Losing the feelings of hands and legs | Sore over hand and leg | Other | No problem |  |
| Division | Barisal |  | 76.5 | 3909 | 28.0 | 31.8 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 26.4 | 10.1 | 39.5 | 2992 |
|  | Chittagong | 80.2 | 11015 | 36.1 | 37.9 | 10.4 | 2.1 | 32.9 | 7.0 | 33.4 | 8833 |
|  | Dhaka | 86.8 | 20219 | 31.0 | 29.0 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 36.8 | 7.7 | 35.3 | 17551 |
|  | Khulna | 93.7 | 7465 | 30.7 | 30.8 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 41.9 | 7.0 | 34.6 | 6992 |
|  | Rajshahi | 65.6 | 16432 | 20.9 | 23.0 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 33.6 | 11.2 | 41.9 | 10775 |
|  | Sylhet | 72.0 | 3423 | 24.6 | 21.3 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 27.0 | 8.1 | 48.5 | 2463 |
| Area | Rural | 76.5 | 43735 | 26.3 | 26.5 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 32.2 | 8.2 | 41.5 | 33442 |
|  | Urban | 87.8 | 18138 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 8.3 | 2.1 | 41.0 | 8.6 | 28.1 | 15926 |
|  | Urban municipality | 85.7 | 12925 | 33.8 | 35.1 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 40.3 | 8.2 | 29.7 | 11083 |
|  | City Corporation | 92.9 | 5213 | 38.6 | 36.0 | 8.8 | 3.6 | 42.7 | 9.6 | 24.5 | 4844 |
|  | Non-slum | 94.0 | 4793 | 39.6 | 37.3 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 43.9 | 9.4 | 22.8 | 4506 |
|  | Slum | 80.4 | 420 | 25.3 | 19.7 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 26.0 | 12.4 | 47.0 | 338 |
|  | Tribal | 40.1 | 590 | 21.6 | 23.4 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 24.4 | 12.4 | 47.5 | 237 |
| Education of household head | None | 69.4 | 27559 | 21.0 | 21.8 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 27.7 | 8.3 | 48.2 | 19137 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 78.5 | 7721 | 27.2 | 24.8 | 5.8 | . 9 | 32.5 | 8.6 | 41.1 | 6062 |
|  | Primary completed | 82.8 | 6506 | 28.5 | 28.8 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 34.9 | 8.4 | 37.5 | 5386 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 88.5 | 10349 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 37.9 | 8.3 | 32.3 | 9161 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 96.0 | 9982 | 44.6 | 44.3 | 10.5 | 2.6 | 48.7 | 8.4 | 17.3 | 9584 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 81.0 | 190 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 3.6 | . 6 | 24.9 | 11.5 | 44.7 | 154 |
|  | Missing/DK | 79.2 | 154 | 25.5 | 20.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 23.5 | 5.5 | 47.0 | 122 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 61.2 | 13530 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 4.0 | . 8 | 24.8 | 9.4 | 52.1 | 8281 |
|  | Second | 71.3 | 13019 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 28.0 | 8.7 | 47.6 | 9287 |
|  | Middle | 81.2 | 12397 | 26.3 | 25.9 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 32.2 | 7.6 | 41.9 | 10072 |
|  | Fourth | 90.8 | 11572 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 38.5 | 8.3 | 31.4 | 10512 |
|  | Richest | 95.9 | 11946 | 42.5 | 42.6 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 47.3 | 8.2 | 19.2 | 11453 |
| Number of households |  | 79.4 | 62463 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 35.0 | 8.4 | 37.2 | 49605 |

MICS 2006 also collected data on household's awareness of the problems or diseases caused by arsenic contamination. Households were asked if they heard of arsenic in water. Some 79.4 percent of households did hear about it (Table EN.1b). According to the households, the most common problems or diseases caused by arsenic are: sores over the limb ( 35 percent), limbs becoming rough to the touch ( 29.3 percent), and black, white or red spots over the body ( 29.2 percent). Asked whether or not they took any measures to avoid arsenic contamination, about one third (31.9 percent) of the households reported that they do not take any specific measures to prevent arsenic contamination. Some 54.5 percent of households said they use water from arsenic-free tubewells. Slightly more than 2 percent indicated that they use filters, including SIDKO filters which are designed to remove arsenic (Table EN.1c).

Table EN.1c: Protection from arsenic contamination
Percentage of households protecting themselves from aresenic contamination, Bangladesh, 2006)

| Background characteristics |  | Proportion of households protecting themselves from arsenic contamination by: |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. of households who have heard of arsenic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Using water from arsenic free TW | Using boiled pond/ river/ canal water | Using rain water | Using pond/ sand filter water | Using filters (including SIDKO filters) | Other | Nothing |  |
| Division | Barisal | 57.3 | 23.4 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 32.0 | 2992 |
|  | Chittagong | 54.7 | 26.2 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 29.9 | 8833 |
|  | Dhaka | 57.7 | 20.0 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 29.9 | 17551 |
|  | Khulna | 62.0 | 19.2 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 26.5 | 6992 |
|  | Rajshahi | 45.7 | 18.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 39.0 | 10775 |
|  | Sylhet | 44.5 | 24.4 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 37.1 | 2463 |
| Area | Rural | 53.6 | 19.4 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 34.2 | 33442 |
|  | Urban | 56.5 | 24.4 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 26.8 | 15926 |
|  | Urban municipality | 58.1 | 23.1 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 7.6 | 27.7 | 11083 |
|  | City Corporation | 52.9 | 27.4 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 24.9 | 4844 |
|  | Non-slum | 54.4 | 28.0 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 10.7 | 23.4 | 4506 |
|  | Slum | 33.1 | 19.9 | 4.4 | . 2 | 1.2 | 10.9 | 44.8 | 338 |
|  | Tribal | 41.1 | 15.7 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 46.2 | 237 |
| Education of household head | None | 47.1 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 1.7 | . 9 | 5.7 | 40.2 | 19137 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 51.9 | 19.7 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 34.0 | 6062 |
|  | Primary completed | 54.3 | 21.9 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 30.9 | 5386 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 58.1 | 24.1 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 7.4 | 27.5 | 9161 |
|  | Secondary completed | 67.8 | 26.6 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 18.5 | 9584 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum or higher | 49.0 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | . 0 | 7.5 | 40.8 | 154 |
|  | Missing/DK | 51.9 | 10.2 | 3.2 | . 8 | 3.5 | 8.3 | 38.1 | 122 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | 44.5 | 14.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | . 8 | 5.4 | 44.5 | 8281 |
|  | Second | 46.8 | 18.0 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 40.3 | 9287 |
|  | Middle | 52.4 | 19.1 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 34.2 | 10072 |
|  | Fourth | 60.0 | 23.5 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 6.8 | 25.9 | 10512 |
|  | Richest | 64.6 | 27.7 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 19.4 | 11453 |
| Total |  | 54.5 | 21.0 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 31.9 | 49605 |

Households member were asked how they treated water at home to make it safer to drink; boiling, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter or using solar disinfection are considered the proper ways to treat water for consumption. Table EN. 2 shows the percentage of household members using appropriate water treatment methods as well as of those households using improved or unimproved drinking water sources. Only 7.4 percent of the household population used any of the proper methods to treat their drinking water. Calculated by area, only 2.9 percent of rural households and 18.5 percent of urban households properly treated the water they consumed, but in city corporations the proportion rose 47.5 percent. More household boiled their water ( 4.9 percent) followed by filters (2 percent).

The amount of time it takes household members to obtain water is presented in Table EN.3, and the following Table EN. 4 shows which person usually handled that task. These results only refer to one roundtrip from the home to the drinking water source; information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected.

For 68 percent of the surveyed households, the drinking water source was on their premises. But this finding varied substantially between divisions: in Barisal, only 27.4 percent of the drinking water sources were on the premises while in Rajshahi this rose to 78.6 percent. By area, 65.1 percent of rural households and 76.6 percent of urban households had a drinking water source on their premises. Only 24.4 percent of tribal households had such proximity to their water source.

Having a water source on the premises positively correlated to both educational level of the household head and the economic status of the household.

Some 28.5 percent of all households spent less than 30 minutes making a roundtrip to and from their water source, while 2.7 percent of households spent more than 30 minutes but less than an hour. Excluding those households with water on their premises, the average time to the source of drinking water was 12.2 minutes. The time spent in rural areas in collecting water was slightly longer than in urban areas. One important finding is the greater time spent in tribal areas for collecting water - 16.9 minutes on average.

As Table EN. 4 shows, an adult woman in the majority of surveyed households usually was the one to collect the water ( 88.8 percent) when the source was not on the premises. Adult men collect water in only 4.7 percent of households, while either female or male children younger than 15 were sent for it in 5.6 percent of households.

Table EN.2: Household water treatment
Percentage distribution of household population, according to drinking water treatment method used in the household, and percentage of household members that applied an appropriate water treatment method, Bangladesh, 2006


| Treatment of main source of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| drinking water |  | ho


| No. of household members |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Division | Barisal |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Chittagong |
|  | Dhaka |
|  | Khulna |
|  | Rajshahi |
|  | Sylhet |
| Area | Rural |
|  | Urban |
|  | Urban municipality |
|  | City Corporation |
|  | Non-slum |
|  | Slum |
|  | Tribal |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Education } \\ \text { of }\end{array}$ | None |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary incomplete |

household Primary completed

| 93.1 | 1.0 | 6.5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 93.4 | 1.1 | 6.0 |  |
| 87.3 | 3.4 | 12.4 |  |


| 19099 |
| :--- |
| 59424 |


| 90.7 | 2.6 | 8.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 98.3 | .4 | 1.4 |


| 90.8 | 1.6 | 8.2 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 96.7 | .8 | 2.9 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |

head Secondary incomplete

| 94.0 | 1.3 | 5.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 91.3 | 2.1 | 8.1 |

Table EN.3: Time to source of water
Percentage distribution of households according to length time to and from the source of drinking water, and mean time to source of drinking water, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Time to and from the source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Mean time to source of drinking water (excluding those on premises) | No. of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Water on premises | Less than 15 minutes | 15 minutes to less than 30 minutes | 30 minutes to less than 1 hour | 1 hour or more | Don't <br> Know |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 27.4 | 43.4 | 16.8 | 10.4 | 1.4 | . 5 | 100.0 | 14.6 | 3909 |
|  | Chittagong | 59.2 | 26.7 | 8.9 | 4.3 | . 7 | . 3 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 11015 |
|  | Dhaka | 77.1 | 17.5 | 3.8 | 1.3 | . 1 | . 3 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 20219 |
|  | Khulna | 58.6 | 28.0 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 1.4 | . 1 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 7465 |
|  | Rajshahi | 78.6 | 18.4 | 2.3 | . 4 | . 1 | . 2 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 16432 |
|  | Sylhet | 59.7 | 24.0 | 10.3 | 4.9 | . 8 | . 4 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 3423 |
| Area | Rural | 65.1 | 24.2 | 6.9 | 3.1 | . 6 | . 2 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 43735 |
|  | Urban | 76.6 | 18.2 | 3.3 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 3 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 18138 |
|  | Urban municipality | 75.6 | 18.8 | 3.7 | 1.6 | . 2 | . 2 | 100.0 | 11.0 | 12925 |
|  | City Corporation | 79.2 | 16.7 | 2.3 | 1.3 | . 1 | . 5 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 5213 |
|  | Non-slum | 80.6 | 15.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 | . 0 | . 5 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 4793 |
|  | Slum | 63.2 | 27.2 | 5.5 | 3.0 | . 7 | . 5 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 420 |
|  | Tribal | 24.2 | 41.8 | 18.5 | 11.5 | 3.7 | . 2 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 590 |
| Education of household head | None | 62.0 | 26.5 | 7.3 | 3.3 | . 6 | . 3 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 27559 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 62.7 | 26.1 | 6.8 | 3.5 | . 7 | . 1 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 7721 |
|  | Primary completed | 68.2 | 22.3 | 6.0 | 2.9 | . 4 | . 2 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 6506 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 73.8 | 18.7 | 4.8 | 2.1 | . 4 | . 2 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 10349 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 82.8 | 13.2 | 2.6 | 1.0 | . 1 | . 3 | 100.0 | 10.4 | 9982 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 61.4 | 32.4 | 4.1 | 2.0 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 190 |
|  | Missing/DK | 68.8 | 20.9 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 1.5 | . 0 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 154 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 58.6 | 29.7 | 7.9 | 3.0 | . 5 | . 3 | 100.0 | 11.7 | 13530 |
|  | Second | 59.0 | 26.7 | 8.5 | 4.5 | . 9 | . 3 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 13019 |
|  | Middle | 63.5 | 25.5 | 6.8 | 3.4 | . 5 | . 3 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 12397 |
|  | Fourth | 74.7 | 18.9 | 4.0 | 1.9 | . 3 | . 2 | 100.0 | 11.3 | 11572 |
|  | Richest | 86.8 | 10.6 | 1.9 | . 5 | . 1 | . 2 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 11946 |
| National |  | 68.0 | 22.6 | 5.9 | 2.7 | . 5 | . 3 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 62463 |

Table EN.4: Person collecting water
Percentage distribution of households according to the person collecting water used in the household, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Person collecting drinking water |  |  |  |  | Total | No. of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Adult woman | Adult man | Female child (under 15) | Male child (under 15) | DK |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 77.3 | 11.6 | 7.8 | 2.7 | . 6 | 100.0 | 2838 |
|  | Chittagong | 88.3 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 1.7 | . 6 | 100.0 | 4497 |
|  | Dhaka | 91.5 | 3.1 | 3.7 | . 5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 4634 |
|  | Khulna | 88.5 | 6.1 | 3.9 | . 7 | . 7 | 100.0 | 3091 |
|  | Rajshahi | 94.6 | 1.5 | 2.6 | . 1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3523 |
|  | Sylhet | 90.0 | 3.3 | 4.7 | . 8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1380 |
| Area | Rural | 89.1 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.1 | . 8 | 100.0 | 15277 |
|  | Urban | 86.9 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 4237 |
|  | Urban municipality | 87.1 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3152 |
|  | City Corporation | 86.1 | 7.7 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1085 |
|  | Non-slum | 85.6 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 930 |
|  | Slum | 89.3 | 4.0 | 4.8 | . 3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 155 |
|  | Tribal | 94.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | . 2 | . 4 | 100.0 | 448 |
| Education | None | 90.9 | 3.2 | 4.3 | . 8 | . 8 | 100.0 | 10467 |
| of | Primary incomplete | 88.0 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 1.3 | . 9 | 100.0 | 2883 |
| household | Primary completed | 88.2 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 1.2 | . 8 | 100.0 | 2068 |
| head | Secondary incomplete | 87.0 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2709 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 80.6 | 11.0 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1713 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 87.5 | 2.8 | 7.5 | . 0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 73 |
|  | Missing/DK | 87.2 | 5.6 | 7.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 48 |
| Wealth | Poorest | 92.3 | 2.2 | 4.0 | . 5 | . 9 | 100.0 | 5595 |
| index | Second | 88.7 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 1.2 | . 7 | 100.0 | 5340 |
| quintiles | Middle | 88.2 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 1.1 | . 8 | 100.0 | 4525 |
|  | Fourth | 87.3 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2922 |
|  | Richest | 80.4 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1580 |
| National |  | 88.8 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 1.1 | . 9 | 100.0 | 19962 |

Inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene is associated with a range of diseases including diarrhoeal diseases and polio. Improved sanitation facilities include: flush toilets connected to sewage systems, septic tanks or pit latrines, ventilated improved pit latrines and pit latrines with slabs, and composting toilets.

Only 39.2 percent of the overall surveyed population lived in households with acces to improved sanitation facilities (Table EN.5). By area this broke down to 57.8 percent of urban household, 31.9 percent of rural households; within the urban areas, 68.8 percent households in the city corporations had access to sanitary latrines. There was no major variation among the six divisions, although Barisal Division ranked the highest ( 48.4 percent). Most of the surveyed population had no facility and used rivers, ponds, fields or the bushes for their sanitation needs. Only 17.2 percent of the surveyed population in the tribal areas used a sanitary latrine.

Figure EN.1: Household population using sanitary means of excreta disposal, Bangladesh, 2006


The MICS indicator for the safe disposal of a child's faeces looks at whether or not a child's (up to age two years) most recent stool (at the time of the survey interview) was disposed into a toilet or rinsed in a toilet or latrine. As Table EN. 6 shows, only 22.5 percent of households safely disposed of children's faeces. There was little variation among the divisions, though the lowest rate of safe disposal was 15.6 percent (in Rajshahi Division). The rural-urban variation was very significant: only 14.9 percent of rural households compared to 43.9 percent of urban households followed the safe procedures. There was a strong positive correlation between the safe disposal of a child's faeces and both the education of mother and economic status of households.

Table EN. 7 shows the findings for both the use of improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal. About 39 percent of surveyed household population reported using an improved water source and sanitary means of excreta disposal. The urban population was almost twice as likely (at 57.4 percent) to use an improved source of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal compared to the rural population (at 31.2 percent). In tribal areas, only 14.5 percent of the surveyed household population used both an improved source of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal.
Table EN.5: Use of sanitary means of excreta disposal
Percentage distribution of household population according to type of toilet used by the household and the percentage of household members using sanitary means of excreta disposal, Bangladesh, 2006

[^9]| Background characteristics |  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percentage of population using sanitary means of excreta disposal * | No. of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Improved sanitation facility |  |  |  | Unimproved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Flush to piped sewer system | Flush to septic tank | Flush to pit (latrine) | Pit latrine with slab | Pit latrine without slab/ open pit | Hanging toilet/ hanging latrine | No facilities or bush or field | Other |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | . 8 | 8.1 | 4.3 | 35.2 | 29.2 | 20.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 48.4 | 19099 |
|  | Chittagong | . 8 | 16.6 | 3.9 | 20.1 | 33.5 | 18.9 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 41.4 | 59424 |
|  | Dhaka | 7.4 | 10.8 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 32.9 | 22.5 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 95557 |
|  | Khulna | . 2 | 15.3 | 8.2 | 16.7 | 42.9 | 12.6 | 3.6 | . 5 | 100.0 | 40.3 | 33854 |
|  | Rajshahi | . 8 | 11.8 | 5.0 | 20.4 | 32.6 | 11.5 | 17.1 | . 7 | 100.0 | 38.0 | 73400 |
|  | Sylhet | 1.6 | 20.7 | 2.8 | 9.3 | 33.2 | 27.1 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 34.4 | 20398 |
| Area | Rural | . 2 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 38.6 | 19.7 | 9.2 | . 6 | 100.0 | 31.9 | 212285 |
|  | Urban | 9.4 | 28.3 | 6.6 | 13.4 | 22.4 | 14.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 57.8 | 86762 |
|  | Urban municipality | 2.0 | 26.8 | 8.0 | 16.6 | 27.9 | 12.6 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 62086 |
|  | City Corporation | 28.0 | 32.2 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 19.3 | . 1 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 24676 |
|  | Non-slum | 29.9 | 34.5 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 15.8 | . 1 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 72.9 | 22763 |
|  | Slum | 5.1 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 15.5 | 61.3 | . 4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 1913 |
|  | Tribal | . 3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 14.0 | 33.9 | 18.2 | 30.2 | . 5 | 100.0 | 17.2 | 2685 |
| Education of household head | None | . 9 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 24.4 | 12.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 24.5 | 130785 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 1.7 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 18.4 | 38.0 | 20.4 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 38100 |
|  | Primary completed | 1.4 | 11.9 | 6.5 | 19.1 | 37.5 | 17.0 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 38.9 | 32288 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 3.4 | 17.0 | 8.5 | 20.2 | 33.1 | 12.8 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 49.1 | 50570 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 9.3 | 38.3 | 8.9 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 5.8 | . 7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 48344 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | . 5 | 9.8 | 3.5 | 14.2 | 40.6 | 20.6 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 846 |
|  | Missing/DK | 7.9 | 18.4 | 5.3 | 19.4 | 23.4 | 21.6 | 3.2 | . 7 | 100.0 | 51.1 | 798 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | . 0 | . 0 | . 6 | 10.4 | 43.9 | 20.5 | 24.2 | . 4 | 100.0 | 11.0 | 60145 |
|  | Second | . 0 | . 2 | 2.7 | 18.5 | 42.9 | 26.6 | 8.5 | . 6 | 100.0 | 21.4 | 60461 |
|  | Middle | . 1 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 21.9 | 42.5 | 21.7 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 60435 |
|  | Fourth | 1.1 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 24.7 | 32.8 | 14.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 50.6 | 60343 |
|  | Richest | 13.2 | 49.7 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 7.6 | . 0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 82.0 | 60349 |
| National |  | 2.9 | 13.2 | 6.0 | 17.1 | 33.9 | 18.2 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 39.2 | 301732 |

* MICS Indicator 12; MDG Indicator 31

Washing hands after defecation (of each individual and after cleaning a child's stool) is a good way of avoiding intestinal diseases and has been emphasized in hygiene promotion in Bangladesh for several years. As Table Table EN. 5a shows, some 5.5 percent of the surveyed households used only water for hand washing after defecation; 21.3 percent used water and soil, 14.4 percent used water and ash and 58.8 percent used water and soap. The variation between the divisions is large. The rural-urban variation is very significant. There is a strong positive co-relation between hand washing and both the education of the household head and the socio-economic status of the household.

Table EN.5a: Hand washing after defecation
Percentage distribution of households according to hand washing practice of the household head after own or child's defecation, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Hand washing after defecation |  |  |  |  | Total | No. of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Only water | Water and soil | Water and ash | Water and soap | Others |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 3.4 | 24.4 | 13.0 | 59.1 | . 1 | 100.0 | 3909 |
|  | Chittagong | 9.2 | 14.7 | 5.0 | 71.1 | . 0 | 100.0 | 11015 |
|  | Dhaka | 5.5 | 23.7 | 11.7 | 59.0 | . 1 | 100.0 | 20219 |
|  | Khulna | 1.2 | 27.4 | 15.3 | 56.0 | . 1 | 100.0 | 7465 |
|  | Rajshahi | 4.2 | 19.1 | 25.6 | 51.0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 16432 |
|  | Sylhet | 11.6 | 21.6 | 6.1 | 60.7 | . 0 | 100.0 | 3423 |
| Area | Rural | 6.2 | 25.9 | 17.4 | 50.4 | . 1 | 100.0 | 43735 |
|  | Urban | 3.0 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 79.5 | . 1 | 100.0 | 18138 |
|  | Urban municipality | 3.3 | 13.2 | 9.0 | 74.5 | . 1 | 100.0 | 12925 |
|  | City corporation | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 91.9 | . 0 | 100.0 | 5213 |
|  | Non-slum | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 93.4 | . 0 | 100.0 | 4793 |
|  | Slum | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 74.2 | . 0 | 100.0 | 420 |
|  | Tribal | 26.6 | 17.0 | 12.9 | 43.3 | . 1 | 100.0 | 590 |
| Education <br> of <br> household head | None | 8.5 | 30.6 | 18.6 | 42.2 | . 1 | 100.0 | 27559 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 5.4 | 23.7 | 15.1 | 55.7 | . 1 | 100.0 | 7721 |
|  | Primary completed | 3.9 | 17.9 | 14.9 | 63.2 | . 0 | 100.0 | 6506 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 3.0 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 72.3 | . 0 | 100.0 | 10349 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | . 9 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 90.1 | . 0 | 100.0 | 9982 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 5.7 | 23.4 | 20.4 | 50.5 | . 0 | 100.0 | 190 |
|  | Missing/DK | 5.5 | 18.0 | 10.8 | 65.8 | . 0 | 100.0 | 154 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 10.2 | 37.2 | 22.8 | 29.7 | . 0 | 100.0 | 13530 |
|  | Second | 7.5 | 30.2 | 19.9 | 42.3 | . 1 | 100.0 | 13019 |
|  | Middle | 5.3 | 22.6 | 16.3 | 55.7 | . 1 | 100.0 | 12397 |
|  | Fourth | 2.5 | 11.6 | 9.6 | 76.2 | . 1 | 100.0 | 11572 |
|  | Richest | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 96.0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 11946 |
| National |  | 5.5 | 21.3 | 14.4 | 58.8 | . 1 | 100.0 | 62463 |

Table EN.6: Disposal of child's feaces
Percentage distribution of children aged 0-2 years, according to place of disposal of child's feaces, and the percentage of children aged 0-2 years whose stools are disposed of safely, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | What was done to dispose of the stools |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Proportion of children whose stools are disposed of safely * | No. of children aged 0-2 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Child <br> used <br> toilet/ <br> latrine | Put /rinsed into toilet or latrine | Put/ rinsed into drain or ditch | Thrown into garbage (solid waste) | Left in the open | Other |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 2.9 | 22.9 | 29.0 | 5.6 | 31.1 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 1071 |
|  | Chittagong | 1.3 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 24.0 | 3894 |
|  | Dhaka | 1.2 | 24.5 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 32.0 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 5748 |
|  | Khulna | . 8 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 6.3 | 39.1 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 1786 |
|  | Rajshahi | 1.4 | 14.2 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 43.1 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 4238 |
|  | Sylhet | 1.3 | 20.0 | 28.2 | 6.3 | 37.6 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 21.3 | 1492 |
| Area | Rural | . 8 | 14.1 | 22.5 | 12.3 | 41.8 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 14.9 | 13310 |
|  | Urban | 2.9 | 41.0 | 21.5 | 8.4 | 20.4 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 43.9 | 4765 |
|  | Urban municipality | 2.6 | 34.7 | 22.4 | 9.1 | 24.7 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 37.3 | 3491 |
|  | City Corporation | 3.7 | 58.1 | 18.9 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 61.8 | 1274 |
|  | Non-slum | 3.9 | 61.4 | 17.1 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 65.2 | 1157 |
|  | Slum | 1.9 | 26.3 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 15.6 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 117 |
|  | Tribal | . 8 | 16.5 | 12.1 | 6.9 | 46.5 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 154 |
| Mother's education | None | . 5 | 10.4 | 23.4 | 12.3 | 44.4 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 6018 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 1.0 | 14.4 | 24.6 | 12.1 | 39.9 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 2866 |
|  | Primary completed | 1.1 | 16.5 | 24.8 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 2343 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 1.4 | 27.3 | 20.9 | 10.6 | 32.3 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 4987 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 4.4 | 54.2 | 14.6 | 6.3 | 13.8 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 58.7 | 1957 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (.0) | (6.5) | (22.0) | (16.9) | (42.6) | (12.0) | 100.0 | (6.5) | 56 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{\prime}()^{*}{ }^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{\prime}()^{*}{ }^{\prime}$ | 100.0 | '(*)' | 2 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | . 5 | 6.2 | 21.3 | 13.6 | 49.8 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 6.8 | 4496 |
|  | Second | . 6 | 9.9 | 23.7 | 11.8 | 45.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 10.5 | 3865 |
|  | Middle | 1.1 | 16.2 | 24.3 | 11.9 | 37.7 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 17.3 | 3396 |
|  | Fourth | 1.2 | 24.6 | 24.4 | 12.3 | 30.0 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 25.7 | 3398 |
|  | Richest | 3.9 | 58.8 | 16.5 | 5.1 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 62.7 | 3073 |
| National |  | 1.3 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 11.2 | 36.2 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 22.5 | 18228 |

* MICS indicator 14

An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Table EN.7: Use of improved water sources and improved sanitation
Percentage of household population using both improved drinking water sources and sanitary means of excreta disposal, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics | Percentage of <br> household <br> population using <br> improved sources of <br> drinking water * | Percentage of <br> household <br> population using <br> sanitary means of <br> excreta disposal | ** |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Percentage of <br> household <br> population using <br> improved sources of <br> drinking water and <br> using sanitary means <br> of excreta disposal |  |

## Security of tenure and durability of housing

Target 11 of the seventh MDG expects countries to make significant improvements in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers, and the related indicator is the proportion of urban household members living in slum housing. In MICS, three indicators were introduced to measure issues related to slum housing: security of tenure, durability of housing, and the proportion living in slum households. An urban household is considered a slum in MICS if it fulfils one of the following conditions: improved drinking water sources are not used, improved sanitation facilities are not used, living area is not sufficient, housing is not durable, or security of tenure is lacking.

Lack of security of tenure is defined as the lack of formal documentation for the residence or perceived risk of eviction. Reflecting security of tenure, Table EN. 8 shows that 35.1 percent of surveyed urban households did not have formal documentation for the residence; 7.9 percent of surveyed respondents indicated there was a risk of being eviction. A calculation of both these findings shows that 36.4 percent of surveyed households did not have security of tenure. Only 1.1 percent of household members had actually been evicted from any dwelling the five years prior to the survey interview.

The situation was the worst in urban slums where 89.4 percent of the surveyed households did not have formal documentation for the residence, and 24.7 percent of household respondents believed there was a risk of being avicted. Further, 5.1 percent of household members had been evicted from a dwelling in the previous five years.

## Table EN.8: Security of tenure

Percentage of household members living in households in urban areas that lack formal documentation for their residence or who feel at risk of eviction from the dwelling, and the percentage of respondents who have been evicted from their home in the five years prior to the survey, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Household does not have formal documentation for the residence | Respondent feels there is a risk of eviction | Household does not have security of tenure * | Household members evicted from any dwelling prior 5 years | No. of households members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 25.5 | 10.8 | 30.0 | 2.7 | 4831 |
|  | Chittagong | 35.8 | 5.8 | 36.8 | . 6 | 17564 |
|  | Dhaka | 44.1 | 8.5 | 45.6 | 1.5 | 32415 |
|  | Khulna | 31.1 | 4.2 | 31.4 | . 5 | 9066 |
|  | Rajshahi | 24.2 | 10.1 | 25.1 | . 6 | 17607 |
|  | Sylhet | 30.6 | 7.1 | 31.3 | 1.1 | 5280 |
| Area | Urban | 35.1 | 7.9 | 36.4 | 1.1 | 86762 |
|  | Urban municipality | 24.8 | 7.2 | 25.9 | . 8 | 62086 |
|  | Metro cities | 61.2 | 9.4 | 63.0 | 1.7 | 24676 |
|  | Non-slum | 58.8 | 8.2 | 60.6 | 1.4 | 22763 |
|  | Slum | 89.4 | 24.7 | 91.1 | 5.1 | 1913 |
| Education of household head | None | 39.3 | 12.3 | 40.4 | 1.8 | 26046 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 33.3 | 9.1 | 34.8 | 1.1 | 8858 |
|  | Primary completed | 29.6 | 6.4 | 30.5 | 1.2 | 8722 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 32.5 | 6.4 | 33.8 | . 8 | 16559 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 34.9 | 4.3 | 36.3 | . 4 | 26148 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 16.8 | . 0 | 16.8 | . 0 | 96 |
|  | Missing/DK | 66.1 | 17.9 | 68.1 | 3.3 | 332 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 20.8 | 11.2 | 21.8 | 1.4 | 6255 |
|  | Second | 23.6 | 11.1 | 25.0 | . 9 | 7542 |
|  | Middle | 29.4 | 11.2 | 31.2 | 2.3 | 11232 |
|  | Fourth | 35.1 | 9.7 | 36.2 | 1.0 | 17868 |
|  | Richest | 40.7 | 5.2 | 41.9 | . 7 | 43865 |
| National |  | 35.1 | 7.9 | 36.4 | 1.1 | 86762 |

## Table EN.9: Durability of housing

Percentage of households and household members living in dwellings in urban areas that are not considered durable, by background characteristics, Bangladesh, 2006)

| Background characteristics |  | Dwelling has natural floor material | Dwelling is in poor condition | Dwelling is vulnerable to accidents | Dwelling located in hazardous location | Percent of households living in dwellings considered non durable | No. of households | Percent of household members living in dwelling considered non-durable | No. of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education of household head | None | 75.4 | 18.1 | . 0 | . 0 | 16.3 | 5531 | 15.6 | 26046 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 64.8 | 13.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 12.3 | 1806 | 11.4 | 8858 |
|  | Primary completed | 56.2 | 7.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.9 | 1784 | 6.6 | 8722 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 39.9 | 4.8 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.9 | 3413 | 3.7 | 16559 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 12.7 | . 8 | . 0 | . 0 | . 6 | 5516 | . 6 | 26148 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | 22 | 7.5 | 96 |
|  | Missing/DK | 42.2 | 13.2 | . 0 | . 0 | 12.5 | 65 | 11.4 | 332 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 100.0 | 22.6 | . 0 | . 0 | 22.6 | 1438 | 23.6 | 6255 |
|  | Second | 99.6 | 20.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 20.3 | 1689 | 20.2 | 7542 |
|  | Middle | 99.4 | 18.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 18.2 | 2396 | 17.5 | 11232 |
|  | Fourth | 73.9 | 10.7 | . 0 | . 0 | 8.2 | 3648 | 8.0 | 17868 |
|  | Richest | 2.8 | 1.1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 2 | 8966 | . 2 | 43865 |
| Number of households |  | 46.6 | 8.8 | . 0 | . 0 | 7.9 | 18138 | 7.5 | 86762 |

Structure that households are living in are considered as non-durable in MICS if the floor material is natural and there are two or more bad conditions identified (cracks in walls, no windows, windows with brocken glass/no glass, visible holes in the roof, insecure door, etc.), or conditions of vulnerability of accidents in terms of the dwelling's surroundings exist, or if the structure is located in or near a hazardous area (landslide area, flood-prone area, river bank, railroad, etc.). As Table EN. 9 indicates, 7.9 percent of all surveyed households and 7.5 percent of household members lived in dwellings considered 'non-durable', with some 47 percent of structures having a natural floor. The housing condition was strongly and positively correlated to the education level of the household head and the socio-economic status of the household.

Table EN. 10 brings together all five components that characterize slum housing. As indicated 74 percent of the surveyed households (and 71.9 percent of all household members) in the urban areas lived in households having at least one slum condition. About one fourth ( 24.4 percent) of the households were over-crowded. The housing condition was negatively correlated to the education level of the household head and the socio-economic status of the household.
Table EN.10: Slum housing
Percentage of households and household members in urban areas that are considered as living in slum housing, by background characteristics, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Dwelling | Lack of | Over | Lack of use | Lack of use | Percent of | No. of | Percent of | No. of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education of <br> household head | None | 15.6 | 42.0 | 37.3 | 1.1 | 72.0 | 88.3 | 5531 | 87.1 | 26046 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 11.4 | 37.8 | 33.5 | . 9 | 65.8 | 83.6 | 1806 | 81.4 | 8858 |
|  | Primary completed | 6.6 | 33.2 | 26.6 | 1.1 | 58.4 | 77.7 | 1784 | 75.4 | 8722 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 3.7 | 38.1 | 22.9 | . 8 | 46.5 | 71.7 | 3413 | 68.7 | 16559 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | . 6 | 40.4 | 8.3 | . 4 | 21.8 | 56.7 | 5516 | 54.2 | 26148 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 7.5 | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 22 | 68.8 | 96 |
|  | Missing/DK | 11.4 | 65.4 | 48.3 | . 0 | 44.6 | 84.4 | 65 | 85.4 | 332 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 23.6 | 22.1 | 48.9 | . 4 | 90.5 | 96.6 | 1438 | 96.6 | 6255 |
|  | Second | 20.2 | 25.5 | 34.3 | 1.3 | 81.9 | 89.4 | 1689 | 89.7 | 7542 |
|  | Middle | 17.5 | 33.2 | 32.8 | 1.4 | 75.9 | 88.7 | 2396 | 88.6 | 11232 |
|  | Fourth | 8.0 | 39.7 | 28.1 | 1.7 | 61.9 | 79.4 | 3648 | 78.6 | 17868 |
|  | Richest | . 2 | 46.6 | 14.8 | . 2 | 25.4 | 61.5 | 8966 | 58.3 | 43865 |
| Number of households |  | 7.5 | 39.5 | 24.4 | . 8 | 49.9 | 74.0 | 18138 | 71.9 | 86762 |

[^10]

## Antenatal care

The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. Better understanding of foetal growth and development and its relationship to the mother's health has resulted in increased attention to the potential of antenatal care as an intervention to improve both maternal and newborn health. For example, if the antenatal period is used to inform pregnant women and families about the risk of labour and delivery and the related danger signs and symptoms, it may ensure that they do give birth with the assistance of a skilled health care provider.

The antenatal period also provides an opportunity to supply information on birth spacing, which is recognized as an important factor in improving infant survival. Tetanus immunization during pregnancy can be life-saving for both the mother and infant. The prevention and treatment of malaria among pregnant women, management of anaemia during pregnancy and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) can significantly improve foetal outcomes and improve maternal health. Adverse outcomes, such as low birth weight, can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (such as malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. More recently, the potential of the antenatal period as an entry point for HIV prevention and care, in particular for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to child, has led to renewed interest in access to and use of antenatal services.

Based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care, the WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on those visits:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bateriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anaemia
- Weight/height measurement (optional)

Coverage of antenatal care (by a doctor, nurse, or midwife) is relatively low in Bangladesh. The MICS findings indicate that 47.7 percent of surveyed mothers received antenatal care at least once during their pregnancy (Table RH.1). The lowest level of antenatal care was recorded in the tribal areas. Antenatal care coverage was 41.2 percent in rural areas and 66.9 percent in urban areas. The
Table RH.1: Antenatal care provider
Percentage distribution of mothers aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey, by type of personnel providing antenatal care, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Person providing antenatal care |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Any skilled personnel | No. of women who gave birth in the 2 years preceeding survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Communit $y$ health worker | Relative/ Friend | Other/ missing | No antenatal care received |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 34.2 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 46.7 | 100.0 | 41.8 | 738 |
|  | Chittagong | 39.8 | 9.6 | . 7 | 3.1 | . 4 | 3.6 | 42.8 | 100.0 | 49.4 | 2554 |
|  | Dhaka | 40.0 | 8.7 | . 2 | 4.3 | . 1 | 1.9 | 44.7 | 100.0 | 48.7 | 3697 |
|  | Khulna | 41.6 | 10.9 | . 0 | 3.6 | . 2 | 2.5 | 41.2 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 1145 |
|  | Rajshahi | 30.5 | 15.3 | . 5 | 7.6 | . 6 | 4.2 | 41.4 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 2740 |
|  | Sylhet | 34.7 | 8.6 | . 1 | 4.0 | . 1 | 2.6 | 49.9 | 100.0 | 43.3 | 1024 |
| Area | Rural | 31.1 | 10.1 | . 5 | 5.1 | . 4 | 3.6 | 49.2 | 100.0 | 41.2 | 8757 |
|  | Urban | 54.9 | 12.0 | . 2 | 3.4 | . 7 | 1.5 | 27.4 | 100.0 | 66.9 | 3040 |
|  | Urban municipality | 51.3 | 12.1 | . 2 | 3.5 | . 8 | 1.8 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 63.4 | 2230 |
|  | City Corporations | 64.6 | 11.8 | . 2 | 3.0 | . 4 | . 6 | 19.4 | 100.0 | 76.4 | 811 |
|  | Non-slum | 68.1 | 10.9 | . 2 | 3.0 | . 4 | . 6 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 79.1 | 729 |
|  | Slum | 33.0 | 19.6 | . 2 | 3.4 | . 0 | . 9 | 43.0 | 100.0 | 52.6 | 81 |
|  | Tribal | 21.3 | 7.0 | . 0 | 4.7 | . 0 | 1.8 | 65.2 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 101 |
| Age | 15-19 years | 33.5 | 12.9 | . 2 | 6.1 | . 2 | 3.7 | 43.3 | 100.0 | 46.5 | 2364 |
|  | 20-24 years | 39.0 | 11.0 | . 5 | 4.3 | . 5 | 2.9 | 41.9 | 100.0 | 49.9 | 4111 |
|  | 25-29 years | 39.2 | 10.2 | . 6 | 4.9 | . 8 | 2.6 | 41.8 | 100.0 | 49.4 | 2946 |
|  | 30-34 years | 37.6 | 9.1 | . 3 | 3.1 | . 6 | 3.2 | 46.1 | 100.0 | 46.7 | 1554 |
|  | 35-39 years | 32.8 | 6.9 | . 3 | 4.4 | . 3 | 2.7 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 39.7 | 735 |
|  | 40-44 years | 25.4 | 3.8 | . 0 | 4.5 | . 0 | 3.3 | 63.1 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 150 |
|  | 45-49 years | (9.8) | (4.7) | (3.8) | (3.7) | (.0) | (2.5) | (75.5) | 100.0 | (14.5) | 40 |
| Education | None | 19.9 | 9.4 | . 6 | 4.5 | . 7 | 3.4 | 61.5 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 3730 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 26.6 | 12.2 | . 2 | 4.7 | . 3 | 3.0 | 53.0 | 100.0 | 38.8 | 1892 |
|  | Primary completed | 30.4 | 12.2 | . 5 | 5.4 | . 6 | 3.1 | 47.8 | 100.0 | 42.6 | 1551 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 49.4 | 11.5 | . 2 | 5.2 | . 4 | 3.2 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 60.9 | 3429 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 79.4 | 6.8 | . 3 | 2.2 | . 2 | 1.5 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 1260 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (12.9) | (12.2) | (.0) | (19.4) | (.0) | (.0) | (55.5) | 100.0 | (25.0) | 38 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 17.6 | 9.5 | . 4 | 6.1 | . 7 | 3.3 | 62.4 | 100.0 | 27.1 | 2908 |
|  | Second | 24.5 | 10.6 | . 8 | 4.9 | . 5 | 3.9 | 54.8 | 100.0 | 35.1 | 2535 |
|  | Middle | 31.6 | 13.3 | . 5 | 4.3 | . 8 | 3.8 | 45.8 | 100.0 | 44.9 | 2230 |
|  | Fourth | 48.7 | 12.0 | . 1 | 4.7 | . 3 | 2.6 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 60.8 | 2238 |
|  | Richest | 74.8 | 7.2 | . 2 | 2.5 | . 1 | 1.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 82.1 | 1989 |
| National |  | 37.1 | 10.6 | . 4 | 4.6 | . 5 | 3.0 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 47.7 | 11899 |

* MICS indicator 20
Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
coverage decreased with an increase in the age of mother and was strongly correlated to their educational background and the socio-economic status of their household.

Table RH. 1 shows the type of personnel providing antenatal care to mothers aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey. Overall, doctors administered 37.1 percent of antenatal care while nurses or midwives tended to 10.6 percent of mothers. Doctors provided 31.1 percent of the antenatal care in rural areas but in urban areas that rate rose to 54.9 percent.

Figure RH.1: Antenatal care provider: Any skilled personnel, Bangladesh, 2006


Table RH. 2 and Figure RH. 2 show the type of services pregnant women received: Of those who received antenatal care, a blood sample was taken from 24.5 percent, blood pressure was measured in 46.2 percent, a urine sample was taken from 30.1 percent and weight was measured from 45.1 percent.

Table RH.2: Antenatal care content
Percentage of pregnant women aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey and who received antenatal care, and the percentage of pregnant women receiving specific care as part of the antenatal attention, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percent of | Percent of pregnant women who had: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | women receiving ANC one or more times during pregnancy* | Blood sample taken* | Blood pressure measured* | Urine specimen taken* | Weight measured* | gave birth in the 2 years preceeding the survey |
| Division | Barisal | 53.3 | 18.9 | 37.8 | 24.5 | 35.8 | 738 |
|  | Chittagong | 57.2 | 27.2 | 46.4 | 31.3 | 44.1 | 2554 |
|  | Dhaka | 55.3 | 27.3 | 47.3 | 32.0 | 45.5 | 3697 |
|  | Khulna | 58.8 | 26.1 | 50.9 | 30.5 | 48.9 | 1145 |
|  | Rajshahi | 58.6 | 19.4 | 47.1 | 29.1 | 49.4 | 2740 |
|  | Sylhet | 50.1 | 23.0 | 40.4 | 26.7 | 37.1 | 1024 |
| Area | Rural | 50.8 | 18.5 | 40.2 | 24.1 | 38.6 | 8757 |
|  | Urban | 72.6 | 42.1 | 64.3 | 47.9 | 64.5 | 3040 |
|  | Urban municipality | 69.7 | 36.2 | 60.7 | 42.7 | 60.1 | 2230 |
|  | City Corporations | 80.6 | 58.5 | 74.3 | 62.0 | 76.7 | 811 |
|  | Non-slum | 83.2 | 62.5 | 77.0 | 65.8 | 79.7 | 729 |
|  | Slum | 57.0 | 22.6 | 50.5 | 28.3 | 50.1 | 81 |
|  | Tribal | 34.8 | 13.7 | 26.5 | 15.0 | 26.4 | 101 |
| Age | 15-19 years | 56.7 | 21.0 | 44.9 | 28.6 | 45.6 | 2364 |
|  | 20-24 years | 58.1 | 25.9 | 48.2 | 32.1 | 46.8 | 4111 |
|  | 25-29 years | 58.2 | 26.4 | 48.6 | 31.1 | 47.8 | 2946 |
|  | 30-34 years | 53.9 | 24.8 | 44.6 | 29.3 | 41.6 | 1554 |
|  | 35-39 years | 47.5 | 22.2 | 39.4 | 25.8 | 37.2 | 735 |
|  | 40-44 years | 36.9 | 12.8 | 27.5 | 16.2 | 21.3 | 150 |
|  | 45-49 years | (24.5) | (8.1) | (15.5) | (8.1) | (15.0) | 40 |
| Education | None | 38.5 | 10.0 | 27.9 | 14.0 | 27.2 | 3730 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 47.0 | 11.6 | 36.4 | 17.6 | 34.5 | 1892 |
|  | Primary completed | 52.2 | 17.4 | 41.1 | 24.0 | 39.7 | 1551 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 70.0 | 34.4 | 59.9 | 41.8 | 59.0 | 3429 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 90.4 | 68.5 | 84.7 | 72.8 | 83.4 | 1260 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (44.5) | (12.4) | (31.9) | (15.9) | (31.4) | 38 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | 37.6 | 7.8 | 26.8 | 12.5 | 26.1 | 2908 |
|  | Second | 45.2 | 12.0 | 33.4 | 16.4 | 32.0 | 2535 |
|  | Middle | 54.2 | 17.5 | 42.7 | 25.9 | 42.5 | 2230 |
|  | Fourth | 68.6 | 32.7 | 59.0 | 39.6 | 56.4 | 2238 |
|  | Richest | 86.0 | 63.3 | 80.7 | 67.4 | 79.8 | 1989 |
| National |  | 56.2 | 24.5 | 46.2 | 30.1 | 45.1 | 11899 |
| * MICS indicator 20 <br> Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure RH.2: Percentage of pregnant women receiving antenatal care one or more times during pregnancy, Bangladesh, 2006


Figure RH.2.1: Antenatal care content, Bangladesh, 2006


## Assistance at delivery

Three quarters of all maternal deaths occur during delivery and the immediate post-partum period. The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure a competent health worker with midwifery skills is present at every birth and that transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care in case of emergency. A World Fit for Children goal for maternal and infant health is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant and the proportion of institutional deliveries. The skilled attendant at delivery indicator is also used to track progress towards the MDG of reducing the maternal mortality ratio by three quarters between 1990 and 2015.

The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant, which includes a doctor, nurse, midwife or auxiliary midwife.

Only 20.1 percent of births occurring in the two years prior to the MICS survey were delivered by skilled personnel (Table RH.3). This proportion was highest in the non-slum areas (49.2 percent) and in city corporations ( 45.4 percent) and lowest in the slums, tribal areas and rural areas. The more educated a pregnant woman is and wealthier her family, the more likely she delivered with the assistance of a skilled attendant.

Only 4.6 percent of the births in the two years prior to the MICS survey interview were delivered with the assistance of a nurse of midwife. Doctors assisted with the delivery of 15.5 percent of births, while a traditional birth attendant delivered 66 percent of babies born in the two year period prior to the survey interview; this finding applied rather uniformly across the country. Relatives and friends were used in 11.2 percent of deliveries. Only 16 percent of all births were delivered in a health facility (Table RH.4). Some 82.2 percent of women delivered at home.

| Background characteristics |  | Person assisting with the delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Any skilled personnel | Delivered in health facility ** | No. of women who gave birth in preceding two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Communit y health worker | Relative/ friend | Other/ missing | No attendant |  |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 10.7 | 3.2 | 69.0 | . 5 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 13.9 | 10.3 | 738 |
|  | Chittagong | 12.6 | 5.7 | 69.4 | 1.3 | 9.7 | . 8 | . 4 | 100.0 | 18.4 | 12.4 | 2554 |
|  | Dhaka | 18.4 | 4.1 | 64.5 | . 7 | 10.6 | . 9 | . 8 | 100.0 | 22.5 | 18.7 | 3697 |
|  | Khulna | 21.4 | 5.9 | 66.5 | . 5 | 4.9 | . 6 | . 2 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 23.6 | 1145 |
|  | Rajshahi | 13.9 | 4.7 | 60.3 | 1.5 | 16.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 18.6 | 15.7 | 2740 |
|  | Sylhet | 12.8 | 3.2 | 74.9 | . 5 | 7.4 | . 7 | . 5 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 12.2 | 1024 |
| Area | Rural | 10.6 | 3.4 | 71.1 | . 9 | 12.3 | . 9 | . 9 | 100.0 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 8757 |
|  | Urban | 29.8 | 8.0 | 51.0 | 1.3 | 7.9 | 1.3 | . 6 | 100.0 | 37.9 | 31.7 | 3040 |
|  | Urban municipality | 26.8 | 8.3 | 53.3 | 1.2 | 8.6 | 1.1 | . 6 | 100.0 | 35.1 | 29.2 | 2230 |
|  | City Corporations | 38.1 | 7.3 | 44.5 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 1.9 | . 8 | 100.0 | 45.4 | 38.6 | 811 |
|  | Non-slum | 41.1 | 8.0 | 41.6 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 1.7 | . 8 | 100.0 | 49.2 | 42.0 | 729 |
|  | Slum | 10.8 | . 7 | 70.9 | . 5 | 12.8 | 3.6 | . 7 | 100.0 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 81 |
|  | Tribal | 4.6 | 3.6 | 73.3 | . 1 | 17.0 | 1.3 | . 1 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 101 |
| Age | 15-19 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 65.8 | 1.1 | 12.3 | 1.1 | . 7 | 100.0 | 19.0 | 15.1 | 2364 |
|  | 20-24 | 15.5 | 4.4 | 65.4 | 1.0 | 12.3 | 1.0 | . 4 | 100.0 | 19.9 | 15.4 | 4111 |
|  | 25-29 | 17.8 | 4.5 | 65.4 | . 8 | 9.7 | . 9 | . 9 | 100.0 | 22.3 | 18.2 | 2946 |
|  | 30-34 | 15.4 | 4.2 | 66.7 | . 8 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 19.6 | 16.6 | 1554 |
|  | 35-39 | 14.3 | 4.3 | 69.3 | 1.7 | 9.2 | . 4 | . 7 | 100.0 | 18.7 | 14.4 | 735 |
|  | 40-44 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 68.9 | . 0 | 13.2 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 150 |
|  | 45-49 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 73.6 | . 0 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 40 |
| Education | None | 4.7 | 2.3 | 76.7 | . 5 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 3730 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 7.9 | 3.7 | 71.8 | . 9 | 14.2 | . 8 | . 7 | 100.0 | 11.6 | 8.6 | 1892 |
|  | Primary completed | 10.7 | 3.8 | 71.2 | . 6 | 12.2 | . 6 | . 9 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 1551 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 19.9 | 6.2 | 61.5 | 1.3 | 9.4 | 1.0 | . 6 | 100.0 | 26.1 | 20.1 | 3429 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 52.7 | 9.6 | 30.4 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 1.1 | . 4 | 100.0 | 62.2 | 54.5 | 1260 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 10.1 | . 0 | 84.5 | . 0 | 5.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 38 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 4.6 | 1.9 | 74.2 | . 4 | 16.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 2908 |
|  | Second | 6.4 | 2.6 | 75.3 | . 6 | 13.5 | . 6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 2535 |
|  | Middle | 10.4 | 4.1 | 71.0 | . 8 | 11.8 | 1.2 | . 7 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 11.0 | 2230 |
|  | Fourth | 18.7 | 6.0 | 64.3 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 1.0 | . 6 | 100.0 | 24.8 | 19.1 | 2238 |
|  | Richest | 44.9 | 10.1 | 38.2 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 1.0 | . 4 | 100.0 | 55.0 | 47.1 | 1989 |
| National |  | 15.5 | 4.6 | 66.0 | 1.0 | 11.2 | 1.0 | . 8 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 16.0 | 11899 |

* MICS indicator 4; MDG indicator 17 ** MICS indicator 5

Figure RH.3: Type of personnel assisting with delivery among women aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceeding the survey, Bangladesh, 2006


Figure RH.4: Health facility deliveries among women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey, Bangladesh 2006


Table RH.4: Place of delivery among women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceeding the survey, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Place of delivery |  |  |  | Total | Delivered in health facility * | No. of women who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Home | Public sector | Private public sector | Other |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 87.5 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 738 |
|  | Chittagong | 85.9 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 2554 |
|  | Dhaka | 79.7 | 7.1 | 11.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 18.7 | 3697 |
|  | Khulna | 75.4 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 23.6 | 1145 |
|  | Rajshahi | 81.7 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 15.7 | 2740 |
|  | Sylhet | 86.9 | 6.9 | 5.3 | . 8 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 1024 |
| Area | Rural | 88.0 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 8757 |
|  | Urban | 65.0 | 13.1 | 18.6 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 31.7 | 3040 |
|  | Urban municipality | 68.0 | 13.1 | 16.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 2230 |
|  | City Corporation | 56.8 | 13.1 | 25.5 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 38.6 | 811 |
|  | Non-slum | 53.0 | 13.9 | 28.1 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 42.0 | 729 |
|  | Slum | 90.9 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 81 |
|  | Tribal | 93.8 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 101 |
| Age | 15-19 | 83.3 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 15.1 | 2364 |
|  | 20-24 | 82.5 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 4111 |
|  | 25-29 | 80.3 | 7.7 | 10.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 2946 |
|  | 30-34 | 81.5 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 16.6 | 1554 |
|  | 35-39 | 84.2 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 735 |
|  | 40-44 | 89.5 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 150 |
|  | 45-49 | 90.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 40 |
| Education | None | 93.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 3730 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 90.7 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 6 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 1892 |
|  | Primary completed | 87.4 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 1551 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 77.5 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 3429 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 42.0 | 19.4 | 35.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 54.5 | 1260 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 93.6 | 6.4 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 38 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 94.1 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 2908 |
|  | Second | 92.5 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 2535 |
|  | Middle | 87.4 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 11.0 | 2230 |
|  | Fourth | 78.8 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 2238 |
|  | Richest | 49.6 | 16.3 | 30.8 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 47.1 | 1989 |
| National |  | 82.2 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 11899 |

Note: 'Home' refers to the respondent's home or another home; 'public sector' refers to a government hospital/clinic/health centre and other public facility; and 'private sector' refers to a private hospital/clinic, private maternity home and other private medical facility. Delivery in a public or private sector facility is thus considered as a delivery in a health facility.


Rapid brain development occurs in the first three to four years of life, and the quality of home care is the major determinant of the child's development during this period. Thus adult activities with children, the presence of books for the child in the home and the conditions of care are important indicators of quality of home care. The correcponding World Fit for Children goal is that 'children should be physically healthy, mentally alert, emotionally secure, socially competent and ready to learn' by age five.

The MICS looked for a number of activities that support early learning, specifically the involvement of adults with children in the following activities: reading books or looking at picture books, telling stories, singing songs, taking children outside the home/compound/yard, playing with children, and spending time with children naming, counting, or drawing things.

For almost half ( 47.5 percent) of under- 5 children in the surveyed households, an adult engaged in more than four activities that promoted learning and school readiness during the three days preceding the interview (Table CD.1). On average, adults engaged with children in 3.4 activities. The findings also show that the fathers' involvement in such activities was quite high: around 50.3 percent of them engaged in one or more activities. Nationally, 8.4 percent of children in the surveyed households were living without their natural father.

There was no gender differentials in terms of adult activities with children. Nor was there much difference among the six divisions; in terms of household members engaging in four or more activities, the rate was lowest in Sylhet Division, at 40.3 percent, and highest in Khulna Division, at 55.9 percent. Larger proportions of adults engaged in learning and school readiness activities with children in urban areas ( 56.4 percent) than in rural areas ( 44.3 percent). As expected, the more educated mothers and fathers engaged in such activities with children than those with less education.

## Table CD.1: Family support for learning

Percentage of under-5 children in a household in which members are engaged in activities that promoted learning and school readiness, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage under-5 children: |  |  |  |  | No. of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | For whom household members engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness * | Mean No. of activities household members engage in with the child | For whom the father engaged in one or more activities that promote learning and school readiness ** | Mean No. of activities the father engages in with the child | Living in a household without their natural father | under-5 <br> years children |
| Sex | Male | 47.5 | 3.4 | 51.0 | 1.1 | 8.1 | 16222 |
|  | Female | 47.4 | 3.4 | 49.6 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 15344 |
| Division | Barisal | 42.2 | 3.0 | 36.0 | . 8 | 9.0 | 1873 |
|  | Chittagong | 47.2 | 3.4 | 42.2 | . 9 | 14.6 | 6797 |
|  | Dhaka | 48.5 | 3.4 | 50.7 | 1.1 | 7.9 | 9942 |
|  | Khulna | 55.9 | 3.7 | 56.9 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 3148 |
|  | Rajshahi | 46.5 | 3.3 | 57.9 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 7284 |
|  | Sylhet | 40.3 | 3.0 | 51.1 | . 9 | 9.2 | 2521 |
| Area | Rural | 44.3 | 3.2 | 48.3 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 23034 |
|  | Urban | 56.4 | 3.7 | 55.4 | 1.3 | 8.4 | 8280 |
|  | Urban municipality | 53.6 | 3.6 | 53.3 | 1.2 | 8.6 | 6061 |
|  | City Corporations | 64.2 | 4.0 | 61.2 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 2219 |
|  | Non-slum | 66.0 | 4.1 | 61.8 | 1.5 | 8.0 | 2009 |
|  | Slum | 46.8 | 3.3 | 55.2 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 210 |
|  | Tribal | 42.7 | 3.3 | 65.1 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 253 |
| Age | 0-23 months | 29.0 | 2.6 | 45.2 | . 8 | 8.0 | 11701 |
|  | 24-59 months | 58.3 | 3.8 | 53.3 | 1.2 | 8.6 | 19865 |
| Mother's education | None | 32.7 | 2.8 | 47.4 | . 9 | 6.7 | 11224 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 42.0 | 3.2 | 49.7 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 4997 |
|  | Primary completed | 51.9 | 3.5 | 49.0 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 4084 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 59.9 | 3.8 | 51.9 | 1.2 | 10.7 | 7948 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 72.0 | 4.4 | 59.4 | 1.6 | 12.1 | 3204 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 28.9 | 2.5 | 43.4 | . 8 | 9.1 | 106 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 2 |
| Father's education | None | 35.2 | 2.9 | 50.5 | . 9 | . 0 | 11911 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 42.7 | 3.2 | 52.0 | 1.0 | . 0 | 3861 |
|  | Primary completed | 50.9 | 3.5 | 54.7 | 1.1 | . 0 | 3311 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 57.2 | 3.8 | 56.2 | 1.3 | . 0 | 5303 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 62.3 | 4.0 | 42.6 | 1.1 | 37.8 | 6995 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 40.3 | 3.0 | 49.2 | . 9 | . 0 | 116 |
|  | Missing/DK | 32.5 | 3.1 | 42.8 | . 9 | . 0 | 69 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 32.7 | 2.8 | 47.9 | . 9 | 5.0 | 7987 |
|  | Second | 40.0 | 3.1 | 47.8 | . 9 | 6.5 | 6615 |
|  | Middle | 47.5 | 3.4 | 50.2 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 5918 |
|  | Fourth | 58.1 | 3.8 | 49.7 | 1.1 | 11.7 | 5854 |
|  | Richest | 67.8 | 4.2 | 58.1 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 5192 |
| National |  | 47.5 | 3.4 | 50.3 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 31566 |




## Pre-school attendance and school readiness

Attendance at pre-school education in an organized learning or child education programme is important for the readiness of children to school. One of the World Fit for Children goals is the promotion of early childhood education.

According to the MICS findings, only 14.6 percent of children aged 36-59 months were attending pre-school at the time of the survey interview (Table ED.1). Urban-rural and divisional differentials were not so significant. Among children aged 36-59 months, enrolment in a pre-school was highest in Khulna Division (17.4 percent), and lowest in Rajshahi Division (10.3 percent). Slightly more girls than boys were attending pre-school. Differentials by socioeconomic status were not significant, but the findings indicate that the mother's level of education did make a difference: Of mothers having no education, only 11.1 percent of their children were attending a pre-school, but the rate rose to 19.7 percent of children of mothers having a secondary education or higher. There was a big difference between age groups of children also: only 7 percent of children aged 36-47 months were in preschool, but that finding jumped to 22.3 percent among the older ones (aged 48-59 months).

Table ED. 1 also shows the proportion of children who were in the first grade of primary school who had attended pre-school the previous year. Overall, this indicator applied to 32 percent of children aged 6 years in the surveyed households. More children in urban areas ( 41.7 percent) had attended pre-school the previous year compared to rural areas (28 percent). Divisional differentials were not significant; first graders in Barisal and Chittagong Divisions have attended pre-school at a rate of 38.6 and 38.5 percent respectively compared to 26.1 percent in Rajshahi Division. The socio-economic status of the household had a positive correlation with school readiness - while the indicator is only 22.4 percent among the poorest households, it increased to 49.1 percent among those children living in the richest households.

## Table ED.1: Early childhood education

Percentage of children aged 36-59 months who were attending some form of organized early childhood education programme, and percentage of first graders who attended pre-school, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage of children aged 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education* | No. of children aged 36-59 months | Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool program in previous yea** | No. of children attending first grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 14.0 | 6897 | 31.3 | 1596 |
|  | Female | 15.3 | 6644 | 32.7 | 1556 |
| Division | Barisal | 15.5 | 811 | 38.6 | 181 |
|  | Chittagong | 16.2 | 2940 | 38.5 | 619 |
|  | Dhaka | 15.8 | 4266 | 31.9 | 994 |
|  | Khulna | 17.4 | 1392 | 32.8 | 378 |
|  | Rajshahi | 10.3 | 3086 | 26.1 | 734 |
|  | Sylhet | 14.1 | 1047 | 27.4 | 246 |
| Area | Rural | 15.5 | 9869 | 28.0 | 2264 |
|  | Urban | 12.0 | 3572 | 41.7 | 864 |
|  | Urban municipality | 11.9 | 2621 | 36.2 | 598 |
|  | City Corporations | 12.2 | 951 | 53.9 | 266 |
|  | Non-slum | 12.6 | 857 | 55.0 | 254 |
|  | Slum | 8.6 | 94 | 31.6 | 12 |
|  | Tribal | 25.2 | 100 | 53.0 | 24 |
| Age of child | 36-47 months | 7.0 | 6789 | . | 0 |
|  | 48-59 months | 22.3 | 6751 | . | 0 |
|  | 6 years |  | 0 | 32.0 | 3152 |
| Mother's education | None | 11.1 | 5265 | 26.3 | 1194 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 16.2 | 2166 | 30.2 | 519 |
|  | Primary completed | 16.8 | 1769 | 32.7 | 463 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 16.1 | 3012 | 35.8 | 654 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 19.7 | 1278 | 47.5 | 309 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (20.2) | 51 | '(*)' | 13 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 0 | '(*)' | 1 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 11.4 | 3545 | 22.4 | 680 |
|  | Second | 14.4 | 2792 | 26.6 | 645 |
|  | Middle | 16.0 | 2550 | 30.0 | 620 |
|  | Fourth | 16.7 | 2498 | 33.4 | 609 |
|  | Richest | 16.2 | 2156 | 49.1 | 598 |
| National |  | 14.6 | 13541 | 32.0 | 3152 |
| * MICS Indicator 52 ** MICS Indicator 53 <br> An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed. Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year.

## Primary and secondary school participation

The MDGs and A World Fit for Children goals expect all countries to ensure that all children have access to basic education and that they complete it. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.

The indicators for primary and secondary school attendance entail:

- Net intake rate in primary education
- Net primary school attendance rate
- Net secondary school attendance rate
- Net primary school attendance rate of children of secondary school age
- Female to male education ratio (GPI)

The indicators of school progression entail:

- Transition rate to secondary school
- Net primary completion rate

The two streams of the Bangladesh education system are managed separately: The Ministry of Primary and Mass Education (MOPME) supervises primary education (grades 1-5), and the Ministry of Education (MOE) administers the post-primary education, which covers junior secondary to higher education. Primary school enrolement typically involves children aged 6-11; secondary education consists of seven years of formal schooling.

According to the MICS findings, 67.4 percent of children of primary school-entry age in the surveyed households were attending Grade 1 at the time of the interview (Table ED.2). Among the corresponding findings, there was a positive correlation with the mother's education and the socioeconomic status of the household: 75.8 percent of the children of mothers who have at least a secondary shool education were attending the first grade; 72 percent of the children in the richest households were in the first grade while it dropped to 63.3 percent among children in the poorest households.

Overall, 81.3 percent of children of primary school age in the surveyed households were attending primary school (Table ED.3). There was no noticeable variation between urban and rural areas. However, the rates were lowest in urban slums, at 52.3 percent, and in tribal areas, at 67.9 percent. Nationally, there was disparity between the sexes, with the attendance rate for girls in primary school at 83.7 percent but only 78.9 percent for the boys.

## Table ED.2: Primary school entry

Percentage of children of primary school-entry age attending grade 1, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age currently attending grade 1 * | No. of children of primary school entry age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 65.7 | 4096 |
|  | Female | 69.0 | 4180 |
| Division | Barisal | 62.6 | 514 |
|  | Chittagong | 66.5 | 1666 |
|  | Dhaka | 64.2 | 2632 |
|  | Khulna | 74.5 | 836 |
|  | Rajshahi | 68.5 | 1994 |
|  | Sylhet | 73.5 | 635 |
| Area | Rural | 67.5 | 6072 |
|  | Urban | 67.7 | 2129 |
|  | Urban municipality | 68.4 | 1557 |
|  | City Corporation | 65.7 | 572 |
|  | Non-slum | 68.3 | 510 |
|  | Slum | 44.9 | 62 |
|  | Tribal | 49.1 | 75 |
| Age at beginning of school year | 6 | 67.4 | 8276 |
| Mother's education | None | 61.7 | 4206 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 70.0 | 1324 |
|  | Primary completed | 73.1 | 925 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 76.3 | 1212 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 75.8 | 572 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | (57.9) | 32 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 6 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 63.3 | 2358 |
|  | Second | 66.2 | 1831 |
|  | Middle | 68.3 | 1553 |
|  | Fourth | 70.7 | 1354 |
|  | Richest | 72.0 | 1181 |
| National |  | 67.4 | 8276 |
| * MICS Indicator 54 <br> An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed. Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |

Note: Table based on estimated age at the beginning of the school year.

Table ED.3: Primary school net attendance ratio
Percentage of children of primary school age attending primary school. Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net attendance ratio | No. of children | Net attendance ratio | No. of children | Net attendance ratio* | No. of children |
| Division | Barisal | 80.9 | 1278 | 87.3 | 1286 | 84.1 | 2563 |
|  | Chittagong | 80.9 | 4038 | 85.7 | 3789 | 83.2 | 7826 |
|  | Dhaka | 75.6 | 5928 | 81.1 | 5704 | 78.3 | 11632 |
|  | Khulna | 84.9 | 1975 | 89.3 | 1852 | 87.0 | 3827 |
|  | Rajshahi | 78.1 | 4405 | 81.9 | 4130 | 79.9 | 8534 |
|  | Sylhet | 79.5 | 1414 | 83.9 | 1401 | 81.7 | 2815 |
| Area | Rural | 79.0 | 13772 | 84.2 | 13238 | 81.5 | 27010 |
|  | Urban | 79.0 | 5090 | 83.0 | 4762 | 80.9 | 9851 |
|  | Urban municipality | 79.6 | 3796 | 84.5 | 3460 | 81.9 | 7257 |
|  | City Corporation | 77.3 | 1293 | 78.9 | 1301 | 78.1 | 2595 |
|  | Non-slum | 81.3 | 1149 | 81.2 | 1168 | 81.2 | 2317 |
|  | Slum | 45.8 | 145 | 59.4 | 133 | 52.3 | 278 |
|  | Tribal | 67.6 | 176 | 68.1 | 161 | 67.9 | 337 |
| Age at beginning of school year | 6 | 71.3 | 4096 | 76.2 | 4180 | 73.8 | 8276 |
|  | 7 | 80.6 | 4041 | 84.4 | 3972 | 82.5 | 8014 |
|  | 8 | 83.1 | 3330 | 87.1 | 3209 | 85.1 | 6538 |
|  | 9 | 79.2 | 4641 | 85.7 | 4044 | 82.2 | 8685 |
|  | 10 | 82.0 | 2930 | 87.2 | 2755 | 84.5 | 5685 |
| Mother's education | None | 72.1 | 9830 | 79.1 | 9332 | 75.5 | 19161 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 82.7 | 3042 | 85.9 | 2865 | 84.3 | 5907 |
|  | Primary completed | 85.6 | 2233 | 90.7 | 2017 | 88.0 | 4250 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 90.0 | 2680 | 91.5 | 2602 | 90.8 | 5281 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 89.4 | 1151 | 85.0 | 1272 | 87.1 | 2422 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 70.5 | 91 | 87.1 | 62 | 77.2 | 153 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 12 | '(*)' | 11 | '(*)' | 23 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 69.8 | 4767 | 77.0 | 4726 | 73.4 | 9493 |
|  | Second | 78.1 | 4172 | 83.4 | 3909 | 80.6 | 8080 |
|  | Middle | 79.5 | 3833 | 86.4 | 3565 | 82.8 | 7399 |
|  | Fourth | 84.8 | 3353 | 88.9 | 3059 | 86.8 | 6412 |
|  | Richest | 87.6 | 2912 | 86.4 | 2901 | 87.0 | 5813 |
| National |  | 78.9 | 19038 | 83.7 | 18161 | 81.3 | 37198 |

An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Note: Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year.

As shown in Table ED.4, some 38.8 percent of the children of secondary school age were attending secondary school at the time of the survey interview. Of the remaining 61 percent, some had either dropped out of school or were attending primary school (Table ED.4w). The sex disparity of the primary years continued through secondary education, with 41.4 percent of girls attending while only 36.2 percent of boys were in shcool. In term of areas, 36.5 percent of the rural children in the surveyed households and 44.6 percent of the urban children were attending secondary school.

## Table ED.4: Secondary school net attendance ratio

Percentage of children of secondary school age attending secondary or higher school (NAR), Bangladesh, Year

| Background characteristics |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Net attendance ratio | No. of children | Net attendance ratio | No. of children | Net attendance ratio* | No. of children |
| Division | Barisal | 40.8 | 1590 | 43.7 | 1650 | 42.2 | 3239 |
| Area | Chittagong | 35.2 | 5334 | 40.7 | 5533 | 38.0 | 10866 |
|  | Dhaka | 34.7 | 7607 | 40.4 | 7856 | 37.6 | 15464 |
|  | Khulna | 42.6 | 2611 | 50.3 | 2615 | 46.5 | 5226 |
|  | Rajshahi | 37.4 | 5963 | 41.5 | 6074 | 39.4 | 12037 |
|  | Sylhet | 28.0 | 1730 | 32.1 | 1750 | 30.1 | 3480 |
|  | Rural | 33.6 | 17783 | 39.4 | 17660 | 36.5 | 35443 |
|  | Urban | 42.9 | 6856 | 46.1 | 7600 | 44.6 | 14456 |
|  | Urban municipality | 41.7 | 4961 | 48.4 | 5324 | 45.2 | 10284 |
|  | City Corporation | 46.2 | 1895 | 40.7 | 2277 | 43.2 | 4172 |
|  | Non-slum | 49.2 | 1743 | 43.3 | 2095 | 46.0 | 3838 |
|  | Slum | 11.9 | 152 | 11.2 | 182 | 11.5 | 334 |
|  | Tribal | 38.5 | 196 | 36.9 | 217 | 37.6 | 414 |
| Age at beginning of school year | 11 | 30.4 | 4301 | 41.6 | 3886 | 35.7 | 8187 |
|  | 12 | 44.1 | 2992 | 57.5 | 3488 | 51.3 | 6480 |
|  | 13 | 46.2 | 3091 | 58.7 | 3089 | 52.4 | 6180 |
|  | 14 | 40.5 | 3657 | 48.8 | 3644 | 44.7 | 7301 |
|  | 15 | 36.3 | 3343 | 39.9 | 3719 | 38.2 | 7062 |
|  | 16 | 36.8 | 2830 | 28.7 | 3267 | 32.5 | 6097 |
|  | 17 | 25.9 | 4621 | 20.7 | 4386 | 23.4 | 9007 |
| Mother's education | None | 24.3 | 10897 | 38.8 | 9116 | 30.9 | 20013 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 41.0 | 2728 | 57.9 | 2508 | 49.1 | 5236 |
|  | Primary completed | 55.1 | 2208 | 67.7 | 2077 | 61.2 | 4285 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 70.5 | 2376 | 82.1 | 2320 | 76.2 | 4695 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 57.8 | 1933 | 25.9 | 4998 | 34.8 | 6931 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 24.5 | 66 | 62.8 | 55 | 41.9 | 122 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 7 | '(*)' | 18 | '(*)' | 24 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 14.9 | 4381 | 22.9 | 4194 | 18.8 | 8574 |
|  | Second | 25.7 | 5328 | 32.1 | 5207 | 28.9 | 10536 |
|  | Middle | 33.5 | 5424 | 39.5 | 5549 | 36.6 | 10973 |
|  | Fourth | 44.5 | 5057 | 50.4 | 5304 | 47.5 | 10360 |
|  | Richest | 62.4 | 4646 | 58.3 | 5223 | 60.2 | 9869 |
| National |  | 36.2 | 24835 | 41.4 | 25477 | 38.8 | 50313 |

An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Note: Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year.

About one in ten (10.2 percent) of the children of secondary school age were still attending primary school (Table ED.4w); 9.5 percent of the girls and 10.9 percent of the boys. The timely attendance rate was better in urban areas than in rural areas.

Table ED.4w: Secondary school-aged children attending primary school
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending primary school, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent attending primary school | No. of children | Percent attending primary school | No. of children | Percent attending primary school | No. of children |
| Division | Barisal | 11.4 | 1590 | 10.0 | 1650 | 10.7 | 3239 |
|  | Chittagong | 13.1 | 5334 | 12.0 | 5533 | 12.5 | 10866 |
|  | Dhaka | 10.8 | 7607 | 9.5 | 7856 | 10.1 | 15464 |
|  | Khulna | 8.9 | 2611 | 7.6 | 2615 | 8.3 | 5226 |
|  | Rajshahi | 9.4 | 5963 | 7.8 | 6074 | 8.6 | 12037 |
|  | Sylhet | 11.9 | 1730 | 9.3 | 1750 | 10.6 | 3480 |
| Area | Rural | 11.9 | 17783 | 10.5 | 17660 | 11.2 | 35443 |
|  | Urban | 8.2 | 6856 | 7.0 | 7600 | 7.5 | 14456 |
|  | Urban municipality | 8.4 | 4961 | 7.5 | 5324 | 7.9 | 10284 |
|  | City Corporation | 7.6 | 1895 | 5.7 | 2277 | 6.6 | 4172 |
|  | Non-slum | 7.5 | 1743 | 5.5 | 2095 | 6.4 | 3838 |
|  | Slum | 8.8 | 152 | 7.9 | 182 | 8.3 | 334 |
|  | Tribal | 13.0 | 196 | 11.3 | 217 | 12.1 | 414 |
| Age at beginning of school year | 11 | 36.9 | 4301 | 38.1 | 3886 | 37.4 | 8187 |
|  | 12 | 20.1 | 2992 | 16.4 | 3488 | 18.1 | 6480 |
|  | 13 | 9.1 | 3091 | 7.6 | 3089 | 8.4 | 6180 |
|  | 14 | 4.1 | 3657 | 2.3 | 3644 | 3.2 | 7301 |
|  | 15 | 1.6 | 3343 | . 6 | 3719 | 1.1 | 7062 |
|  | 16 | . 3 | 2830 | . 3 | 3267 | . 3 | 6097 |
|  | 17 | . 4 | 4621 | . 1 | 4386 | . 3 | 9007 |
| Mother's education | None | 15.5 | 10897 | 17.8 | 9116 | 16.6 | 20013 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 15.7 | 2728 | 15.3 | 2508 | 15.5 | 5236 |
|  | Primary completed | 11.7 | 2208 | 10.1 | 2077 | 10.9 | 4285 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 9.5 | 2376 | 5.4 | 2320 | 7.5 | 4695 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 3.3 | 1933 | 1.2 | 4998 | 1.7 | 6931 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 16.6 | 66 | (13.9) | 55 | 15.4 | 122 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 7 | '(*)' | 18 | '(*)' | 24 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 15.7 | 4381 | 14.7 | 4194 | 15.2 | 8574 |
|  | Second | 12.4 | 5328 | 12.2 | 5207 | 12.3 | 10536 |
|  | Middle | 11.7 | 5424 | 8.9 | 5549 | 10.2 | 10973 |
|  | Fourth | 8.9 | 5057 | 8.0 | 5304 | 8.4 | 10360 |
|  | Richest | 5.9 | 4646 | 4.7 | 5223 | 5.2 | 9869 |
| National |  | 10.9 | 24835 | 9.5 | 25477 | 10.2 | 50313 |

An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
Note: Table based on estimated age at the beginning of the school year

At the time of the survey interview, 89.1 percent of the children of primary school-completion age (11 years) were attending the last grade of primary school (Table ED.5). This value is distinguished from the gross primary completion ratio, which includes children of any age attending the last grade of primary school.

Table ED.5: Primary school completion and transition to secondary education
Primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Net primary school completion rate * | No. of children of primary school completion age | Transition rate to secondary education ** | No. of children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 41.5 | 2930 | 87.6 | 2491 |
|  | Female | 52.1 | 2755 | 90.5 | 2708 |
| Division | Barisal | 52.1 | 424 | 87.8 | 349 |
|  | Chittagong | 44.4 | 1106 | 91.8 | 1152 |
|  | Dhaka | 44.3 | 1776 | 89.1 | 1561 |
|  | Khulna | 53.3 | 616 | 91.9 | 591 |
|  | Rajshahi | 47.6 | 1342 | 87.2 | 1237 |
|  | Sylhet | 44.3 | 420 | 82.6 | 309 |
| Area | Rural | 43.8 | 4002 | 88.3 | 3772 |
|  | Urban | 53.6 | 1643 | 91.3 | 1385 |
|  | Urban municipality | 54.2 | 1233 | 90.8 | 1054 |
|  | City Corporation | 51.6 | 410 | 92.8 | 331 |
|  | Non-slum | 53.8 | 367 | 93.2 | 316 |
|  | Slum | 32.5 | 42 | 84.5 | 15 |
|  | Tribal | 49.5 | 41 | 93.9 | 42 |
| Mother's education | None | 33.2 | 2808 | 86.3 | 2318 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 46.9 | 921 | 89.8 | 773 |
|  | Primary completed | 56.5 | 682 | 91.1 | 739 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 71.0 | 850 | 94.6 | 874 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 73.1 | 396 | 92.3 | 407 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | '(*)' | 23 | '(*)' | 21 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 4 | '(*)' | 2 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | 30.7 | 1261 | 82.1 | 798 |
|  | Second | 39.0 | 1183 | 85.2 | 1038 |
|  | Middle | 46.7 | 1155 | 89.6 | 1181 |
|  | Fourth | 57.1 | 1076 | 92.1 | 1162 |
|  | Richest | 64.4 | 1010 | 94.4 | 1020 |
| National |  | 46.7 | 5685 | 89.1 | 5199 |

Note: Table based on estimated age at the beginning of the school year.

According to the findings, the gender parity for primary school was 1.06 , indicating higher attendence of girls than boys in primary school (Table ED.6); for secondary school it was 1.14. The girls' attendance was almost uniformly higher in all six divisions. However, in city corporations and tribal areas, the attendance ratios in secondary education were higher for boys than girls.

## Table ED.6: Education gender parity

Ratio of girls to boys attending primary education and ratio of girls to boys attending secondary education, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school NAR* | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school NAR* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 87.0 | 80.6 | 1.08 | 43.6 | 40.7 | 1.07 |
|  | Chittagong | 85.6 | 80.6 | 1.06 | 40.6 | 35.1 | 1.16 |
|  | Dhaka | 80.8 | 75.3 | 1.07 | 40.3 | 34.6 | 1.17 |
|  | Khulna | 89.1 | 84.8 | 1.05 | 50.2 | 42.6 | 1.18 |
|  | Rajshahi | 81.7 | 77.4 | 1.06 | 41.4 | 37.3 | 1.11 |
|  | Sylhet | 83.4 | 79.1 | 1.05 | 32.0 | 28.0 | 1.14 |
| Area | Rural | 84.0 | 78.6 | 1.07 | 39.3 | 33.5 | 1.17 |
|  | Urban | 82.7 | 78.7 | 1.05 | 45.9 | 42.7 | 1.07 |
|  | Urban municipality | 84.4 | 79.2 | 1.06 | 48.2 | 41.6 | 1.16 |
|  | City Corporations | 78.3 | 77.0 | 1.02 | 40.5 | 45.8 | . 88 |
|  | Non-slum | 80.5 | 81.0 | . 99 | 43.0 | 48.8 | . 88 |
|  | Slum | 59.1 | 44.9 | 1.31 | 11.2 | 11.9 | . 94 |
|  | Tribal | 68.1 | 67.4 | 1.01 | 36.7 | 38.5 | . 95 |
| Mother's education | None | 78.9 | 71.5 | 1.10 | 38.8 | 24.3 | 1.60 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 85.7 | 82.3 | 1.04 | 57.9 | 41.0 | 1.41 |
|  | Primary completed | 90.5 | 85.3 | 1.06 | 67.7 | 55.1 | 1.23 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 91.5 | 90.0 | 1.02 | 82.1 | 70.5 | 1.17 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 84.7 | 89.2 | . 95 | 25.9 | 57.8 | . 45 |
|  | Non-standard curriculun | 87.1 | 68.6 | 1.27 | 62.8 | 24.5 | 2.56 |
|  | Missing/DK | 96.3 | 63.7 | 1.51 | 31.2 | 36.3 | . 86 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 76.8 | 69.3 | 1.11 | 22.9 | 14.9 | 1.53 |
|  | Second | 83.2 | 77.5 | 1.07 | 32.1 | 25.7 | 1.25 |
|  | Middle | 86.1 | 79.2 | 1.09 | 39.4 | 33.5 | 1.18 |
|  | Fourth | 88.8 | 84.5 | 1.05 | 50.3 | 44.4 | 1.13 |
|  | Richest | 86.2 | 87.3 | . 99 | 58.0 | 62.1 | . 93 |
| National |  | 83.5 | 78.5 | 1.06 | 41.3 | 36.1 | 1.14 |
| * MICS Indicator 61; MDG Indicator 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Table based on estimated age at the beginning of the school year.

## Adult literacy

Both the MDGs and A World Fit for Children goals seek to assure adult literacy. In the MICS, literacy was only adressed in the questionnaire to independent females and the findings are based on those aged 15-24. Literacy was assessed by each respondent's ability to read a short simple statement in Bengali or on her school attendance record. The respondents with a secondary or higher education were assumed to be literalte.

As shown in Table ED.7, 69.9 percent of female respondents aged 15-24 years were literate. By division, the rate varied from 62.7 percent in Sylhet to 74.3 percent in Khulna. The rate for rural areas was 67.6 percent and for urban areas it was 75.4 percent. The lowest rates emerged in slums ( 38.2 percent) and tribal areas ( 54.7 percent). There was strong correlation between literacy and education level as well as the socio-economic status of the household.

Table ED.7: Adult literacy
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who are literate, Bangladesh, 2006



## Birth registration

The Convention on the Rights of the Child states that every child has the right to a name and a nationality and the right to protection from being deprived of his or her identity. Birth registration is a fundamental means of securing these rights for children. A World Fit for Children includes a goal centred on developing systems to ensure the registration of every child at or shortly after birth and to fulfill his or her right to acquire a name and a nationality, in accordance with national laws and relevant international instruments. The indicator is the proportion of under-5 children whose birth is registered.

According to the findings, only 9.8 percent of under- 5 children in the surveyed households had a birth registration document (Table CP.1). Children in Dhaka Division were somewhat less likely to have had their births registered than other children in the other divisions, but this appeared to be due primarily to a relatively large proportion of mothers who did not know if their child's birth should be registered ( 51.8 percent) or where to register the birth ( 30.2 percent). Children whose mother's have completed secondary education were almost four times more likely to have their birth registered than the children of mothers with no education.
Table CP.1: Birth registration
Percentage distribution of under-5 children, by whether birth is registered on and reasons for non-registration, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Birth is registered | Don't know if birth is registered | No. of under-5 children | Birth is not registered because: |  |  |  |  | Total | No. of under-5 children without birth registration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Costs } \\ & \text { too } \\ & \text { much } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Must travel too far | Didn't know child should be registered | Doesn't know where to register | Don't feel it necessary |  |  |
| Sex | Male |  | 10.1 | 6.2 | 16222 | . 4 | . 8 | 51.0 | 30.5 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 10283 |
|  | Female | 9.6 | 6.1 | 15344 | . 4 | . 7 | 52.7 | 29.9 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 9852 |
| Division | Barisal | 16.4 | 7.6 | 1873 | . 4 | 1.5 | 46.1 | 29.6 | 22.4 | 100.0 | 1118 |
|  | Chittagong | 6.3 | 7.8 | 6797 | . 3 | . 8 | 45.4 | 36.1 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 4888 |
|  | Dhaka | 6.5 | 4.9 | 9942 | . 2 | . 4 | 54.6 | 29.0 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 6691 |
|  | Khulna | 11.1 | 1.8 | 3148 | . 2 | . 3 | 55.9 | 31.6 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 2408 |
|  | Rajshahi | 12.0 | 9.3 | 7284 | . 7 | . 9 | 54.2 | 24.9 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 3693 |
|  | Sylhet | 20.2 | 2.4 | 2521 | . 4 | 1.2 | 52.8 | 26.8 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 1336 |
| Area | Rural | 8.8 | 6.6 | 23034 | . 4 | . 8 | 53.6 | 31.6 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 14642 |
|  | Urban | 12.8 | 4.9 | 8280 | . 3 | . 4 | 46.7 | 26.4 | 26.2 | 100.0 | 5348 |
|  | Urban municipality | 12.4 | 5.0 | 6061 | . 3 | . 6 | 47.4 | 26.8 | 24.9 | 100.0 | 3861 |
|  | City Corporations | 13.9 | 4.7 | 2219 | . 4 | . 1 | 44.8 | 25.4 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 1487 |
|  | Non-slum | 14.9 | 4.6 | 2009 | . 4 | . 1 | 42.6 | 25.2 | 31.7 | 100.0 | 1353 |
|  | Slum | 4.5 | 5.9 | 210 | . 1 | . 0 | 66.9 | 27.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 134 |
|  | Tribal | 5.0 | 9.3 | 253 | . 0 | . 3 | 61.2 | 25.7 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 145 |
| Age | 0-11 months | 7.3 | 6.2 | 5669 | . 4 | 1.0 | 50.4 | 30.3 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 3621 |
|  | 12-23 months | 10.6 | 5.5 | 6032 | . 4 | . 7 | 51.9 | 30.0 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 3919 |
|  | 24-35 months | 10.2 | 6.2 | 6320 | . 5 | . 6 | 52.1 | 29.6 | 17.3 | 100.0 | 4016 |
|  | 36-47 months | 10.3 | 6.4 | 6789 | . 2 | . 8 | 52.1 | 31.3 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 4292 |
|  | 48-59 months | 10.5 | 6.5 | 6751 | . 4 | . 7 | 52.6 | 29.5 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 4284 |
| Mother's education | None | 5.6 | 7.8 | 11224 | . 4 | . 5 | 57.5 | 33.8 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 6996 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 7.6 | 6.5 | 4997 | . 6 | . 4 | 56.9 | 30.7 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 3209 |
|  | Primary completed | 9.6 | 5.8 | 4084 | . 2 | 1.0 | 53.8 | 31.1 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 2641 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 11.8 | 5.0 | 7948 | . 4 | . 8 | 48.3 | 28.2 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 5298 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 23.9 | 3.3 | 3204 | . 1 | 1.5 | 30.1 | 20.3 | 48.1 | 100.0 | 1933 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 1.7 | 9.4 | 106 | . 9 | . 0 | 47.0 | 36.4 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 57 |
|  | Missing/DK | ${ }^{\prime}\left({ }^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ | '(*)' | 2 | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 100.0 | 1 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 6.1 | 8.0 | 7987 | . 5 | . 5 | 58.3 | 31.7 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 4863 |
|  | Second | 6.8 | 7.4 | 6615 | . 2 | . 8 | 55.8 | 31.5 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 4191 |
|  | Middle | 8.8 | 5.8 | 5918 | . 5 | . 7 | 54.2 | 31.9 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 3835 |
|  | Fourth | 11.7 | 4.4 | 5854 | . 4 | . 8 | 48.1 | 31.3 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 3902 |
|  | Richest | 18.6 | 4.2 | 5192 | . 2 | . 8 | 39.0 | 23.0 | 37.1 | 100.0 | 3345 |
| National |  | 9.8 | 6.2 | 31566 | . 4 | . 7 | 51.8 | 30.2 | 16.9 | 100.0 | 20135 |

[^11]An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

## Child labour

According to Article 32 of the CRC, "States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development..." The World Fit for Children mentions nine strategies to combat child labour and the Millenium Declaration calls for the protection of children against exploitation.

Several MICS questions addressed the issue of child labour, meaning children aged 5-14 years who are working in unacceptable forms of employment. A child was considered to be in child labour if during the week prior to the survey interview he or she was:

- Aged 5-11 and engaged in at least one hour of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work.
- Aged 12-14 and engaged in at least 14 hours of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work.

This definition allows differentiating child labour from child work and thus to identify the type of work that should be eliminated. As such, the estimate provided here is a minimum of the prevalence of child labour because some children may be involved in hazardous labour activities for a number of hours that were less than what the criteria specified. Table CP. 2 presents the results of child labour by the type of work.

Nationally, child labour prevalence was found to be 12.8 percent. Of them, 7.5 percent were working in a family business. There was significant male-female variation, with 17.5 percent of males and 8.1 percent of females involved in child labour. In rural areas, 13.4 percent of children and in urban areas, 11.2 percent were involved in child labour. The highest rates were in the slums and tribal areas, with a prevalence rate at 19.1 and 17.6 percent respectively. Among divisions Chittagong had the lowest incidence ( 8.8 percent) while Rajshahi had the highest ( 16.6 percent). In the districts, the lowest rate was in Barisal district (4.4 percent) while Panchagarh, Naogaon, Thakurgaon, Rangpur, Joypurhat and Mymensingh districts had rates above 20 per cent (see Table CP.2.1 in Volume II for details).

Table CP. 3 presents findings for children classified as student labourers or as labourer students. Student labourers refers to the children attending school who were involved in child labour activities at the time of the survey interview. Of the 76.9 percent of children aged $5-14$ years who were attending school, 9.2 percent were also engaged in child labour activities. On the other hand, out of the 12.8 percent of the children classified as child labourers, slightly more than half were also attending school ( 54.9 percent). More males ( 13.1 percent) than females ( 5.3 percent) were both attending school and engaged in child labour.

Table CP.2: Child labour
Percentage of children aged 5-14 years who are involved in child labour activities, by type of work, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Working outside household |  | Household chores for 28+ hours/week | Working for family business | Total child labour * | No. of children aged 5-14 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Paid work | Unpaid work |  |  |  |  |
| Sex | Male | 3.7 | 1.1 | . 9 | 12.4 | 17.5 | 36652 |
|  | Female | 1.2 | . 8 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 35489 |
| Division | Barisal | 1.7 | . 3 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 10.0 | 4946 |
|  | Chittagong | 1.5 | . 7 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 8.8 | 15359 |
|  | Dhaka | 3.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 13.9 | 22494 |
|  | Khulna | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 12.1 | 7365 |
|  | Rajshahi | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 10.7 | 16.6 | 16625 |
|  | Sylhet | 2.2 | . 5 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 11.6 | 5353 |
| Area | Rural | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 13.4 | 52010 |
|  | Urban | 3.3 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 11.2 | 19479 |
|  | Urban municipality | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 10.8 | 14164 |
|  | City Corporations | 5.6 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 12.4 | 5316 |
|  | Non-slum | 5.1 | . 8 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 11.7 | 4811 |
|  | Slum | 10.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 19.1 | 505 |
|  | Tribal | 2.9 | . 7 | 2.2 | 12.9 | 17.6 | 652 |
| Age | 5-11 years | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 51295 |
|  | 12-14 years | 5.7 | . 8 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 19.0 | 20846 |
| School participation Mother's education | Yes | . 5 | . 8 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 55506 |
|  | No | 9.1 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 25.1 | 16636 |
|  | None | 3.8 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 16.3 | 36345 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 12.0 | 11173 |
|  | Primary completed | . 9 | . 9 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 8448 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | . 4 | . 7 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 10755 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | . 4 | . 3 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 5117 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 2.7 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 10.6 | 18.9 | 266 |
|  | Missing/DK | (.0) | (.0) | (4.0) | (2.3) | (6.2) | 37 |
| Wealth <br> index <br> quintiles | Poorest | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 9.8 | 16.0 | 17185 |
|  | Second | 2.8 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 15556 |
|  | Middle | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 13.0 | 14410 |
|  | Fourth | 1.9 | . 9 | 1.6 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 12930 |
|  | Richest | 1.3 | . 5 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 8.2 | 12061 |
| National |  | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 7.5 | 12.8 | 72141 |

Table CP.3: Labourer students and student labourers
Percentage of children aged 5-14 years who are labourer students and student labourers, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage of children in child labour * | Percentage of children attending school *** | No. of children aged 5-14 | Percentage of child labourers who are also attending school * | No. of child labourers aged 5-14 | Percentage of students who are also involved in child labour **** | No. of students aged 5-14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 17.5 | 74.5 | 36652 | 55.9 | 6397 | 13.1 | 27309 |
|  | Female | 8.1 | 79.5 | 35489 | 52.6 | 2860 | 5.3 | 28197 |
| Division | Barisal | 10.0 | 79.7 | 4946 | 55.5 | 496 | 7.0 | 3944 |
|  | Chittagong | 8.8 | 77.6 | 15359 | 50.2 | 1355 | 5.7 | 11923 |
|  | Dhaka | 13.9 | 74.9 | 22494 | 52.4 | 3135 | 9.7 | 16855 |
|  | Khulna | 12.1 | 82.5 | 7365 | 63.3 | 891 | 9.3 | 6079 |
|  | Rajshahi | 16.6 | 77.4 | 16625 | 59.9 | 2760 | 12.8 | 12872 |
|  | Sylhet | 11.6 | 71.6 | 5353 | 43.2 | 620 | 7.0 | 3832 |
| Area | Rural | 13.4 | 76.8 | 52010 | 60.0 | 6952 | 10.4 | 39953 |
|  | Urban | 11.2 | 77.3 | 19479 | 38.1 | 2190 | 5.5 | 15064 |
|  | Urban municipality | 10.8 | 78.6 | 14164 | 43.3 | 1530 | 6.0 | 11133 |
|  | City Corporations | 12.4 | 73.9 | 5316 | 26.0 | 660 | 4.4 | 3930 |
|  | Non-slum | 11.7 | 76.2 | 4811 | 26.1 | 564 | 4.0 | 3664 |
|  | Slum | 19.1 | 52.8 | 505 | 25.3 | 96 | 9.1 | 267 |
|  | Tribal | 17.6 | 75.0 | 652 | 65.5 | 115 | 15.4 | 489 |
| Age | 5-11 years | 10.3 | 79.3 | 51295 | 74.6 | 5303 | 9.7 | 40700 |
|  | 12-14 years | 19.0 | 71.0 | 20846 | 28.5 | 3954 | 7.6 | 14806 |
| Mother's education | None | 16.3 | 70.3 | 36345 | 50.0 | 5913 | 11.6 | 25546 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 12.0 | 80.3 | 11173 | 65.8 | 1344 | 9.9 | 8969 |
|  | Primary completed | 9.5 | 83.5 | 8448 | 70.7 | 799 | 8.0 | 7053 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 6.8 | 86.8 | 10755 | 73.6 | 729 | 5.7 | 9336 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 8.2 | 85.2 | 5117 | 27.1 | 420 | 2.6 | 4362 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 18.9 | 79.8 | 266 | 55.9 | 50 | 13.3 | 212 |
|  | Missing/DK | (6.2) | (73.0) | 37 | (61.7) | 2 | (5.3) | 27 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 16.0 | 68.4 | 17185 | 52.9 | 2742 | 12.4 | 11747 |
|  | Second | 14.7 | 74.5 | 15556 | 58.6 | 2285 | 11.6 | 11589 |
|  | Middle | 13.0 | 78.2 | 14410 | 59.9 | 1880 | 10.0 | 11275 |
|  | Fourth | 10.5 | 82.6 | 12930 | 60.8 | 1363 | 7.8 | 10674 |
|  | Richest | 8.2 | 84.7 | 12061 | 34.4 | 986 | 3.3 | 10221 |
| National |  | 12.8 | 76.9 | 72141 | 54.9 | 9257 | 9.2 | 55506 |

## Early marriage

Bangladeshi law prohibits marriage before age 18 for girls and age 21 for boys. But marriage before the age of 18 is a reality for many girls. According to UNICEF's global estimates, more than 60 million women aged 20-24 were married before their 18th birthday. Factors that influence child marriage rates include: the state of the country's civil registration system, which provides proof of age for children; the existence of an adequate legislative framework with an accompanying enforcement mechanism to address cases of child marriage; and the existence of customary or religious laws that condone the practice.

In many parts of the world parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children in the hope that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially - and relieve financial burdens on the family. Child marriage is a violation of human rights, compromising the development of girls and often resulting in early pregnancy and social isolation. Early marriage combined with little education and poor vocational training reinforces the gendered nature of poverty. The right to 'free and full' consent to a marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights - with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make an informed decision about a life partner.

The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women mentions the right to protection from child marriage in Article 16, which states: "The betrothal and the marriage of a child shall have no legal effect, and all necessary action, including legislation, shall be taken to specify a minimum age for marriage..." While marriage is not considered directly in the CRC, it is linked to other rights, such as the right to express their views freely, the right to protection from all forms of abuse, and the right to be protected from harmful traditional practices, and it is frequently addressed by the Committee on the Rights of the Child. Other international agreements related to child marriage are the Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages and the African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child and the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People's Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa. The Pan-African Forum Against the Sexual Exploitation of Children also identified early marriage as a type of commercial sexual exploitation of children.

Married girls are a unique - though often hidden - group. Required to perform heavy amounts of domestic work, under pressure to demonstrate fertility, and responsible for raising children while still children themselves, married girls and child mothers are limited to constrained decision-making and reduced life choices. Boys are also affected by child marriage, but the issue impacts girls in far larger numbers and with more intensity. Cohabitation - when a couple lives together as if married - raises the same human rights concerns as marriage. Where a girl lives with a man and takes on the role of caregiver for him, the assumption is often that she has become an adult woman, even if she has not yet reached the age of 18. Additional concerns due to the informality of the relationship - such as inheritance, citizenship and social recognition - might make girls in informal unions vulnerable in different ways than those who are in formally recognized marriages.

Research suggests that many factors interact to place a child at risk of marriage. Poverty, protection of girls, family honour and the provision of stability during unstable social periods are considered as significant factors in determining a girl's risk of being married. Women who married at younger ages
are more likely to believe that it is sometimes acceptable for a husband to beat his wife and are more likely to experience domestic violence themselves. The age gap between partners is thought to contribute to these abusive power dynamics and to increase the risk of untimely widowhood.

Closely related to the issue of child marriage is the age at which girls become sexually active. Women who are married before the age of 18 tend to have more children than those who marry later in life. Pregnancy-related deaths are known to be a leading cause of mortality for both married and unmarried girls between the ages of 15 and 19, particularly among the youngest of this cohort. Girls who marry at young ages are more likely to marry older men which puts them at increased risk of HIV infection. Parents seek to marry off their girls to protect their honour, and men often seek younger women as wives as a means to avoid choosing someone who might already be infected. The pressure for a young wife to reproduce and the power imbalance resulting from the age differential lead to very low condom use among such couples.

The MICS looks at two indictors to estimate early marriage: the percentage of women married before 15 and 18 years of age. Table CP.4. shows that a large proportion of girls have married at an early age. Among the surveyed households nationally, 33.1 percent of women aged 15-49 were married before their 15 th birthday, while 74 percent of women aged $20-49$ were married before their 18 th birthday.

By areas, 78.4 percent of women aged 20-49 percent living in rural areas were married before they turned 18 compared to 65.2 percent in urban areas. Among the tribal population, the rate of marriage before age 18 among women aged 20-49 was somewhat 'low' ( 43.8 percent). There is a negative co-relation between early marriage and women's education level as well as the household economic status. In comparing women by five-year age groups, the prevalence of marriage before ages 15 and 18 is declining. However, the findings indicate that currently about 42 percent of female aged 15-19 are married.

The other MICS component is the spousal age difference, with the indicator being the percentage of women and girls currently married to a man at leaset ten years older. Table CP. 5 shows that of the females aged 15-19 who are currently married, 31.8 percent of their husbands are at least ten years older, while 49.6 percent are married to man who is 5-9 years older. For women aged 20-24 years who are currently married, 36.2 percent of their husbands are at least ten years older than them, while 46.6 percent of the husbands are only 5-9 years older. The marriage age gap was larger among women with more education.

## Table CP.4: Early marriage

Percentage of women aged 15-49 in marriage before their 15th birthday;
Percentage of women aged 20-49 in marriage before their 18th birthday;
Percentage of women aged 15-19 currently married, Bangladesh, 2006.

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage married before age 15* | No. of women aged 15-49 years | Percentage married before age 18 * | No. of women aged 20-49 years | Percentage of women 15-19 years married ** | No. of women aged 15-19 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 36.7 | 4172 | 79.5 | 3305 | 46.9 | 867 |
|  | Chittagong | 21.9 | 13372 | 66.5 | 10141 | 30.8 | 3231 |
|  | Dhaka | 32.9 | 22404 | 72.1 | 17652 | 40.9 | 4752 |
|  | Khulna | 39.3 | 8124 | 80.5 | 6501 | 47.0 | 1623 |
|  | Rajshahi | 41.8 | 17394 | 81.4 | 13665 | 53.9 | 3729 |
|  | Sylhet | 19.1 | 4393 | 57.6 | 3311 | 25.6 | 1083 |
| Area | Rural | 36.2 | 47449 | 78.4 | 37030 | 46.1 | 10419 |
|  | Urban | 27.0 | 21807 | 65.2 | 17062 | 33.0 | 4745 |
|  | Urban municipality | 28.3 | 15267 | 68.2 | 11962 | 34.0 | 3305 |
|  | City Corporations | 23.7 | 6540 | 58.1 | 5100 | 30.7 | 1440 |
|  | Non-slum | 22.5 | 6067 | 56.5 | 4724 | 29.5 | 1343 |
|  | Slum | 39.8 | 473 | 78.6 | 376 | 46.8 | 97 |
|  | Tribal | 13.2 | 604 | 43.8 | 484 | 26.7 | 120 |
| Age | 15-19 years | 16.5 | 15284 |  | 0 | 41.9 | 15284 |
|  | 20-24 years | 27.7 | 12630 | 64.1 | 12630 |  | 0 |
|  | 25-29 years | 33.1 | 11151 | 70.2 | 11151 |  | 0 |
|  | 30-34 years | 37.5 | 9376 | 76.1 | 9376 |  | 0 |
|  | 35-39 years | 40.0 | 8853 | 77.7 | 8853 |  | 0 |
|  | 40-44 years | 45.4 | 6627 | 80.5 | 6627 |  | 0 |
|  | 45-49 years | 56.6 | 5939 | 85.7 | 5939 |  | 0 |
| Women's and girl's education | None | 50.2 | 23812 | 85.8 | 22393 | 60.9 | 1419 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 43.3 | 9669 | 84.3 | 7898 | 52.6 | 1772 |
|  | Primary completed | 35.5 | 8286 | 79.1 | 6288 | 54.1 | 1997 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 18.5 | 18917 | 67.1 | 10896 | 38.8 | 8021 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 5.1 | 8923 | 29.5 | 6900 | 19.3 | 2023 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 43.7 | 247 | 87.2 | 197 | 35.0 | 50 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | 6 | '(*)' | 4 | '(*)' | 2 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 44.7 | 12818 | 85.0 | 10592 | 52.0 | 2226 |
|  | Second | 39.8 | 13359 | 82.0 | 10377 | 49.8 | 2982 |
|  | Middle | 35.3 | 13821 | 78.3 | 10495 | 45.1 | 3326 |
|  | Fourth | 28.8 | 14241 | 71.5 | 10858 | 39.0 | 3383 |
|  | Richest | 19.9 | 15622 | 56.1 | 12254 | 27.9 | 3367 |
| National |  | 33.1 | 69860 | 74.0 | 54576 | 41.9 | 15284 |

* MICS Indicator 67 ** MICS Indicator $68{ }^{* * *}$ MICS Indicator 70

An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Table CP.5: Spousal age difference
Percentage distribution of currently married women aged 15-19 and 20-24, according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percentage of currently married females aged $15-19$ whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  |  | Total | No. of women aged 15-19 years currently married | Percentage of currently married females aged $20-24$ whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  |  | Total | No. of women aged 20-24 years currently married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Younger | $0-4$ <br> years older | $5-9$ <br> years older | $10+$ <br> years older | Husband/ partner's age unknown |  |  | Younger | 0-4 <br> years <br> older | $5-9$ <br> years older | 10+ years older | Husband/ partner's age unknown |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | . 5 | 15.1 | 49.5 | 32.3 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 407 | . 2 | 13.3 | 46.1 | 39.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 635 |
|  | Chittagong | . 0 | 13.6 | 44.1 | 40.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 997 | . 1 | 16.9 | 44.5 | 37.8 | . 7 | 100.0 | 1894 |
|  | Dhaka | . 0 | 18.2 | 48.9 | 31.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1946 | . 1 | 16.0 | 48.1 | 35.1 | . 7 | 100.0 | 3296 |
|  | Khulna | . 1 | 14.4 | 53.4 | 31.8 | . 3 | 100.0 | 762 | . 2 | 16.4 | 47.6 | 35.8 | . 0 | 100.0 | 1260 |
|  | Rajshahi | . 1 | 20.6 | 52.7 | 26.1 | . 4 | 100.0 | 2011 | . 1 | 18.4 | 48.2 | 33.1 | . 2 | 100.0 | 2801 |
|  | Sylhet | . 0 | 14.2 | 42.6 | 42.3 | . 9 | 100.0 | 277 | . 0 | 14.4 | 37.0 | 47.8 | . 8 | 100.0 | 616 |
| Area | Rural | . 1 | 17.2 | 50.9 | 30.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4802 | . 1 | 16.7 | 46.8 | 35.9 | . 5 | 100.0 | 7421 |
|  | Urban | . 1 | 18.0 | 46.1 | 34.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1565 | . 1 | 15.9 | 46.3 | 37.1 | . 5 | 100.0 | 2999 |
|  | Urban municipality | . 1 | 17.8 | 46.8 | 33.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1124 | . 2 | 15.3 | 45.8 | 38.2 | . 5 | 100.0 | 2190 |
|  | City Corporations | . 0 | 18.5 | 44.2 | 36.6 | . 7 | 100.0 | 441 | . 0 | 17.6 | 47.6 | 34.4 | . 4 | 100.0 | 809 |
|  | Non-slum | . 0 | 18.0 | 43.5 | 38.0 | . 6 | 100.0 | 396 | . 0 | 17.4 | 47.7 | 34.5 | . 4 | 100.0 | 729 |
|  | Slum | . 0 | 22.8 | 50.8 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 45 | . 0 | 19.7 | 46.7 | 32.9 | . 7 | 100.0 | 80 |
|  | Tribal | . 7 | 20.5 | 42.3 | 35.7 | . 7 | 100.0 | 32 | . 5 | 30.7 | 43.7 | 24.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Age | 15-19 years | . 1 | 17.4 | 49.6 | 31.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 6399 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 |
|  | 20-24 years | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | . 1 | 16.6 | 46.6 | 36.2 | . 5 | 100.0 | 10501 |
| Women's and girl's Education | None | . 0 | 16.9 | 48.2 | 33.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 864 | . 0 | 15.2 | 48.4 | 35.8 | . 6 | 100.0 | 2272 |
|  | Primary incomplete | . 0 | 19.6 | 51.1 | 28.3 | . 9 | 100.0 | 932 | . 0 | 18.3 | 47.4 | 34.1 | . 1 | 100.0 | 1649 |
|  | Primary completed | . 1 | 22.1 | 49.4 | 27.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1081 | . 1 | 17.7 | 46.1 | 35.7 | . 5 | 100.0 | 1442 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | . 1 | 15.9 | 51.0 | 32.0 | . 9 | 100.0 | 3116 | . 1 | 16.7 | 47.3 | 35.3 | . 6 | 100.0 | 3682 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | . 0 | 10.8 | 39.4 | 47.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 390 | . 2 | 15.5 | 41.6 | 42.2 | . 6 | 100.0 | 1409 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | 100.0 | 17 | (.0) | (18.3) | (50.8) | (30.8) | (.0) | 100.0 | 47 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | . 0 | 0 | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | ${ }^{\prime}()^{*}{ }^{\prime}$ | 100.0 | 1 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | . 2 | 17.9 | 51.0 | 30.1 | . 8 | 100.0 | 1157 | . 0 | 14.2 | 48.5 | 36.7 | . 6 | 100.0 | 2057 |
|  | Second | . 1 | 19.9 | 51.9 | 26.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1484 | . 2 | 17.6 | 48.3 | 33.6 | . 2 | 100.0 | 2091 |
|  | Middle | . 0 | 18.8 | 51.4 | 28.9 | . 9 | 100.0 | 1501 | . 1 | 18.2 | 47.7 | 33.5 | . 5 | 100.0 | 2130 |
|  | Fourth | . 1 | 16.4 | 48.5 | 34.2 | . 8 | 100.0 | 1319 | . 1 | 18.3 | 45.4 | 35.5 | . 7 | 100.0 | 2188 |
|  | Richest | . 0 | 12.1 | 43.2 | 43.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 939 | . 0 | 14.4 | 43.2 | 41.7 | . 6 | 100.0 | 2036 |
| National |  | . 1 | 17.4 | 49.6 | 31.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 6399 | . 1 | 16.6 | 46.6 | 36.2 | . 5 | 100.0 | 10501 |

* MICS Indicator 69

An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

## Child disability

One of the World Fit for Children goals is to protect children against abuse, exploitation, and violence, including the elimination of discrimination against children with disabilities. The MICS included a series of questions pertaining to children aged 2-9 to assess the incidence of disabilities/ impairments, such as sight impairment, deafness, and difficulties with speech. This approach rests in the concept of functional disability developed by WHO and aims to identify the implications of any disability or impairment on the development of a child (health, nutrition, education).

As shown in Table CP.6. 17.5 percent of children in the surveyed households who were 2-9 years old had one or more reported disabilities. The highest rate of disability reported was in Rajshahi Division (20.4 percent) and the lowest was in Chittagong Division (14 percent). There was no urban-rural difference. Several districts had comparatively higher reported rates of disability (Bhola with 30.4 percent, Feni with 23.6 percent, Faridpur with 31.9 percent, Munshiganj with 26.9 percent, Rajbari with 31.7 percent, Chuadanga with 24.6 percent, Jessore with 23.2 percent, Meherpur with 31.3 percent, Kurigram with 25.9 percent, Nawabganj with 28.5 percent, Panchagarh with 26.5 percent, Rangpur with 25.8 percent and Sirajganj with 30.3 percent (see Table CP.6.1 in Volume II for more details). It is unknown why some districts have such a high rate of disability and further investigation is suggested.

## Child injury

The 2003 Bangladesh Health and Injury Survey (BHIS) found that injury had become a leading cause of death among children older than 1 year. In 2002, 30,000 Bangladeshi children died from injuries. According to the 2005 Child Injury Survey, about a million children are seriously hurt from injuries every year - two children every minute. Of them, 36 a day become disabled. As a result, these children are more likely to be trapped in serious poverty, with a lack of treatment, services and support.

As shown in Table CP.7, 6.5 percent of children younger than 18 years suffered some form of injury. Of them, falling accounted for the highest rate at 2.3 percent. Among divisions, Barisal had the highest rate ( 8.4 percent), with falls representing the most injuries, followed by road accidents and then drowning. Urban slum areas also have a higher rate of injury ( 9.1 percent) with falls and road accidents accounting for most injuries.

The 2003 BHIS showed that most child injury deaths were caused by drowning. After enquiring about the swimming abilities among children aged 5-18 in the surveyed households, the MICS found that nationally about 74 percent of them can swim. There were higher rates in the rural and tribal areas (79.3 and 69.2 percent respectively). More children from the lower and middle income groups than the wealthier groups knew how to swim (Table CP. 7a).
Table CP.6: Child disability
Percentage of children aged 2-9 years who have a disability, as reported by the mother or caretaker and according to type of disability, Bangladesh, 2006


[^12]An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.
Figures in parenthesis are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
Table CP.7: Child Injury
Percentage of children (younger than 18) by type of injury and current condition, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Type of injury among children: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Currrent condition after injury |  |  | No. of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Injured children aged <18 years | Fell down | Burn | Animal/ snake/ insect bite | Road accident | Drowning | Other/ poisoning/ Acid victim | Recovered | $\begin{gathered} \text { Still } \\ \text { suffering } \end{gathered}$ | Died |  |
| Division | Barisal | 8.4 | 3.1 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 7.1 | 1.2 | . 1 | 3909 |
|  | Chittagong | 6.4 | 2.6 | . 6 | . 1 | 1.3 | . 7 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 1.3 | . 1 | 11015 |
|  | Dhaka | 6.6 | 2.4 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.1 | . 7 | 1.7 | 5.7 | . 9 | . 1 | 20219 |
|  | Khulna | 6.8 | 2.2 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 1.0 | . 1 | 7465 |
|  | Rajshahi | 6.2 | 2.1 | . 6 | . 3 | 1.0 | . 6 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 1.1 | . 1 | 16432 |
|  | Sylhet | 5.5 | 1.8 | . 5 | . 2 | . 8 | . 5 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 1.2 | . 1 | 3423 |
| Area | Rural | 6.6 | 2.5 | . 6 | . 3 | 1.0 | . 8 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 1.2 | . 1 | 43735 |
|  | Urban | 6.4 | 2.0 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.4 | . 5 | 2.0 | 5.5 | . 9 | . 1 | 18138 |
|  | Urban municipality | 6.7 | 2.1 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.5 | . 6 | 2.0 | 5.8 | . 8 | . 1 | 12925 |
|  | City Corporation | 5.6 | 1.7 | . 5 | . 1 | 1.3 | . 2 | 1.9 | 4.8 | . 9 | . 0 | 5213 |
|  | Non-slum | 5.3 | 1.7 | . 5 | . 1 | 1.2 | . 2 | 1.8 | 4.6 | . 8 | . 0 | 4793 |
|  | Slum | 9.1 | 2.4 | . 8 | . 0 | 2.4 | . 5 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 2.0 | . 1 | 420 |
|  | Tribal | 3.7 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 3 | . 5 | . 4 | . 6 | 3.0 | . 7 | . 1 | 590 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 6.8 | 2.5 | . 8 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 5.6 | 1.2 | . 1 | 13530 |
|  | Second | 6.8 | 2.5 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.1 | . 9 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 1.3 | . 1 | 13019 |
|  | Middle | 6.8 | 2.4 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.4 | . 8 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 1.2 | . 1 | 12397 |
|  | Fourth | 7.0 | 2.4 | . 6 | . 3 | 1.3 | . 6 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 1.0 | . 1 | 11572 |
|  | Richest | 5.1 | 1.8 | . 4 | . 2 | 1.1 | . 2 | 1.7 | 4.4 | . 7 | . 0 | 11946 |
| National |  | 6.5 | 2.3 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.1 | . 7 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 1.1 | . 1 | 62463 |

Table CP.7a: Percentage children aged 5-18 who can swim, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Can swim | No. children aged 5-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 82.5 | 6734 |
|  | Chittagong | 73.7 | 21657 |
|  | Dhaka | 71.3 | 31526 |
|  | Khulna | 79.4 | 10498 |
|  | Rajshahi | 71.5 | 23820 |
|  | Sylhet | 76.0 | 7372 |
| Area | Rural | 79.3 | 72677 |
|  | Urban | 59.7 | 28046 |
|  | Urban municipality | 65.9 | 20245 |
|  | City Corporation | 43.4 | 7801 |
|  | Non-slum | 42.4 | 7097 |
|  | Slum | 53.7 | 704 |
|  | Tribal | 69.2 | 884 |
| Education of household head | None | 77.5 | 46565 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 76.3 | 13376 |
|  | Primary completed | 76.6 | 10833 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 72.1 | 16430 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 58.6 | 13860 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 76.3 | 298 |
|  | Missing/DK | 62.5 | 246 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 76.7 | 21653 |
|  | Second | 79.8 | 21614 |
|  | Middle | 79.7 | 21058 |
|  | Fourth | 75.4 | 19292 |
|  | Richest | 54.3 | 17991 |
| National |  | 73.8 | 101608 |



## Knowledge of HIV transmission and condom use

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. Correct information is the first step towards raising awareness and giving young people the tools to protect themselves from infection. Misconceptions about HIV are common and can confuse young people and hinder prevention efforts. In Bangladesh, residents of different divisions continue to have misconceptions about the spread of HIV (that sharing food or mosquito bites can transmit HIV), although there are some variations in what they believe. The UN General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS (UNGASS) called on governments to improve the knowledge and skills of young people to protect themselves from HIV. The MICS indicators to measure this goal as well as the sixth MDG on reducing HIV infections by half include improving the level of knowledge of HIV and changing behaviours to prevent further spread of the disease.

In the MICS, women aged 15-45 were asked whether they knew of the three main ways of HIV transmission - having only one faithful uninfected partner, using a condom every time, and abstaining from sex. Table HA.1. shows that among all surveyed households, 65.1 percent of women aged 15-49 years had heard about HIV and AIDS. The rate varies from 57.2 percent in rural areas to 83.2 percent in urban areas. Overall, 37.3 per cent of those women also reported knowing two prevention methods.

A key indicator used to measure countries' responses to the HIV epidemic is comprehensive knowledge among young people aged 15-24 years. Comprehensive knowledge includes identifying two methods for preventing the spread of HIV, rejecting two misconceptions and knowing that a healthy-looking person can have HIV. The MICS findings indicate that the two most common misconceptions are that HIV can be transmitted by sharing food or by mosquito bites (Table HA.2). Slightly less than 16 percent of young women (15-24 years) had comprehensive knowledge of HIV (Table HA.3). The level of education and residence were highly associated with knowledge of HIV. Women in urban areas (20.8 percent) were more than twice as likely to have comprehensive correct knowledge as those in rural and tribal areas ( 8.2 and 20.8 and 8.3 respectively). Among the divisions, Dhaka had reported the highest rate at 15.9 percent, whereas Sylhet had the lowest rate at 6.1 percent.

Table HA.1: Knowledge of preventing HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who knew the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Heard of AIDS | Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Knows } \\ & \text { both } \\ & \text { ways } \end{aligned}$ | Knows at least one way | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doesn't } \\ & \text { know } \\ & \text { any way } \end{aligned}$ | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time |  |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal |  | 63.3 | 47.0 | 38.8 | 33.9 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 4172 |
|  | Chittagong | 64.8 | 51.0 | 43.0 | 37.8 | 56.2 | 43.8 | 13372 |
|  | Dhaka | 72.7 | 56.5 | 47.8 | 42.5 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 22404 |
|  | Khulna | 75.0 | 61.3 | 50.8 | 46.0 | 66.1 | 33.9 | 8124 |
|  | Rajshahi | 54.3 | 37.9 | 36.8 | 29.9 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 17394 |
|  | Sylhet | 53.7 | 39.4 | 29.7 | 25.5 | 43.6 | 56.4 | 4393 |
| Area | Rural | 57.2 | 41.8 | 34.9 | 29.6 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 47449 |
|  | Urban | 83.2 | 67.8 | 60.5 | 54.4 | 73.9 | 26.1 | 21807 |
|  | Urban municipality | 79.8 | 64.0 | 56.9 | 50.8 | 70.1 | 29.9 | 15267 |
|  | City Corporations | 91.0 | 76.4 | 68.9 | 62.6 | 82.6 | 17.4 | 6540 |
|  | Non-slum | 92.2 | 78.1 | 70.6 | 64.6 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 6067 |
|  | Slum | 75.9 | 55.1 | 46.4 | 37.5 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 473 |
|  | Tribal | 35.3 | 26.6 | 22.3 | 19.6 | 29.3 | 70.7 | 604 |
| Age | 15-19 years | 78.1 | 61.6 | 51.3 | 45.1 | 67.8 | 32.2 | 15284 |
|  | 20-24 years | 73.6 | 58.2 | 52.2 | 45.5 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 12630 |
|  | 25-29 years | 66.8 | 51.6 | 46.1 | 40.3 | 57.5 | 42.5 | 11151 |
|  | 30-34 years | 60.1 | 44.9 | 39.2 | 34.0 | 50.1 | 49.9 | 9376 |
|  | 35-39 years | 57.0 | 41.9 | 35.9 | 30.6 | 47.2 | 52.8 | 8853 |
|  | 40-44 years | 51.2 | 37.3 | 30.8 | 26.8 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 6627 |
|  | 45-49 years | 45.9 | 30.9 | 24.4 | 20.6 | 34.7 | 65.3 | 5939 |
| Education | None | 36.6 | 23.5 | 17.9 | 14.5 | 26.9 | 73.1 | 23812 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 56.2 | 38.9 | 30.6 | 25.6 | 43.9 | 56.1 | 9669 |
|  | Primary completed | 70.2 | 49.5 | 41.7 | 34.4 | 56.8 | 43.2 | 8286 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 87.7 | 70.5 | 61.1 | 53.8 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 18917 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 98.9 | 88.6 | 85.3 | 78.9 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 8923 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 38.0 | 19.5 | 19.7 | 11.8 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 247 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 6 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 34.8 | 21.8 | 17.8 | 14.1 | 25.6 | 74.4 | 12818 |
|  | Second | 47.3 | 32.1 | 26.1 | 21.4 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 13359 |
|  | Middle | 63.5 | 46.4 | 38.1 | 32.4 | 52.1 | 47.9 | 13821 |
|  | Fourth | 80.8 | 63.2 | 54.0 | 46.9 | 70.3 | 29.7 | 14241 |
|  | Richest | 92.3 | 78.5 | 71.6 | 65.5 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 15622 |
| National |  | 65.1 | 49.8 | 42.8 | 37.3 | 55.3 | 44.7 | 69860 |

[^13]Figure HA.1: Comprehensive knowledge of HIV transmission (proportion of women who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV transmission by educational background), Bangladesh, 2006


Table HA.2: Identifying misconceptions about HIV infections
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify misconceptions about HIV transmission, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percent who know that: |  |  | Reject two most common misconceptions and know a healthy-looking person can be infected |  | HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food | HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites | A healthy looking person can be infected |  |  |  |  |
| Division | Barisal | 63.3 | 47.0 | 38.8 | 33.9 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 4172 |
|  | Chittagong | 64.8 | 51.0 | 43.0 | 37.8 | 56.2 | 43.8 | 13372 |
|  | Dhaka | 72.7 | 56.5 | 47.8 | 42.5 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 22404 |
|  | Khulna | 75.0 | 61.3 | 50.8 | 46.0 | 66.1 | 33.9 | 8124 |
|  | Rajshahi | 54.3 | 37.9 | 36.8 | 29.9 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 17394 |
|  | Sylhet | 53.7 | 39.4 | 29.7 | 25.5 | 43.6 | 56.4 | 4393 |
| Area | Rural | 57.2 | 41.8 | 34.9 | 29.6 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 47449 |
|  | Urban | 83.2 | 67.8 | 60.5 | 54.4 | 73.9 | 26.1 | 21807 |
|  | Urban municipality | 79.8 | 64.0 | 56.9 | 50.8 | 70.1 | 29.9 | 15267 |
|  | City Corporations | 91.0 | 76.4 | 68.9 | 62.6 | 82.6 | 17.4 | 6540 |
|  | Non-slum | 92.2 | 78.1 | 70.6 | 64.6 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 6067 |
|  | Slum | 75.9 | 55.1 | 46.4 | 37.5 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 473 |
|  | Tribal | 35.3 | 26.6 | 22.3 | 19.6 | 29.3 | 70.7 | 604 |
| Age | 15-19 years | 78.1 | 61.6 | 51.3 | 45.1 | 67.8 | 32.2 | 15284 |
|  | 20-24 years | 73.6 | 58.2 | 52.2 | 45.5 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 12630 |
|  | 25-29 years | 66.8 | 51.6 | 46.1 | 40.3 | 57.5 | 42.5 | 11151 |
|  | 30-34 years | 60.1 | 44.9 | 39.2 | 34.0 | 50.1 | 49.9 | 9376 |
|  | 35-39 years | 57.0 | 41.9 | 35.9 | 30.6 | 47.2 | 52.8 | 8853 |
|  | 40-44 years | 51.2 | 37.3 | 30.8 | 26.8 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 6627 |
|  | 45-49 years | 45.9 | 30.9 | 24.4 | 20.6 | 34.7 | 65.3 | 5939 |
| Education | None | 36.6 | 23.5 | 17.9 | 14.5 | 26.9 | 73.1 | 23812 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 56.2 | 38.9 | 30.6 | 25.6 | 43.9 | 56.1 | 9669 |
|  | Primary completed | 70.2 | 49.5 | 41.7 | 34.4 | 56.8 | 43.2 | 8286 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 87.7 | 70.5 | 61.1 | 53.8 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 18917 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 98.9 | 88.6 | 85.3 | 78.9 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 8923 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 38.0 | 19.5 | 19.7 | 11.8 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 247 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 6 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 34.8 | 21.8 | 17.8 | 14.1 | 25.6 | 74.4 | 12818 |
|  | Second | 47.3 | 32.1 | 26.1 | 21.4 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 13359 |
|  | Middle | 63.5 | 46.4 | 38.1 | 32.4 | 52.1 | 47.9 | 13821 |
|  | Fourth | 80.8 | 63.2 | 54.0 | 46.9 | 70.3 | 29.7 | 14241 |
|  | Richest | 92.3 | 78.5 | 71.6 | 65.5 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 15622 |
| National |  | 65.1 | 49.8 | 42.8 | 37.3 | 55.3 | 44.7 | 69860 |

[^14]Table HA.3: Comprehensive knowledge of HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV transmission, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Knows 2 ways to prevent HIV transmission | Correctly identifies 3 misconceptions about HIV transmission | Have comprehensive knowledge (identifies 2 prevention methods and 3 misconceptions) | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Barisal | 33.9 | 11.8 | 8.5 | 4172 |
|  | Chittagong | 37.8 | 16.2 | 11.6 | 13372 |
|  | Dhaka | 42.5 | 20.7 | 15.9 | 22404 |
|  | Khulna | 46.0 | 18.7 | 14.9 | 8124 |
|  | Rajshahi | 29.9 | 12.6 | 9.0 | 17394 |
|  | Sylhet | 25.5 | 10.0 | 6.1 | 4393 |
| Area | Rural | 29.6 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 47449 |
|  | Urban | 54.4 | 26.0 | 20.8 | 21807 |
|  | Urban municipality | 50.8 | 23.0 | 18.2 | 15267 |
|  | City Corporation | 62.6 | 32.9 | 27.0 | 6540 |
|  | Non-slum | 64.6 | 34.2 | 28.2 | 6067 |
|  | Slum | 37.5 | 16.4 | 11.6 | 473 |
|  | Tribal | 19.6 | 10.6 | 8.3 | 604 |
| Age | 15-19 | 45.1 | 22.3 | 16.2 | 15284 |
|  | 20-24 | 45.5 | 19.9 | 15.3 | 12630 |
|  | 15-24 | 45.3 | 21.2 | 15.8 | 27914 |
|  | 25-29 | 40.3 | 17.5 | 13.4 | 11151 |
|  | 30-34 | 34.0 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 9376 |
|  | 35-39 | 30.6 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 8853 |
|  | 40-44 | 26.8 | 11.1 | 8.1 | 6627 |
|  | 45-49 | 20.6 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 5939 |
| Education | None | 14.5 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 23812 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 25.6 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 9669 |
|  | Primary completed | 34.4 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 8286 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 53.8 | 24.3 | 17.8 | 18917 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 78.9 | 42.3 | 37.0 | 8923 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 11.8 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 247 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 6 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 14.1 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 12818 |
|  | Second | 21.4 | 7.2 | 4.3 | 13359 |
|  | Middle | 32.4 | 13.0 | 8.9 | 13821 |
|  | Fourth | 46.9 | 20.2 | 14.4 | 14241 |
|  | Richest | 65.5 | 33.6 | 27.7 | 15622 |
| National |  | 37.3 | 16.4 | 12.2 | 69860 |

Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is also an important first step for women to seek HIV testing when they are pregnant to avoid infection in the baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, delivery, and through breastfeeding. Table HA. 4 shows that among the surveyed households, 60.9 percent of females aged 15-49 knew that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child. The rate varied from 50.3 percent in Rajshahi Division to 71.3 percent in Khulna Division. The rate was 53.2 percent in rural areas and 78.5 percent in urban areas. Some 47.8 percent of all females in the surveyed age group knew all three ways of mother-to-child transmission, while only 4.2 percent of them did not know of any specific way. Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission was positively correlated to the respondent's education level: Only 40.4 percent of those with an incomplete primary education knew all three ways compared to 74.6 percent of those who had completed at lease their secondary education.

## Orphans and vulnerable children

Children who are orphaned or in vulnerable households may be at increased risk of neglect or exploitation if the parents are not available to look after them. Monitoring the variations in different outcomes for orphans and vulnerable children and comparing them to their peers gives us a measure of how well communities and governments are responding to their needs.

To monitor these variations, a measurable definition of orphaned and vulnerable children needed to be created for the MICS. This definition classifies children as orphaned and vulnerable if they have experienced the death of either parent, if either parent is chronically ill, or if an adult (aged 18-59) in the household either died (after being chronically ill) or was chronically ill in the year prior to the survey.

Reflecting the frequency of children living with neither parent, the mother only or the father only, table HA.5. shows that among the surveyed households, 5.8 percent of children younger than 18 years had either one parent or both parents who had died. Another 5.5 percent of children was not living with a biological parent. Among the girls, 8.3 percent did not live with a biological parent compared to 2.9 percent of the boys. By district, Feni had the lowest proportion of children ( 72.1 percent) living with both parents, while in Rajbari and Pabna Districts, 89.7 percent of the children are lived with both parents (see Table HA.5.1 in Volume II for more details). In Feni District, 19.4 percent the surveyed children lived with their mother only even though the father is alive. This may be because of the out-migration of fathers in great numbers due to economic reasons.

One of the measures developed for the MICS assessment on the status of orphaned and vulnerable children relative to their peers looks at the school attendance of children aged 10-14 who had lost both parents (double orphans) versus children whose parents were alive (and who live with at least one of them). If children whose parents have died do not have the same access to school as their peers, then families and schools are not ensuring that these children's rights are being met.

Among the surveyed households, 0.4 percent of children aged 10-14 had lost both parents (Table HA.6). Among them 67.7 percent were attending school at the time of the survey interview. Among the children aged 10-14 who had not lost either parent and who lived with at least one parent, 80.5 percent were attending school. This would suggest that double orphans are disadvantaged compared to the non-orphaned children in terms of education.

Table HA.4: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Knows HIV can be transmitted from mother to child | Percent who know that: |  |  |  | Did not know any specific way | No. of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | During pregnancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { delivery } \end{gathered}$ | Through breast milk | All three ways * |  |  |
| Division | Barisal |  | 60.1 | 58.0 | 50.7 | 57.3 | 49.0 | 3.2 | 4172 |
|  | Chittagong | 60.9 | 58.5 | 49.3 | 58.1 | 47.8 | 3.9 | 13372 |
|  | Dhaka | 67.6 | 64.9 | 53.3 | 64.0 | 51.3 | 5.1 | 22404 |
|  | Khulna | 71.3 | 69.0 | 61.3 | 69.1 | 59.7 | 3.6 | 8124 |
|  | Rajshahi | 50.3 | 47.6 | 40.4 | 47.4 | 38.8 | 4.0 | 17394 |
|  | Sylhet | 50.5 | 49.4 | 43.5 | 48.2 | 42.2 | 3.2 | 4393 |
| Area | Rural | 53.2 | 50.8 | 42.6 | 50.8 | 41.3 | 4.0 | 47449 |
|  | Urban | 78.5 | 75.8 | 65.1 | 74.2 | 62.6 | 4.6 | 21807 |
|  | Urban municipality | 75.6 | 72.7 | 62.3 | 71.8 | 60.0 | 4.2 | 15267 |
|  | City Corporations | 85.4 | 82.9 | 71.4 | 79.7 | 68.5 | 5.6 | 6540 |
|  | Non-slum | 86.5 | 83.9 | 72.3 | 80.6 | 69.3 | 5.7 | 6067 |
|  | Slum | 71.8 | 69.5 | 60.5 | 68.4 | 58.8 | 4.0 | 473 |
|  | Tribal | 32.6 | 31.4 | 26.4 | 30.9 | 25.8 | 2.7 | 604 |
| Age | 15-19 | 73.3 | 70.2 | 57.5 | 69.3 | 55.4 | 4.7 | 15284 |
|  | 20-24 | 69.2 | 66.4 | 56.0 | 65.8 | 54.2 | 4.5 | 12630 |
|  | 25-29 | 62.9 | 60.3 | 51.9 | 59.7 | 50.0 | 3.9 | 11151 |
|  | 30-34 | 56.2 | 54.1 | 46.7 | 53.7 | 45.3 | 3.9 | 9376 |
|  | 35-39 | 53.2 | 51.1 | 44.1 | 50.7 | 42.5 | 3.8 | 8853 |
|  | 40-44 | 47.5 | 45.8 | 39.1 | 45.2 | 37.8 | 3.7 | 6627 |
|  | 45-49 | 41.7 | 39.9 | 34.4 | 40.2 | 33.6 | 4.2 | 5939 |
| Education | None | 33.0 | 31.4 | 26.2 | 31.7 | 25.5 | 3.6 | 23812 |
|  | Primary incomplete | 51.8 | 49.7 | 41.7 | 49.5 | 40.4 | 4.3 | 9669 |
|  | Primary completed | 65.6 | 62.6 | 53.2 | 62.7 | 51.6 | 4.6 | 8286 |
|  | Secondary incomplete | 82.9 | 79.7 | 67.6 | 79.0 | 65.6 | 4.7 | 18917 |
|  | Secondary completed or higher | 95.0 | 91.9 | 78.4 | 88.5 | 74.6 | 3.9 | 8923 |
|  | Non-standard curriculum | 34.2 | 31.9 | 27.7 | 32.0 | 26.7 | 3.9 | 247 |
|  | Missing/DK | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | '(*)' | 6 |
| Wealth <br> index quintiles | Poorest | 31.7 | 30.2 | 25.1 | 30.4 | 24.5 | 3.1 | 12818 |
|  | Second | 43.5 | 41.5 | 34.3 | 41.3 | 33.2 | 3.8 | 13359 |
|  | Middle | 59.0 | 56.4 | 47.2 | 56.4 | 45.9 | 4.5 | 13821 |
|  | Fourth | 76.1 | 72.8 | 62.6 | 72.9 | 60.5 | 4.7 | 14241 |
|  | Richest | 87.7 | 84.9 | 72.5 | 82.4 | 69.6 | 4.6 | 15622 |
| National |  | 60.9 | 58.4 | 49.5 | 57.9 | 47.8 | 4.2 | 69860 |
| * MICS Indicator 89 <br> An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table HA.5: Children's living arrangements and orphan status
Percentage distribution of children aged 0-17 years, according to living arrangements, percentage of children aged 0-17 years in households not living with a biological parent, and percentage of children who are orphans, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Living with both parents | Not living with a biological parent * | Living with mother only | Living with father only | Impossible to determine | Total | One or both parents dead ** | No. of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 86.2 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 1.3 | . 4 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 64406 |
|  | Female | 81.2 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 1.0 | . 7 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 63104 |
| Division | Barisal | 84.6 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 1.3 | . 8 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 8290 |
|  | Chittagong | 78.7 | 4.2 | 15.6 | 1.0 | . 5 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 27267 |
|  | Dhaka | 84.1 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 1.2 | . 6 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 39653 |
|  | Khulna | 87.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 1.1 | . 4 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 13056 |
|  | Rajshahi | 86.9 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 1.1 | . 6 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 29669 |
|  | Sylhet | 81.7 | 4.1 | 11.9 | 2.0 | . 3 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 9575 |
| Area | Rural | 84.3 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 1.2 | . 5 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 91877 |
|  | Urban | 82.1 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 1.2 | . 6 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 34539 |
|  | Urban municipality | 83.0 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 1.2 | . 6 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 25062 |
|  | City corporation | 80.0 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 1.3 | . 8 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 9478 |
|  | Non-slum | 79.7 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 1.3 | . 9 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 8605 |
|  | Slum | 82.5 | 5.9 | 9.9 | 1.3 | . 4 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 873 |
|  | Tribal | 88.6 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 1.2 | . 4 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 1093 |
| Age | 0-4 years | 90.9 | 1.0 | 7.5 | . 3 | . 3 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 34908 |
|  | 5-9 years | 87.9 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 1.0 | . 1 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 36925 |
|  | 10-14 years | 82.9 | 5.3 | 9.9 | 1.8 | . 2 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 35216 |
|  | 15-17 years | 65.5 | 19.1 | 11.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 13.4 | 20460 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 87.9 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 1.3 | . 4 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 28986 |
|  | Second | 85.5 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 1.4 | . 6 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 27078 |
|  | Middle | 83.6 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 1.3 | . 7 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 25595 |
|  | Fourth | 81.1 | 5.4 | 11.7 | 1.1 | . 7 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 23921 |
|  | Richest | 79.0 | 8.9 | 10.6 | . 9 | . 6 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 21929 |
| National |  | 83.7 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 1.2 | . 6 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 127509 |

Table HA.6: School attendance of orphaned children
School attendance of children aged 10-14 years by orphan hood status, Bangladesh, 2006

| Background characteristics |  | Percent of children whose mother and father have died | School attendance rate of children whose mother and father have died | Number of children whose mother and father have died and who are attending school | School attendance rate of children whose mother or father have died | Number of children whose mother or father have died and who are attending school | Percent of children of whom both parents are alive and child is living with at least one parent | School attendance rate of children of whom both parents are alive and child is living with at least one parent | Number of children of whom both parents are alive and child is living with at least one parent and who are attending school | Double orphans to non orphans school attendance ratio* | Total number of children aged 10-14 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Male | 0.4 | (68.8) | 51 | 61.2 | 837 | 89.2 | 75.9 | 12162 | 0.91 | 17955 |
|  | Female | 0.4 | (66.6) | 43 | 66.1 | 912 | 86.9 | 85.5 | 12819 | 0.78 | 17261 |
| Division | Barisal | 0.5 | '(*)' | 9 | 66.0 | 109 | 89.4 | 81.5 | 1833 | 0.85 | 2514 |
|  | Chittagong | 0.3 | '(*)' | 14 | 67.2 | 458 | 87.5 | 81.8 | 5426 | 0.71 | 7586 |
|  | Dhaka | 0.3 | '(*)' | 21 | 63.1 | 505 | 87.8 | 79.4 | 7582 | 0.88 | 10872 |
|  | Khulna | 0.2 | '(*)' | 5 | 68.4 | 141 | 90.4 | 85.5 | 2784 | 0.94 | 3602 |
|  | Rajshahi | 0.5 | (71.4) | 29 | 61.0 | 335 | 89.0 | 80.5 | 5814 | 0.89 | 8108 |
|  | Sylhet | 1.0 | '(*)' | 17 | 58.4 | 201 | 83.3 | 73.0 | 1542 | 0.89 | 2535 |
| Area | Rural | 0.4 | (69.9) | 67 | 64.5 | 1221 | 89.4 | 80.1 | 18007 | 0.87 | 25125 |
|  | Urban | 0.4 | '(*)' | 25 | 61.6 | 513 | 84.6 | 81.7 | 6763 | 0.77 | 9783 |
|  | Urban municipality | 0.4 | '(*)' | 15 | 65.6 | 386 | 85.3 | 82.2 | 4950 | 0.68 | 7057 |
|  | City Corporation | 0.5 | '(*)' | 10 | 51.8 | 127 | 82.8 | 80.4 | 1813 | 0.98 | 2727 |
|  | Non-slum | 0.5 | '(*)' | 9 | 52.6 | 119 | 82.3 | 83.3 | 1699 | 0.99 | 2480 |
|  | Slum | 0.5 | '(*)' | 1 | 43.0 | 8 | 87.8 | 52.7 | 114 | 0.83 | 247 |
|  | Tribal | 1.0 | '(*)' | 2 | 69.8 | 15 | 88.9 | 77.2 | 211 | 0.76 | 307 |
| Wealth index quintiles | Poorest | 0.3 | '(*)' | 10 | 55.9 | 343 | 90.0 | 69.6 | 4753 | 0.72 | 7582 |
|  | Second | 0.4 | '(*)' | 20 | 58.4 | 365 | 89.5 | 76.6 | 5208 | 0.97 | 7596 |
|  | Middle | 0.5 | '(*)' | 22 | 68.7 | 401 | 89.0 | 81.0 | 5186 | 0.81 | 7193 |
|  | Fourth | 0.5 | (77.3) | 27 | 73.5 | 330 | 89.2 | 86.6 | 5091 | 0.89 | 6588 |
|  | Richest | 0.4 | '(*)' | 15 | 65.3 | 310 | 81.7 | 92.8 | 4743 | 0.69 | 6257 |
| Total |  | 0.4 | 67.7 | 94 | 63.7 | 1749 | 88.1 | 80.5 | 24981 | 0.84 | 35216 |
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## ANNEX A: SAMPLE DESIGN

The major features of sample design are described in this appendix. Sample design features include target sample size, sample allocation, sample frame and listing, choice of domains, sampling stages, stratification, and the calculation of sample weights.

The primary objective of the sample design for the Bangladesh Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was to produce statistically reliable estimates of most indicators, at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for the six divisions of the country, municipal areas, city corporation's slum areas of two big cities and tribal areas. Rural areas, municipal areas, city corporation areas, slum areas and tribal areas were defined as the sampling domain.

A multi-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used for the selection of the survey sample.

## Sample size and sample allocation

The target sample size for the Bangladesh MICS was calculated as 68247 households. For the calculation of the sample size, the key indicator used was the DPT immunization (3+doses) prevalence among children aged 12-23 months. The following formula was used to estimate the required sample size for these indicators:

$$
n=\frac{[4(r)(1-r)(f)(1.1)]}{\left[(0.12 r)^{2}(p)\left(n_{h}\right)\right]}
$$

where
$n \quad$ is the required sample size, expressed as number of households
4 is a factor to achieve the 95 per cent level of confidence
$r$ is the predicted or anticipated prevalence (coverage rate) of the indicator
1.1 is the factor necessary to raise the sample size by 10 per cent for non-response
$f \quad$ is the shortened symbol for deff (design effect)
$0.12 r$ is the margin of error to be tolerated at the 95 per cent level of confidence, defined as 12 per cent of $r$ (relative sampling error of $r$ )
$p \quad$ is the proportion of the total population upon which the indicator, $r$, is based
nh is the average household size.
For the calculation, $r$ (DPT immunization 3+doses prevalence) was assumed to be 39.7 percent in the Rangamati districts. The value of deff (design effect) was taken as 1.5 based on estimates from previous surveys, $p$ (percentage of children aged 12-23 months in the total population) was taken as 2.3 percent, and $n h$ (average household size) was taken as 4.9 households.

For the sub national level, the margin of error should be high which was also acknowledged in the MICS manual. Therefore, for sub national estimates the margin of error need to be relaxed considerably. If a rate of $30 \%$ of $r$ is used this would give a margin of error $\pm 0.06$ for prevalence rates of $0.20, \pm 0.12$ for prevalence rates of 0.40 , and so on. Considering this phenomenon, in case of Rangamati $30 \%$ of $r$ has been used.

The resulting number of households from this exercise was about 900 households which is the sample size needed in each district - thus yielding about 68250 in total. The average cluster size in the Bangladesh MICS was determined as 35 households, based on a number of considerations, including the budget available, and the time that would be needed per team to complete one cluster. Dividing the total number of households by the number of households per cluster, it was calculated that the selection of a total number of 26 clusters would be needed in each district.

Equal allocation of the total sample size to the 75 domains was targeted. Therefore, 26 clusters were allocated to each district with the final sample size calculated at 68250 households ( 1950 cluster X 35 households per cluster). In each stratum, the clusters (primary sampling units) were distributed to rural, municipal, city corporations, slum and tribal areas on PPS method. The distribution is shown in Table SD.1.

Table SD.1: Allocation of sample clusters (PSUs) to sampling domains

| Divisions | Households (2006 estimates) |  |  |  |  |  | Households (2006 estimates) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Rural | Municipal | City corporation | Slum | Tribal | Total | Rural | Municipal | City corporation | Slum | Tribal |
| Barisal | 1801760 | 1530194 | 212977 | 58589 |  |  | 182 | 120 | 36 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Chittagong | 5064450 | 3599056 | 736372 | 519086 | 55068 | 154868 | 364 | 220 | 66 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Dhaka | 9377142 | 5974964 | 1865375 | 1350313 | 152046 | 34444 | 520 | 340 | 102 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Khulna | 3410039 | 2700135 | 514931 | 194973 |  |  | 286 | 200 | 60 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Rajshahi | 7516545 | 6299046 | 1038454 | 91878 |  | 87167 | 468 | 320 | 96 | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| Sylhet | 1547025 | 1339048 | 135451 | 72526 |  |  | 130 | 80 | 24 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 28716961 | 21442443 | 4503560 | 2287365 | 207114 | 276479 | 1950 | 1280 | 384 | 156 | 52 | 78 |

## Sampling frame and selection of clusters

The 2001 census frame was used for the selection of clusters. Census enumeration areas were defined as primary sampling units (PSUs), and were selected from each of the sampling domains by using systematic pps (probability proportional to size) sampling procedures, based on the estimated sizes of the enumeration areas from the 2001 Population Census. The first stage of sampling was thus completed by selecting the required number of enumeration areas from each of the 5 strata namely rural, municipal, city corporations, slum and tribal areas.

## Listing activities

Since the sample frame of the 2001 population Census was not up to date, household lists in all selected enumeration areas were updated prior to the selection of households. For this purpose, listing teams were formed, who visited each enumeration area, and listed the occupied households. The BBS officials working in the upazila (sub-district) were responsible for the listing of all households in the respective PSUs.

## Selection of households

Lists of households were prepared by the upazila officials of BBS. The households were sequentially numbered from 1 to 100 (or more) households in each enumeration area, where selection of 35 households in each enumeration area was carried out using systematic selection procedures.

## Calculation of sample weights

The Bangladesh Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey sample is not self-weighted. Essentially, by allocating equal numbers of households to each of the domains (75), different sampling fractions were used in each stratum/district since the size of the stratum/district varied. For this reason, sample weights were calculated and these were used in the subsequent analyses of the survey data.

The major component of the weight is the reciprocal of the sampling fraction employed in selecting the number of sample households in that particular sampling domain:

$$
W_{h}=1 / f_{h}
$$

The term fh, the sampling fraction at the h-th stratum, is the product of probabilities of selection at every stage in each sampling domain:

$$
f_{h}=P_{1 h} * P_{2 h} * P_{3 h}
$$

where $P_{i h}$ is the probability of selection of the sampling unit in the $i$-th stage for the $h$-th sampling domain.
Since the estimated numbers of households per enumeration area prior to the first stage selection (selection of primary sampling units) and the updated number of households per enumeration area were different, individual sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) were calculated. The sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) therefore included the probability of selection of the enumeration area in that particular sampling domain and the probability of selection of a household in the sample enumeration area (cluster).

A second component which has to be taken into account in the calculation of sample weights is the level of non-response for the household and individual interviews. The adjustment for household non-response is equal to the inverse value of:

## $R R=$ Number of interviewed households / Number of occupied households listed

After the completion of fieldwork, response rates were calculated for each sampling domain. These were used to adjust the sample weights calculated for each cluster. Response rates in the Bangladesh Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey are shown in Table HH. 1 in this report.

Similarly, the adjustment for non-response at the individual level (women and under-5 children) is equal to the inverse value of:

$$
R R=\text { Completed women's (or under-5's) questionnaires / Eligible women (or under-5s) }
$$

Numbers of eligible women and under-5 children were obtained from the household listing in the Household Questionnaire in households where interviews were completed.

The unadjusted weights for the households were calculated by multiplying the above factors for each enumeration area. These weights were then standardized (or normalized), one purpose of which is to make the sum of the interviewed sample units equal the total sample size at the national level. Normalization is performed by multiplying the aforementioned unadjusted weights by the ratio of the number of completed households to the total unadjusted weighted number of households. A similar standardization procedure was followed in obtaining standardized weights for the women's and under-5's questionnaires. Adjusted (normalized) weights varied between 0.08246123 and 3.86677381 in the 1950 enumeration areas (clusters).

Table SD.2: Weight for households, women and under five children

| Domains |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hhweight | wmweightch | weight |  |
| Barisal City corporation | 0.14606381 | 0.13499979 | 0.13548449 |
| Chittagong City corporation | 1.34717999 | 1.32378227 | 1.31590564 |
| Dhaka City corporation | 3.72653499 | 3.86677381 | 3.82872500 |
| Khulna City corporation | 0.50893294 | 0.48158533 | 0.49214192 |
| Rajshahi City corporation | 0.19294892 | 0.18652500 | 0.18959551 |
| Sylhet City corporation | 0.23922696 | 0.23966790 | 0.23540858 |
| Chittagong slum | 0.14269952 | 0.13678468 | 0.13833890 |
| Dhaka slum | 0.41601905 | 0.45890848 | 0.42373755 |
| Chittagong tribal | 0.41605770 | 0.42692081 | 0.42324852 |
| Dhaka tribal | 0.08765132 | 0.08637878 | 0.08246123 |
| Rajshahi tribal | 0.22574216 | 0.23102891 | 0.21846255 |
| Bagerhat | 0.88920415 | 0.89489500 | 0.88803317 |
| Bandarban | 0.18122762 | 0.19363118 | 0.18804135 |
| Barguna | 0.64995734 | 0.63676165 | 0.64277370 |
| Barisal | 1.20060368 | 1.24631673 | 1.24032364 |
| Bhola | 0.96365048 | 0.98587957 | 0.98872114 |
| Bogra | 1.91181672 | 1.96872794 | 1.90816362 |
| Brahmanbaria | 1.21035691 | 1.23428011 | 1.25880689 |
| Chandpur | 1.22361283 | 1.27705675 | 1.23384961 |
| Chittagong | 2.17867012 | 2.16669202 | 2.19553353 |
| Chuadanga | 0.62476538 | 0.60055652 | 0.61372876 |
| Comilla | 2.32075987 | 2.26712588 | 2.32411522 |
| Cox's bazar | 0.82226899 | 0.81960128 | 0.80298094 |
| Dhaka | 1.67464263 | 1.68451589 | 1.74101555 |
| Dinajpur | 1.60282236 | 1.56151414 | 1.58355447 |
| Faridpur | 0.97236197 | 0.97649871 | 0.96580910 |
| Feni | 0.59050752 | 0.56778566 | 0.59004624 |
| Gaibandha | 1.39177301 | 1.33022325 | 1.33941761 |
| Gazipur | 1.37671072 | 1.37971125 | 1.37342187 |
| Gopalganj | 0.62195213 | 0.63169297 | 0.60566294 |
| Habiganj | 0.88735960 | 0.90211634 | 0.89343011 |
| Jamalpur | 1.40189285 | 1.45222908 | 1.38968521 |
| Jessore | 1.44624543 | 1.40105177 | 1.44163619 |
| Jhalokati | 0.40943967 | 0.40092793 | 0.41676901 |
| Jhenaidah | 0.94528538 | 0.92117781 | 0.91900768 |
| Joypurhat | 0.55632039 | 0.53821897 | 0.54549885 |
| Khagrachhari | 0.30517854 | 0.30617819 | 0.29448321 |
| Khulna | 0.87682353 | 0.85438631 |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Domains |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hhweight | wmweightch | weight |  |
| Kishorgonj | 1.48135672 | 1.48319082 | 1.45368299 |
| Kurigram | 1.12521635 | 1.14710840 | 1.15162408 |
| Kushtia | 1.05503621 | 1.08503181 | 1.08271290 |
| Lakshmipur | 0.85665921 | 0.88459310 | 0.89277175 |
| Lalmonirhat | 0.72020717 | 0.71101009 | 0.71867198 |
| Madaripur | 0.67603637 | 0.68727448 | 0.67937553 |
| Magura | 0.47005687 | 0.47470616 | 0.45860631 |
| Manikganj | 0.83184542 | 0.83685701 | 0.86481484 |
| Maulvibazar | 0.85436154 | 0.87763203 | 0.85395497 |
| Meherpur | 0.39631016 | 0.39007365 | 0.39569375 |
| Munshiganj | 0.72192434 | 0.67607044 | 0.69848271 |
| Mymensingh | 2.85021865 | 2.78224336 | 2.79889435 |
| Naogaon | 1.61592466 | 1.60024754 | 1.65333317 |
| Narail | 0.41933697 | 0.40643557 | 0.40177600 |
| Narayanganj | 1.40419826 | 1.41981681 | 1.37486955 |
| Narsingdi | 1.14553115 | 1.12397341 | 1.11398800 |
| Natore | 1.00851741 | 1.01211166 | 0.98143582 |
| Nawabganj | 0.80863499 | 0.80205040 | 0.82279546 |
| Netrakona | 1.19202321 | 1.16613119 | 1.18391095 |
| Nilphamari | 0.98188406 | 0.95278956 | 0.96558333 |
| Noakhali | 1.31860150 | 1.31229647 | 1.34277378 |
| Pabna | 1.36288904 | 1.38071896 | 1.39438484 |
| Panchagarh | 0.52621225 | 0.51571842 | 0.50882763 |
| Patuakhali | 0.83630700 | 0.88674954 | 0.83903120 |
| Pirojpur | 0.53061317 | 0.53907907 | 0.51867501 |
| Rajbari | 0.55626854 | 0.54961866 | 0.54567834 |
| Rajshahi | 1.26040792 | 1.22985163 | 1.21832787 |
| Rangamati | 0.30588833 | 0.29810356 | 0.30493770 |
| Rangpur | 1.69750600 | 1.64785977 | 1.62395670 |
| Satkhira | 1.17930296 | 1.19224624 | 1.17551992 |
| Shariatpur | 0.62924377 | 0.61921535 | 0.63005131 |
| Sherpur | 0.90083194 | 0.88535083 | 0.89745165 |
| Sirajganj | 1.73360978 | 1.74802832 | 1.70712683 |
| Sunamganj | 1.01281386 | 1.01281833 | 1.02350000 |
| Sylhet | 1.03832755 | 1.06472983 | 1.06241528 |
| Tangail | 2.16779644 | 2.13886787 | 2.14993377 |
| Thakurgaon | 0.74706099 | 0.68905929 | 0.71526834 |
|  |  |  |  |

Sample weights were appended to all data sets and analyses were performed by weighting each household, woman or under-5 with these sample weights.
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| Planning Division, Ministry of Planning |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mr. Jafar Ahmed Chowdhury | Secretary, Planning Division |
| Mr. Kabiruddin Ahmed | Joint Secretary, Statistical Wing |
| Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) |  |
| Mr. A Y M Ekramul Hoque | Director General |
| Mr. Abdur Rashid Sikder | Deputy Director General |
| Mr. Md. Shamsul Alam | Project Director, MSCW Project |
| Mr. A K M Abdus Salam | Ex-Project Director, MSCW Project |
| Ms. Tajkera Begum | Ex-Project Director, MSCW Project |
| Mr. Abdullah Harun Pasha | Ex-Project Director, MSCW Project (in charge) |
| Mr. Alamgir Hossain | Statistical Officer |
| Mr. Md. Golam Razzaque | Statistical Officer |
| Mr. Mizanur Rahman Khandaker | Statistical Officer |
| Ms. Delwara Begum | Assistant Statistical Officer |
| Mr. Mostafa Ashrafuzzaman | Assistant Statistical Officer |
| Regional Statistical Officers (RSO), BBS |  |
| Mr. Alauddin Al Azad | RSO, Dhaka |
| Mr. Md. Israil Hossain Sikder | RSO, Faridpur |
| Mr. Md. Abdul Qadir | RSO, Mymensingh |
| Mr. MD. Ashraful Alam Siddiqui | RSO, Jamalpur |
| Mr. Md. Dildar Hossain | RSO, Tangail |
| Mr. Md, Mohammad Hossain | RSO, Kishorganj |
| Mr. Md. Abdul Mazid Mia | RSO, Rajshahi |
| Ms. Maksuda Shilpi | RSO, Pabna |
| Mr. Md. Amirul Islam | RSO, Rangpur |
| Mr. Md. Nasiruddin Ahmed | RSO, Dinajpur |
| Mr. Md. Abdul Motin | RSO, Bogra |
| Mr. Md. Rafiqul Islam | RSO, Chittagong |
| Mr. Md. Fashihur Rahman | RSO, Rangamati |
| Mr. Tarun Tapan Chakma | RSO, Khagrachari |
| Mr. Fazlul Haque | RSO, Bandarban |
| Mr. Md. Eskander Ali | RSO, Comilla |
| Mr. A K M Abdur Razzaque | RSO, Noakhali |
| Mr. S. M. Kamrul Islam | RSO, Sylhet |
| Mr. Bidhan Baral | RSO, Khulna |
| Mr. Mir Hossain | RSO, Jessore |
| Mr. AFM Fazlul Hoque | RSO, Kushtia |
| Md. Nuruddin Ahmed | RSO, Barisal |
| Md. Masud Alam | RSO, Patuakhi |

## Field Superviser

| Ms. Khodeza Begum | Statistical Investigator |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mr. A.K.M. Shamsuzzaman | Statistical Investigator |
| Mr. Abid Mia | Statistical Investigator |
| Ms. Ferdous Mahal | Statistical Investigator |
| Ms. Jahan Afroz | Statistical Investigator |
| Ms. Nazneen Sultana Khan | Statistical Investigator |
| Mr. Abdul Hakim | Thana Statistician |
| Ms. Morsheda Begum | Statistical Assistant |
| Ms. Khohinoor Hossain | Statistical Assistant |
| Ms. Rokeya Begum | Statistical Assistant |
| Mr. A K M Faruk Ahmed Molla | Statistical Assistant |
| Ms. Setara Begum | Statistical Assistant |
| S. M. Anwar Husain | Statistical Assistant |
| Mr. Zahirul Hoque Sarker | Steno Typist |

## Data Processing \& Analysis

S. M. Anwar Husain

Statistical Assistant

## UNICEF

Ms. Misaki A Ueda
Mr. Nawshad Ahmed
Ms. Deqa Ibrahim Musa
Chief, Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Section
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## Mitra \& Associates

Mr. S. N. Mitra
Mr. Shahidul Isla
Mr. S. Fuad Pasha
Mr. Shishir Paul
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Mr. Monir hossain bhuiyan
Ms. Sayera banu
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Mr. Najim Uddin
Mr. Sankar Chandra Banik
Mr. Sanjoy Bhowmik
Mr. Salam Mih
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Ms. Minara Mahbub
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Assistant Project Coordinator (Administration)
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Research Officer
Research Officer
Quality Control Officer
Quality Control Officer
Quality Control Officer Quality Control Officer Quality Control Officer Quality Control Officer Quality Control Officer Quality Control Officer Quality Control Officer 32 persons 47 persons

Data Entry Operators
Table SE.01: Sampling: Total sample
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.843 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 4.654 | 2.157 | 62256 | 62276 | 0.836 | 0.849 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.976 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 13.545 | 3.680 | 301732 | 62463 | 0.971 | 0.980 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.392 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 8.528 | 2.920 | 301732 | 62463 | 0.381 | 0.403 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.813 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 3.863 | 1.965 | 37198 | 37417 | 0.805 | 0.821 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.388 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 4.563 | 2.136 | 50313 | 49804 | 0.379 | 0.398 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.467 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 1.514 | 1.230 | 5685 | 5776 | 0.450 | 0.483 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.128 | 0.002 | 0.017 | 3.109 | 1.763 | 72141 | 72286 | 0.124 | 0.133 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.058 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 3.506 | 1.873 | 127509 | 127250 | 0.056 | 0.061 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.201 | 0.006 | 0.030 | 2.676 | 1.636 | 11899 | 11942 | 0.189 | 0.213 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.477 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 2.111 | 1.453 | 11899 | 11942 | 0.463 | 0.490 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.699 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 3.282 | 1.812 | 27914 | 27753 | 0.689 | 0.709 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.740 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 3.933 | 1.983 | 54576 | 54840 | 0.732 | 0.747 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.122 | 0.003 | 0.022 | 4.606 | 2.146 | 69860 | 69860 | 0.116 | 0.127 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.478 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 3.476 | 1.864 | 69860 | 69860 | 0.471 | 0.485 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.9696 | 0.00308 | 0.003 | 1.952 | 1.397 | 6032 | 6079 | 0.963 | 0.976 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.9549 | 0.00304 | 0.003 | 1.309 | 1.144 | 6032 | 6079 | 0.949 | 0.961 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.8998 | 0.00541 | 0.006 | 1.976 | 1.406 | 6032 | 6079 | 0.889 | 0.911 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.8717 | 0.00591 | 0.007 | 1.896 | 1.377 | 6032 | 6079 | 0.860 | 0.884 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.8372 | 0.00659 | 0.008 | 1.935 | 1.391 | 6032 | 6079 | 0.824 | 0.850 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.0533 | 0.00153 | 0.029 | 1.466 | 1.211 | 31566 | 31566 | 0.050 | 0.056 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.215 | 0.00906 | 0.042 | 0.777 | 0.882 | 1683 | 1598 | 0.197 | 0.233 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.0714 | 0.00195 | 0.027 | 1.818 | 1.348 | 31566 | 31566 | 0.068 | 0.075 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.4889 | 0.00956 | 0.02 | 0.822 | 0.907 | 2254 | 2250 | 0.470 | 0.508 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.4748 | 0.00498 | 0.01 | 3.138 | 1.771 | 31566 | 31566 | 0.465 | 0.485 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.0985 | 0.00286 | 0.029 | 2.909 | 1.706 | 31566 | 31566 | 0.093 | 0.104 |

Table SE.02: Sampling errors: Rural areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.812 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 4.500 | 2.121 | 43614 | 41228 | 0.804 | 0.820 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.971 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 13.881 | 3.726 | 212285 | 41342 | 0.965 | 0.978 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.319 | 0.005 | 0.017 | 5.408 | 2.325 | 212285 | 41342 | 0.308 | 0.330 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.815 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 3.130 | 1.769 | 27010 | 25721 | 0.807 | 0.824 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.365 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 3.431 | 1.852 | 35443 | 33438 | 0.355 | 0.375 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.438 | 0.009 | 0.021 | 1.283 | 1.133 | 4002 | 3873 | 0.420 | 0.456 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.134 | 0.002 | 0.018 | 2.551 | 1.597 | 52010 | 49445 | 0.129 | 0.139 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.056 | 0.001 | 0.026 | 3.419 | 1.849 | 91877 | 87060 | 0.053 | 0.059 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.140 | 0.005 | 0.037 | 1.884 | 1.373 | 8757 | 8262 | 0.130 | 0.151 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.412 | 0.008 | 0.019 | 2.053 | 1.433 | 8757 | 8262 | 0.397 | 0.428 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.676 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 2.767 | 1.663 | 18986 | 17966 | 0.665 | 0.688 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.784 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 2.428 | 1.558 | 37030 | 35280 | 0.777 | 0.791 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.082 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 2.909 | 1.706 | 47449 | 45085 | 0.078 | 0.087 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.413 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 3.281 | 1.811 | 47449 | 45085 | 0.405 | 0.421 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.967 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 2.030 | 1.425 | 4403 | 4204 | 0.959 | 0.975 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.953 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.352 | 1.163 | 4403 | 4204 | 0.945 | 0.960 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.892 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 2.027 | 1.424 | 4403 | 4204 | 0.878 | 0.906 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.867 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 1.964 | 1.402 | 4403 | 4204 | 0.853 | 0.882 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.831 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 1.973 | 1.405 | 4403 | 4204 | 0.815 | 0.847 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.056 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 1.277 | 1.130 | 23034 | 21813 | 0.052 | 0.059 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.221 | 0.011 | 0.048 | 0.755 | 0.869 | 1286 | 1151 | 0.199 | 0.242 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.071 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 1.631 | 1.277 | 23034 | 21813 | 0.066 | 0.075 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.478 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 0.838 | 0.915 | 1630 | 1546 | 0.455 | 0.501 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.443 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 2.995 | 1.731 | 23034 | 21813 | 0.432 | 0.455 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.089 | 0.003 | 0.037 | 2.888 | 1.699 | 23034 | 21813 | 0.082 | 0.095 |

Table SE.03: Sampling errors: Urban areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.916 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 5.020 | 2.241 | 18056 | 18581 | 0.906 | 0.925 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.992 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 11.297 | 3.361 | 86762 | 18640 | 0.987 | 0.996 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.578 | 0.015 | 0.026 | 17.265 | 4.155 | 86762 | 18640 | 0.548 | 0.608 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.809 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 5.710 | 2.390 | 9851 | 10329 | 0.791 | 0.828 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.446 | 0.011 | 0.025 | 7.407 | 2.722 | 14456 | 14711 | 0.424 | 0.468 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.536 | 0.017 | 0.032 | 2.050 | 1.432 | 1643 | 1725 | 0.501 | 0.570 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.112 | 0.005 | 0.043 | 4.722 | 2.173 | 19479 | 20199 | 0.103 | 0.122 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.064 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 3.468 | 1.862 | 34539 | 35731 | 0.060 | 0.069 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.379 | 0.017 | 0.045 | 4.072 | 2.018 | 3040 | 3257 | 0.344 | 0.413 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.669 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 1.972 | 1.404 | 3040 | 3257 | 0.645 | 0.692 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.754 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 4.502 | 2.122 | 8703 | 8941 | 0.734 | 0.773 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.652 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 6.286 | 2.507 | 17062 | 17607 | 0.634 | 0.670 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.208 | 0.007 | 0.032 | 6.173 | 2.485 | 21807 | 22369 | 0.195 | 0.222 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.626 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 3.821 | 1.955 | 21807 | 22369 | 0.613 | 0.638 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.980 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.410 | 1.187 | 1583 | 1673 | 0.972 | 0.988 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.964 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.981 | 0.990 | 1583 | 1673 | 0.955 | 0.973 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.924 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 1.487 | 1.219 | 1583 | 1673 | 0.909 | 0.940 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.886 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 1.463 | 1.210 | 1583 | 1673 | 0.867 | 0.905 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.857 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 1.585 | 1.259 | 1583 | 1673 | 0.835 | 0.878 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.047 | 0.003 | 0.068 | 1.963 | 1.401 | 8280 | 8665 | 0.040 | 0.053 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.193 | 0.018 | 0.092 | 0.805 | 0.897 | 387 | 400 | 0.158 | 0.228 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.074 | 0.004 | 0.057 | 2.213 | 1.488 | 8280 | 8665 | 0.065 | 0.082 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.516 | 0.017 | 0.032 | 0.714 | 0.845 | 611 | 644 | 0.483 | 0.549 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.564 | 0.010 | 0.017 | 3.357 | 1.832 | 8280 | 8665 | 0.544 | 0.584 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.128 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 2.911 | 1.706 | 8280 | 8665 | 0.115 | 0.140 |

Table SE.04: Sampling errors: Urban municipalities
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.904 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 4.888 | 2.211 | 12888 | 12230 | 0.892 | 0.916 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.990 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 10.840 | 3.292 | 62086 | 12262 | 0.984 | 0.996 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.534 | 0.014 | 0.027 | 10.064 | 3.172 | 62086 | 12262 | 0.505 | 0.562 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.819 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 3.557 | 1.886 | 7257 | 6846 | 0.802 | 0.837 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.452 | 0.012 | 0.026 | 5.460 | 2.337 | 10284 | 9633 | 0.428 | 0.475 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.542 | 0.015 | 0.028 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 1233 | 1165 | 0.512 | 0.572 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.108 | 0.004 | 0.042 | 2.798 | 1.673 | 14164 | 13370 | 0.099 | 0.117 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.061 | 0.003 | 0.042 | 2.761 | 1.662 | 25062 | 23653 | 0.056 | 0.066 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.351 | 0.018 | 0.051 | 3.044 | 1.745 | 2230 | 2155 | 0.315 | 0.387 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.634 | 0.014 | 0.022 | 1.850 | 1.360 | 2230 | 2155 | 0.606 | 0.662 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.759 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 2.941 | 1.715 | 6093 | 5745 | 0.739 | 0.778 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.682 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 3.976 | 1.994 | 11962 | 11434 | 0.665 | 0.699 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.182 | 0.006 | 0.034 | 3.741 | 1.934 | 15267 | 14503 | 0.17 | 0.194 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.600 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 3.645 | 1.909 | 15267 | 14503 | 0.585 | 0.616 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.982 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.110 | 1.053 | 1191 | 1147 | 0.974 | 0.99 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.967 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.965 | 0.982 | 1191 | 1147 | 0.957 | 0.978 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.934 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 1.088 | 1.043 | 1191 | 1147 | 0.919 | 0.95 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.890 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 1.213 | 1.101 | 1191 | 1147 | 0.869 | 0.91 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.866 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 1.181 | 1.087 | 1191 | 1147 | 0.844 | 0.888 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.053 | 0.004 | 0.073 | 1.735 | 1.317 | 6061 | 5796 | 0.045 | 0.061 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.184 | 0.021 | 0.116 | 0.840 | 0.916 | 321 | 277 | 0.141 | 0.227 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.071 | 0.003 | 0.049 | 1.072 | 1.035 | 6061 | 5796 | 0.064 | 0.078 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.519 | 0.020 | 0.039 | 0.664 | 0.815 | 428 | 416 | 0.479 | 0.559 |
| Support for leaming | CD. 1 | 0.536 | 0.010 | 0.019 | 2.442 | 1.563 | 6061 | 5796 | 0.515 | 0.556 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.124 | 0.006 | 0.052 | 2.215 | 1.488 | 6061 | 5796 | 0.111 | 0.136 |

Table SE.05: Sampling errors: City corporation
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.946 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 4.362 | 2.088 | 4750 | 4831 | 0.933 | 0.960 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.997 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 6.922 | 2.631 | 22763 | 4851 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.729 | 0.042 | 0.058 | 43.840 | 6.621 | 22763 | 4851 | 0.644 | 0.813 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.812 | 0.028 | 0.034 | 12.558 | 3.544 | 2317 | 2474 | 0.757 | 0.868 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.460 | 0.028 | 0.060 | 11.842 | 3.441 | 3838 | 3847 | 0.404 | 0.515 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.538 | 0.058 | 0.108 | 5.551 | 2.356 | 367 | 410 | 0.421 | 0.654 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.117 | 0.014 | 0.121 | 9.676 | 3.111 | 4811 | 4993 | 0.089 | 0.146 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 5 | 0.075 | 0.006 | 0.081 | 4.699 | 2.168 | 8605 | 8868 | 0.063 | 0.087 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.492 | 0.046 | 0.093 | 6.756 | 2.599 | 729 | 809 | 0.400 | 0.583 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.791 | 0.023 | 0.029 | 2.555 | 1.598 | 729 | 809 | 0.745 | 0.836 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.770 | 0.025 | 0.032 | 8.531 | 2.921 | 2423 | 2499 | 0.721 | 0.819 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.565 | 0.023 | 0.041 | 10.353 | 3.218 | 4724 | 4840 | 0.519 | 0.611 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.282 | 0.018 | 0.064 | 9.813 | 3.133 | 6067 | 6157 | 0.246 | 0.318 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.693 | 0.011 | 0.016 | 3.752 | 1.937 | 6067 | 6157 | 0.670 | 0.715 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.977 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 2.272 | 1.507 | 359 | 397 | 0.954 | 0.999 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.959 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.966 | 0.983 | 359 | 397 | 0.939 | 0.978 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.904 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 2.464 | 1.570 | 359 | 397 | 0.857 | 0.950 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.891 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 2.241 | 1.497 | 359 | 397 | 0.844 | 0.938 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.842 | 0.030 | 0.036 | 2.747 | 1.657 | 359 | 397 | 0.781 | 0.902 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.029 | 0.006 | 0.192 | 2.292 | 1.514 | 2009 | 2083 | 0.018 | 0.040 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.254 | 0.014 | 0.055 | 0.088 | 0.296 | 59 | 87 | 0.226 | 0.281 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.079 | 0.014 | 0.172 | 5.316 | 2.306 | 2009 | 2083 | 0.052 | 0.107 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.505 | 0.033 | 0.066 | 0.629 | 0.793 | 159 | 144 | 0.439 | 0.571 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.660 | 0.026 | 0.039 | 6.136 | 2.477 | 2009 | 2083 | 0.608 | 0.711 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.149 | 0.016 | 0.110 | 4.438 | 2.107 | 2009 | 2083 | 0.116 | 0.182 |

Table SE.06: Sampling errors: Urban Slum
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.909 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 1.964 | 1.401 | 418 | 1520 | 0.889 | 0.930 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.998 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 2.610 | 1.616 | 1913 | 1527 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.201 | 0.043 | 0.215 | 17.739 | 4.212 | 1913 | 1527 | 0.115 | 0.288 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.523 | 0.034 | 0.066 | 4.765 | 2.183 | 278 | 1009 | 0.454 | 0.592 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.115 | 0.022 | 0.188 | 5.644 | 2.376 | 334 | 1231 | 0.072 | 0.158 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.325 | 0.036 | 0.111 | 0.889 | 0.943 | 42 | 150 | 0.253 | 0.397 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.191 | 0.022 | 0.114 | 5.660 | 2.379 | 505 | 1836 | 0.147 | 0.234 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.066 | 0.005 | 0.076 | 1.290 | 1.136 | 873 | 3210 | 0.056 | 0.076 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.115 | 0.026 | 0.228 | 1.979 | 1.407 | 81 | 293 | 0.062 | 0.167 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.526 | 0.038 | 0.073 | 1.729 | 1.315 | 81 | 293 | 0.449 | 0.603 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.382 | 0.036 | 0.095 | 3.842 | 1.960 | 187 | 697 | 0.310 | 0.454 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.786 | 0.019 | 0.025 | 2.952 | 1.718 | 376 | 1333 | 0.747 | 0.824 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.116 | 0.015 | 0.133 | 3.976 | 1.994 | 473 | 1709 | 0.085 | 0.147 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.588 | 0.025 | 0.042 | 4.285 | 2.070 | 473 | 1709 | 0.539 | 0.637 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.924 | 0.031 | 0.034 | 1.742 | 1.320 | 33 | 129 | 0.862 | 0.986 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.919 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.733 | 0.856 | 33 | 129 | 0.878 | 0.961 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.787 | 0.055 | 0.070 | 2.342 | 1.530 | 33 | 129 | 0.677 | 0.898 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.710 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 33 | 129 | 0.620 | 0.801 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.689 | 0.049 | 0.071 | 1.440 | 1.200 | 33 | 129 | 0.591 | 0.787 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.039 | 0.006 | 0.152 | 0.724 | 0.851 | 210 | 786 | 0.027 | 0.050 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.102 | 0.042 | 0.415 | 0.688 | 0.829 | 8 | 36 | 0.017 | 0.187 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.112 | 0.014 | 0.121 | 1.449 | 1.204 | 210 | 786 | 0.085 | 0.139 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.541 | 0.065 | 0.120 | 1.407 | 1.186 | 24 | 84 | 0.411 | 0.671 |
| Support for leaming | CD. 1 | 0.468 | 0.028 | 0.060 | 2.506 | 1.583 | 210 | 786 | 0.412 | 0.525 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.045 | 0.009 | 0.210 | 1.629 | 1.276 | 210 | 786 | 0.026 | 0.064 |

Table SE.07: Sampling errors: Tribal
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.876 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 4.867 | 2.206 | 586 | 2467 | 0.846 | 0.905 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.785 | 0.041 | 0.052 | 24.731 | 4.973 | 2685 | 2481 | 0.703 | 0.867 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.172 | 0.026 | 0.150 | 11.651 | 3.413 | 2685 | 2481 | 0.120 | 0.224 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.679 | 0.029 | 0.042 | 5.091 | 2.256 | 337 | 1367 | 0.622 | 0.736 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.376 | 0.029 | 0.077 | 5.848 | 2.418 | 414 | 1655 | 0.319 | 0.434 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.495 | 0.041 | 0.083 | 1.205 | 1.098 | 41 | 178 | 0.413 | 0.578 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.176 | 0.012 | 0.068 | 2.576 | 1.605 | 652 | 2642 | 0.152 | 0.200 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 0.079 | 1.566 | 1.251 | 1093 | 4459 | 0.045 | 0.062 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.082 | 0.019 | 0.227 | 1.946 | 1.395 | 101 | 423 | 0.045 | 0.119 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.283 | 0.039 | 0.139 | 3.208 | 1.791 | 101 | 423 | 0.205 | 0.362 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.547 | 0.031 | 0.056 | 3.252 | 1.803 | 225 | 846 | 0.485 | 0.609 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.438 | 0.018 | 0.040 | 2.435 | 1.561 | 484 | 1953 | 0.403 | 0.473 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.083 | 0.011 | 0.133 | 3.887 | 1.971 | 604 | 2406 | 0.061 | 0.106 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.258 | 0.023 | 0.090 | 6.825 | 2.613 | 604 | 2406 | 0.211 | 0.305 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.888 | 0.025 | 0.028 | 1.266 | 1.125 | 46 | 202 | 0.838 | 0.938 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.864 | 0.037 | 0.042 | 2.289 | 1.513 | 46 | 202 | 0.790 | 0.937 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.806 | 0.041 | 0.051 | 2.152 | 1.467 | 46 | 202 | 0.725 | 0.888 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.787 | 0.038 | 0.048 | 1.729 | 1.315 | 46 | 202 | 0.711 | 0.863 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.762 | 0.039 | 0.051 | 1.649 | 1.284 | 46 | 202 | 0.685 | 0.839 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.040 | 0.006 | 0.161 | 1.173 | 1.083 | 253 | 1088 | 0.027 | 0.053 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.364 | 0.061 | 0.168 | 0.746 | 0.864 | 10 | 47 | 0.241 | 0.486 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.133 | 1.029 | 1.014 | 253 | 1088 | 0.037 | 0.064 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.581 | 0.056 | 0.096 | 0.755 | 0.869 | 13 | 60 | 0.469 | 0.693 |
| Support for learming | CD. 1 | 0.427 | 0.032 | 0.074 | 4.481 | 2.117 | 253 | 1088 | 0.363 | 0.491 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.050 | 0.010 | 0.206 | 2.428 | 1.558 | 253 | 1088 | 0.029 | 0.070 |

Table SE.08: Sampling errors: Barisal Division
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.903 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 3.156 | 1.776 | 3901 | 5810 | 0.889 | 0.917 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.969 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 9.724 | 3.118 | 19099 | 5825 | 0.954 | 0.983 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.484 | 0.017 | 0.035 | 6.745 | 2.597 | 19099 | 5825 | 0.450 | 0.518 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.841 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 3.679 | 1.918 | 2563 | 3599 | 0.818 | 0.864 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.423 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 3.831 | 1.957 | 3239 | 4661 | 0.394 | 0.451 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.521 | 0.030 | 0.057 | 2.114 | 1.454 | 424 | 607 | 0.462 | 0.580 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.100 | 0.005 | 0.050 | 1.923 | 1.387 | 4946 | 6992 | 0.090 | 0.110 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.053 | 0.003 | 0.057 | 2.119 | 1.456 | 8290 | 11809 | 0.047 | 0.059 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.139 | 0.013 | 0.095 | 1.522 | 1.234 | 738 | 1043 | 0.112 | 0.165 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.418 | 0.020 | 0.048 | 1.723 | 1.313 | 738 | 1043 | 0.378 | 0.458 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.723 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 1.816 | 1.348 | 1609 | 2393 | 0.698 | 0.748 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.795 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 3.934 | 1.983 | 3305 | 5008 | 0.773 | 0.818 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.085 | 0.008 | 0.094 | 5.216 | 2.284 | 4172 | 6280 | 0.069 | 0.101 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.490 | 0.013 | 0.027 | 4.390 | 2.095 | 4172 | 6280 | 0.463 | 0.516 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.980 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 1.279 | 1.131 | 376 | 533 | 0.966 | 0.994 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.943 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 1.118 | 1.058 | 376 | 533 | 0.922 | 0.965 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.895 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 1.310 | 1.144 | 376 | 533 | 0.864 | 0.925 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.904 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 1.097 | 1.047 | 376 | 533 | 0.877 | 0.930 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.834 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 1.028 | 1.014 | 376 | 533 | 0.801 | 0.867 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.064 | 0.006 | 0.088 | 1.436 | 1.198 | 1873 | 2672 | 0.053 | 0.076 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.131 | 0.020 | 0.156 | 0.566 | 0.752 | 121 | 155 | 0.090 | 0.172 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.089 | 0.007 | 0.081 | 1.709 | 1.307 | 1873 | 2672 | 0.075 | 0.104 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.576 | 0.030 | 0.052 | 0.815 | 0.903 | 167 | 221 | 0.516 | 0.636 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.422 | 0.017 | 0.041 | 3.327 | 1.824 | 1873 | 2672 | 0.387 | 0.457 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.164 | 0.015 | 0.091 | 4.324 | 2.079 | 1873 | 2672 | 0.135 | 0.194 |

Table SE.09: Sampling errors: Chittagong Division
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.777 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 6.122 | 2.474 | 10987 | 11419 | 0.758 | 0.797 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.972 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 5.883 | 2.425 | 59424 | 11464 | 0.965 | 0.980 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.414 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 9.135 | 3.022 | 59424 | 11464 | 0.386 | 0.442 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.832 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 3.776 | 1.943 | 7826 | 8021 | 0.816 | 0.848 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.380 | 0.012 | 0.031 | 6.227 | 2.495 | 10866 | 10639 | 0.356 | 0.403 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.444 | 0.018 | 0.041 | 1.454 | 1.206 | 1106 | 1109 | 0.408 | 0.480 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.088 | 0.003 | 0.039 | 2.267 | 1.506 | 15359 | 15584 | 0.081 | 0.095 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.067 | 0.003 | 0.045 | 4.051 | 2.013 | 27267 | 27421 | 0.061 | 0.073 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.184 | 0.014 | 0.075 | 3.282 | 1.812 | 2554 | 2570 | 0.156 | 0.212 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.494 | 0.018 | 0.036 | 3.228 | 1.797 | 2554 | 2570 | 0.458 | 0.529 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.740 | 0.012 | 0.016 | 4.202 | 2.050 | 5630 | 5587 | 0.716 | 0.764 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.665 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 3.545 | 1.883 | 10141 | 10374 | 0.648 | 0.683 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.116 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 4.061 | 2.015 | 13372 | 13509 | 0.105 | 0.127 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.478 | 0.008 | 0.018 | 3.826 | 1.956 | 13372 | 13509 | 0.462 | 0.495 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.963 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 1.417 | 1.191 | 1282 | 1298 | 0.950 | 0.975 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.942 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 1.506 | 1.227 | 1282 | 1298 | 0.926 | 0.958 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.909 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 1.861 | 1.364 | 1282 | 1298 | 0.888 | 0.931 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.857 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 1.921 | 1.386 | 1282 | 1298 | 0.830 | 0.884 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.834 | 0.015 | 0.018 | 2.019 | 1.421 | 1282 | 1298 | 0.804 | 0.863 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.063 | 1.348 | 1.161 | 6797 | 6798 | 0.042 | 0.054 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.220 | 0.023 | 0.103 | 0.884 | 0.940 | 328 | 295 | 0.175 | 0.266 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.063 | 2.238 | 1.496 | 6797 | 6798 | 0.066 | 0.085 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.481 | 0.021 | 0.044 | 0.965 | 0.983 | 515 | 541 | 0.439 | 0.523 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.472 | 0.013 | 0.027 | 4.370 | 2.091 | 6797 | 6798 | 0.447 | 0.498 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.063 | 0.004 | 0.070 | 2.243 | 1.498 | 6797 | 6798 | 0.054 | 0.071 |

Table SE.10: Sampling errors: Dhaka Division
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.840 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 4.584 | 2.141 | 20128 | 16389 | 0.828 | 0.852 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.996 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 2.216 | 1.489 | 95557 | 16445 | 0.995 | 0.998 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.375 | 0.012 | 0.033 | 10.530 | 3.245 | 95557 | 16445 | 0.350 | 0.399 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.783 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 4.381 | 2.093 | 11632 | 9791 | 0.766 | 0.800 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.376 | 0.009 | 0.025 | 4.841 | 2.200 | 15464 | 12632 | 0.357 | 0.395 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.443 | 0.016 | 0.037 | 1.698 | 1.303 | 1776 | 1540 | 0.410 | 0.476 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.139 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 3.812 | 1.952 | 22494 | 18732 | 0.129 | 0.149 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.055 | 0.002 | 0.041 | 3.260 | 1.806 | 39653 | 32791 | 0.051 | 0.060 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.225 | 0.013 | 0.057 | 2.935 | 1.713 | 3697 | 3091 | 0.199 | 0.250 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.487 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 1.671 | 1.293 | 3697 | 3091 | 0.464 | 0.510 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.685 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 3.065 | 1.751 | 8765 | 6995 | 0.666 | 0.705 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.721 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 4.569 | 2.138 | 17652 | 14212 | 0.705 | 0.737 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.159 | 0.006 | 0.041 | 5.633 | 2.373 | 22404 | 17955 | 0.146 | 0.172 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.513 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 2.801 | 1.674 | 22404 | 17955 | 0.500 | 0.525 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.973 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.281 | 1.132 | 1868 | 1583 | 0.964 | 0.982 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.961 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 1868 | 1583 | 0.951 | 0.970 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.890 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 2.002 | 1.415 | 1868 | 1583 | 0.868 | 0.912 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.852 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 1.702 | 1.304 | 1868 | 1583 | 0.829 | 0.876 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.818 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 1.890 | 1.375 | 1868 | 1583 | 0.792 | 0.845 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.044 | 0.003 | 0.061 | 1.441 | 1.200 | 9942 | 8278 | 0.039 | 0.050 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.252 | 0.018 | 0.073 | 0.593 | 0.770 | 441 | 334 | 0.215 | 0.289 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.071 | 0.004 | 0.054 | 1.836 | 1.355 | 9942 | 8278 | 0.063 | 0.078 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.526 | 0.018 | 0.034 | 0.749 | 0.865 | 704 | 596 | 0.491 | 0.562 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.485 | 0.009 | 0.019 | 2.852 | 1.689 | 9942 | 8278 | 0.467 | 0.504 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.065 | 0.005 | 0.077 | 3.418 | 1.849 | 9942 | 8278 | 0.055 | 0.075 |

Table SE.11: Sampling errors: Khulna Division
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.936 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 1.837 | 1.355 | 7445 | 9295 | 0.929 | 0.943 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.917 | 0.014 | 0.016 | 25.626 | 5.062 | 33854 | 9318 | 0.888 | 0.946 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.403 | 0.010 | 0.026 | 4.140 | 2.035 | 33854 | 9318 | 0.383 | 0.424 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.870 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 1.474 | 1.214 | 3827 | 4826 | 0.858 | 0.882 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.465 | 0.009 | 0.020 | 2.191 | 1.480 | 5226 | 6486 | 0.446 | 0.483 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.533 | 0.019 | 0.035 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 616 | 784 | 0.496 | 0.570 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.121 | 0.004 | 0.033 | 1.428 | 1.195 | 7365 | 9263 | 0.113 | 0.129 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 5 | 0.042 | 0.002 | 0.049 | 1.723 | 1.313 | 13056 | 16379 | 0.038 | 0.046 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.273 | 0.014 | 0.050 | 1.371 | 1.171 | 1145 | 1474 | 0.245 | 0.300 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.525 | 0.018 | 0.034 | 1.836 | 1.355 | 1145 | 1474 | 0.490 | 0.560 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.743 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 2.087 | 1.445 | 3095 | 3895 | 0.723 | 0.764 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.805 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 2.196 | 1.482 | 6501 | 8269 | 0.792 | 0.818 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.149 | 0.005 | 0.032 | 1.847 | 1.359 | 8124 | 10288 | 0.140 | 0.159 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.597 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 2.284 | 1.511 | 8124 | 10288 | 0.583 | 0.612 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.989 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.682 | 0.826 | 609 | 776 | 0.983 | 0.996 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.986 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.334 | 1.155 | 609 | 776 | 0.976 | 0.995 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.959 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.811 | 0.901 | 609 | 776 | 0.946 | 0.972 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.926 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 1.025 | 1.012 | 609 | 776 | 0.907 | 0.945 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.906 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.860 | 0.928 | 609 | 776 | 0.887 | 0.926 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.044 | 0.004 | 0.089 | 1.471 | 1.213 | 3148 | 4041 | 0.036 | 0.052 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.244 | 0.023 | 0.092 | 0.460 | 0.678 | 139 | 168 | 0.199 | 0.289 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.044 | 0.004 | 0.092 | 1.588 | 1.260 | 3148 | 4041 | 0.036 | 0.052 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.481 | 0.026 | 0.054 | 0.474 | 0.689 | 139 | 178 | 0.430 | 0.533 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.559 | 0.010 | 0.018 | 1.708 | 1.307 | 3148 | 4041 | 0.539 | 0.580 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.111 | 0.009 | 0.077 | 3.003 | 1.733 | 3148 | 4041 | 0.093 | 0.128 |

Table SE.12: Sampling errors: Rajshahi Division
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.817 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 3.867 | 1.966 | 16379 | 15172 | 0.805 | 0.829 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.991 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 28.547 | 5.343 | 73400 | 15212 | 0.983 | 0.999 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.380 | 0.010 | 0.026 | 6.538 | 2.557 | 73400 | 15212 | 0.360 | 0.401 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.799 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 2.977 | 1.725 | 8534 | 7850 | 0.784 | 0.815 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.394 | 0.008 | 0.021 | 3.294 | 1.815 | 12037 | 11228 | 0.378 | 0.411 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.476 | 0.015 | 0.031 | 1.102 | 1.050 | 1342 | 1236 | 0.446 | 0.506 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.166 | 0.005 | 0.031 | 2.890 | 1.700 | 16625 | 15388 | 0.156 | 0.176 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.186 | 0.011 | 0.060 | 2.107 | 1.451 | 2740 | 2577 | 0.164 | 0.209 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.458 | 0.013 | 0.029 | 1.779 | 1.334 | 2740 | 2577 | 0.432 | 0.485 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.679 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 2.955 | 1.719 | 6891 | 6574 | 0.659 | 0.698 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.814 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 3.051 | 1.747 | 13665 | 12954 | 0.802 | 0.826 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.090 | 0.004 | 0.041 | 2.736 | 1.654 | 17394 | 16514 | 0.082 | 0.097 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.388 | 0.008 | 0.019 | 3.944 | 1.986 | 17394 | 16514 | 0.373 | 0.403 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.978 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 4.255 | 2.063 | 1386 | 1294 | 0.962 | 0.995 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.964 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.445 | 1.202 | 1386 | 1294 | 0.951 | 0.976 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.905 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 2.423 | 1.557 | 1386 | 1294 | 0.879 | 0.930 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.906 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 2.557 | 1.599 | 1386 | 1294 | 0.880 | 0.932 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.859 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 2.319 | 1.523 | 1386 | 1294 | 0.830 | 0.889 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.069 | 0.004 | 0.052 | 1.381 | 1.175 | 7284 | 6832 | 0.062 | 0.077 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.200 | 0.017 | 0.085 | 0.836 | 0.914 | 506 | 463 | 0.166 | 0.234 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.074 | 0.004 | 0.050 | 1.351 | 1.162 | 7284 | 6832 | 0.067 | 0.082 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.426 | 0.019 | 0.046 | 0.763 | 0.873 | 540 | 496 | 0.388 | 0.465 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.465 | 0.010 | 0.022 | 2.790 | 1.670 | 7284 | 6832 | 0.444 | 0.485 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.120 | 0.006 | 0.051 | 2.454 | 1.567 | 7284 | 6832 | 0.107 | 0.132 |

Table SE.13: Sampling errors: Sylhet Division
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | NU. 4 | 0.921 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 2.451 | 1.566 | 3415 | 4191 | 0.908 | 0.934 |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.935 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 11.876 | 3.446 | 20398 | 4199 | 0.909 | 0.961 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.344 | 0.018 | 0.053 | 6.142 | 2.478 | 20398 | 4199 | 0.308 | 0.380 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.817 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 3.753 | 1.937 | 2815 | 3330 | 0.791 | 0.843 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.301 | 0.014 | 0.048 | 4.049 | 2.012 | 3480 | 4158 | 0.272 | 0.329 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 5 | 0.443 | 0.030 | 0.068 | 1.812 | 1.346 | 420 | 500 | 0.383 | 0.503 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.116 | 0.007 | 0.057 | 2.662 | 1.631 | 5353 | 6327 | 0.103 | 0.129 |
| Prevalence of orphans WOMEN | HA. 5 | 0.094 | 0.007 | 0.072 | 6.195 | 2.489 | 9575 | 11317 | 0.081 | 0.108 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 3 | 0.160 | 0.016 | 0.099 | 2.189 | 1.480 | 1024 | 1187 | 0.128 | 0.191 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 1 | 0.433 | 0.020 | 0.047 | 1.977 | 1.406 | 1024 | 1187 | 0.393 | 0.474 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.627 | 0.020 | 0.031 | 3.824 | 1.956 | 1924 | 2309 | 0.588 | 0.667 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.576 | 0.015 | 0.026 | 3.753 | 1.937 | 3311 | 4023 | 0.546 | 0.606 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.061 | 0.005 | 0.076 | 2.028 | 1.424 | 4393 | 5314 | 0.052 | 0.071 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV UNDER-5s | HA. 4 | 0.422 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 5.098 | 2.258 | 4393 | 5314 | 0.392 | 0.453 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.919 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 1.822 | 1.350 | 510 | 595 | 0.888 | 0.949 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.915 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 1.331 | 1.154 | 510 | 595 | 0.888 | 0.941 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.833 | 0.017 | 0.021 | 1.245 | 1.116 | 510 | 595 | 0.798 | 0.867 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.798 | 0.020 | 0.025 | 1.526 | 1.235 | 510 | 595 | 0.758 | 0.839 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.775 | 0.021 | 0.026 | 1.433 | 1.197 | 510 | 595 | 0.734 | 0.816 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.059 | 0.006 | 0.104 | 1.983 | 1.408 | 2521 | 2945 | 0.047 | 0.071 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0.185 | 0.028 | 0.152 | 0.950 | 0.975 | 149 | 183 | 0.129 | 0.241 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.075 | 0.006 | 0.078 | 1.463 | 1.210 | 2521 | 2945 | 0.063 | 0.086 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.478 | 0.032 | 0.068 | 0.915 | 0.957 | 188 | 218 | 0.413 | 0.543 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.403 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 2.361 | 1.537 | 2521 | 2945 | 0.376 | 0.431 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.202 | 0.013 | 0.065 | 3.137 | 1.771 | 2521 | 2945 | 0.175 | 0.228 |

ANNEX D: DATA QUALITY TABLES

Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population
Single-year distribution of household population by sex (weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

| Age | Male |  | Female |  | Age | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 3407 | 2.2 | 3221 | 2.2 | 42 | 1685 | 1.1 | 1519 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 3431 | 2.3 | 3183 | 2.1 | 43 | 527 | . 3 | 1254 | . 8 |
| 2 | 3635 | 2.4 | 3426 | 2.3 | 44 | 406 | . 3 | 1001 | . 7 |
| 3 | 3715 | 2.4 | 3746 | 2.5 | 45 | 5122 | 3.4 | 1860 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 3737 | 2.5 | 3409 | 2.3 | 46 | 551 | . 4 | 956 | . 6 |
| 5 | 3548 | 2.3 | 3410 | 2.3 | 47 | 607 | . 4 | 1253 | . 8 |
| 6 | 3682 | 2.4 | 3457 | 2.3 | 48 | 1137 | . 7 | 1371 | . 9 |
| 7 | 4096 | 2.7 | 4180 | 2.8 | 49 | 271 | . 2 | 1112 | . 7 |
| 8 | 4041 | 2.7 | 3972 | 2.7 | 50 | 4017 | 2.6 | 748 | . 5 |
| 9 | 3330 | 2.2 | 3209 | 2.1 | 51 | 267 | . 2 | 1003 | . 7 |
| 10 | 4641 | 3.0 | 4044 | 2.7 | 52 | 930 | . 6 | 1321 | . 9 |
| 11 | 2930 | 1.9 | 2755 | 1.8 | 53 | 290 | . 2 | 922 | . 6 |
| 12 | 4301 | 2.8 | 3886 | 2.6 | 54 | 233 | . 2 | 746 | . 5 |
| 13 | 2992 | 2.0 | 3488 | 2.3 | 55 | 2937 | 1.9 | 1943 | 1.3 |
| 14 | 3091 | 2.0 | 3089 | 2.1 | 56 | 380 | . 2 | 636 | . 4 |
| 15 | 3657 | 2.4 | 3644 | 2.4 | 57 | 288 | . 2 | 523 | . 3 |
| 16 | 3343 | 2.2 | 3719 | 2.5 | 58 | 468 | . 3 | 517 | . 3 |
| 17 | 2830 | 1.9 | 3267 | 2.2 | 59 | 144 | . 1 | 348 | . 2 |
| 18 | 4621 | 3.0 | 4386 | 2.9 | 60 | 3349 | 2.2 | 2713 | 1.8 |
| 19 | 1987 | 1.3 | 2932 | 2.0 | 61 | 97 | 1 | 240 |  |
| 20 | 3979 | 2.6 | 3761 | 2.5 | 1 | 436 |  | 240 |  |
| 21 | 1589 | 1.0 | 2556 | 1.7 | 62 | 436 | . 3 | 426 | . 3 |
| 22 | 3223 | 2.1 | 3069 | 2.1 | 63 | 109 | . 1 | 176 | . 1 |
| 23 | 1547 | 1.0 | 2659 | 1.8 | 64 | 101 | . 1 | 129 | . 1 |
| 24 | 1694 | 1.1 | 2661 | 1.8 | 65 | 2106 | 1.4 | 1522 | 1.0 |
| 25 | 4403 | 2.9 | 3236 | 2.2 | 66 | 123 | . 1 | 101 | . 1 |
| 26 | 2031 | 1.3 | 2492 | 1.7 | 67 | 102 | . 1 | 110 | . 1 |
| 27 | 1531 | 1.0 | 2240 | 1.5 | 68 | 200 | . 1 | 142 | . 1 |
| 28 | 2408 | 1.6 | 2483 | 1.7 | 69 | 65 | . 0 | 62 | . 0 |
| 29 | 684 | . 4 | 1959 | 1.3 | 70 | 2320 | 1.5 | 1579 | 1.1 |
| 30 | 5102 | 3.3 | 2694 | 1.8 | 71 | 47 | . 0 | 33 | . 0 |
| 31 | 522 | . 3 | 1792 | 1.2 | 72 | 209 | . 1 | 116 | . 1 |
| 32 | 1949 | 1.3 | 1980 | 1.3 | 73 | 37 | . 0 | 38 | . 0 |
| 33 | 981 | . 6 | 1729 | 1.2 | 74 | 48 | . 0 | 26 | . 0 |
| 34 | 932 | . 6 | 1922 | 1.3 | 75 | 949 | . 6 | 565 | . 4 |
| 35 | 5485 | 3.6 | 2766 | 1.9 | 76 | 71 | . 0 | 32 | . 0 |
| 36 | 1440 | . 9 | 1950 | 1.3 | 77 | 36 | . 0 | 15 | . 0 |
| 37 | 1114 | . 7 | 1723 | 1.2 | 78 | 72 | . 0 | 45 | . 0 |
| 38 | 1739 | 1.1 | 1742 | 1.2 | 79 | 27 | . 0 | 10 | . 0 |
| 39 | 462 | . 3 | 1456 | 1.0 | 80+ | 1815 | 1.2 | 1538 | 1.0 |
| 40 | 5530 | 3.6 | 2159 | 1.4 | DK/ missing | 0 | . 0 | 4 | . 0 |
| 41 | 384 | . 3 | 1306 | . 9 | Total | 152322 | 100.0 | 149410 | 100.0 |

## Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women

Household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Country, Year


Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women
Household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Country, Year

|  |  | Household population of children age 0-7 | Interviewed children age 0-4 |  | Percentage of eligible children interviewed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Number | Percent |  |
| Age | 0 | 6628 | 5892 | 18.6 | 88.9 |
|  | 1 | 6614 | 6005 | 19.0 | 90.8 |
|  | 2 | 7061 | 6375 | 20.1 | 90.3 |
|  | 3 | 7460 | 6772 | 21.4 | 90.8 |
|  | 4 | 7145 | 6594 | 20.8 | 92.3 |
|  | 5 | 6958 | . |  | . |
|  | 6 | 7139 |  |  | . |
|  | 7 | 8276 |  |  | . |
| 0-4 |  | 34908 | 31637 | 100.0 | 90.6 |

Table DQ.4: Age distribution of under-5 children
Age distribution of under-5 children by 3-month groups (weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age in months | 0-2 | 492 | 3.0 | 429 | 2.8 | 920 | 2.9 |
|  | 3-5 | 700 | 4.3 | 682 | 4.4 | 1382 | 4.4 |
|  | 6-8 | 878 | 5.4 | 778 | 5.1 | 1656 | 5.2 |
|  | 9-11 | 869 | 5.4 | 842 | 5.5 | 1711 | 5.4 |
|  | 12-14 | 703 | 4.3 | 662 | 4.3 | 1365 | 4.3 |
|  | 15-17 | 704 | 4.3 | 680 | 4.4 | 1385 | 4.4 |
|  | 18-20 | 813 | 5.0 | 768 | 5.0 | 1581 | 5.0 |
|  | 21-23 | 889 | 5.5 | 812 | 5.3 | 1701 | 5.4 |
|  | 24-26 | 710 | 4.4 | 697 | 4.5 | 1407 | 4.5 |
|  | 27-29 | 738 | 4.5 | 715 | 4.7 | 1453 | 4.6 |
|  | 30-32 | 878 | 5.4 | 801 | 5.2 | 1679 | 5.3 |
|  | 33-35 | 948 | 5.8 | 833 | 5.4 | 1781 | 5.6 |
|  | 36-38 | 795 | 4.9 | 776 | 5.1 | 1571 | 5.0 |
|  | 39-41 | 769 | 4.7 | 810 | 5.3 | 1579 | 5.0 |
|  | 42-44 | 917 | 5.7 | 892 | 5.8 | 1809 | 5.7 |
|  | 45-47 | 890 | 5.5 | 940 | 6.1 | 1831 | 5.8 |
|  | 48-50 | 753 | 4.6 | 720 | 4.7 | 1473 | 4.7 |
|  | 51-53 | 801 | 4.9 | 715 | 4.7 | 1516 | 4.8 |
|  | 54-56 | 914 | 5.6 | 845 | 5.5 | 1759 | 5.6 |
|  | 57-59 | 1058 | 6.5 | 946 | 6.2 | 2004 | 6.3 |
|  | 20.00 | 0 | . 0 | 1 | . 0 | 1 | . 0 |
|  | 21.00 | 1 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 1 | . 0 |
|  | 22.00 | 3 | . 0 | 0 | . 0 | 3 | . 0 |
| 15-49 |  | 16222 | 100.0 | 15344 | 100.0 | 31566 | 100.0 |

Table DQ.5: Heaping on ages and periods
Age and period ratios at boundaries of eligibility by type of information collected (Household questionnaire, weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Age and period ratios |  | Total |  | Age and period ratios |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  |  | Male | Female |  |
| 1 | . 98 | . 97 | . 98 | 15 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 1.07 |
| 2 | 1.01 | . 99 | 1.00 | 16 | 1.02 | 1.05 | 1.04 |
| 3 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 17 | . 79 | . 86 | . 83 |
| 4 | 1.02 | . 97 | . 99 | 18 | . 90 | . 93 | . 91 |
| 5 | . 97 | 1.00 | . 98 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | . 98 | . 94 | . 96 | 23 | . 72 | . 95 | . 85 |
|  |  |  |  | 24 | . 66 | . 93 | . 81 |
| 8 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 25 | 1.63 | 1.16 | 1.39 |
| 9 | . 83 | . 86 | . 84 |  |  | 1.16 | 1.9 |
| 10 | 1.28 | 1.21 | 1.25 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 48 | 1.69 | 1.10 | 1.31 |
| 13 | . 86 | 1.00 | . 93 | 49 | . 15 | 1.03 | . 48 |
| 14 | . 95 | . 91 | . 93 | 50 | 2.65 | . 78 | 1.93 |

Age in household questionnaire

## Table DQ.5: Heaping on ages and periods

Age and period ratios at boundaries of eligibility by type of information collected (Women's questionnaire, weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Age and period ratios |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Female |
| 23 | .98 |
| 24 | .98 |

Age in women's questionnaire

Table DQ.5: Heaping on ages and periods
Age and period ratios at boundaries of eligibility by type of information collected (Women's questionnaire, weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Age and period ratios |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Female |
| $6-11$ | 1.21 |
| $12-17$ | .88 |
| $18-23$ | 1.11 |
| $24-29$ | .90 |
| $30-35$ | 1.11 |

Months since last birth in women's questionnaire

Table DQ.6: Percentage of observations missing information for selected questions and indicators
(Household questionnaire, weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Percent with missing <br> information | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Salt testing | .1 | 62463 |

Months since last birth in women's questionnaire

Table DQ.6: Table DQ.6: Percentage of observations missing information for selected questions and indicators
(Women's questionnaire, weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Percent with <br> missing <br> information | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Month of birth only | 1.6 | 69860 |
| Month and year of birth | .0 | 69860 |
| Month of last birth only | .0 | 53175 |
| Month and year of last birth | .0 | 53175 |
| Month of first marriage only | 3.0 | 54933 |
| Month and year of first marriage | 52.6 | 54933 |
| Age at first marriage/union | .1 | 54933 |

Table DQ.6: Percentage of observations missing information for selected questions and indicators
(Under-5 questionnaire, weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Percent with <br> missing <br> information | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Month of birth under-5 only | .0 | 31566 |
| Month and year of birth under-5 | .0 | 31566 |

## Table DQ.7: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire

Distribution of children under five by whether the mother lives in the same household, and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire (weighted), Bangladesh, 2006

|  | Mother in the household |  |  |  | Mother not in the household |  |  |  | Total | No. of children aged 0-4 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mother interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Other adult male interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Other adult male interviewed | Child (<15) interviewed |  |  |
| 0 | 99.1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 9 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 6628 |
| 1 | 98.8 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.1 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 6614 |
| 2 | 98.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 7061 |
| 3 | 98.2 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | 1.6 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 7460 |
| 4 | 97.8 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 2.1 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 7145 |
| Total | 98.4 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 34908 |

Table DQ.8: School attendance by single age
Distribution of household population age 5-24 by educational level and grade attended in the current year, Bangladesh, 2006

| Age | Preschool/ kindergarten | Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Higher |  | DK | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { attending } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 11.5 | . 0 | 24.2 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 4.5 | . 0 | 58.7 | 100.0 | 6958 |
| 6 | 10.6 | . 0 | 44.2 | 7.9 | . 9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 6.0 | . 1 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 7139 |
| 7 | 5.4 | . 0 | 41.8 | 25.5 | 5.4 | . 9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 5.3 | . 1 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 8276 |
| 8 | 2.5 | . 0 | 25.5 | 31.0 | 18.9 | 6.1 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 5.8 | . 0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 8014 |
| 9 | 1.4 | . 0 | 12.7 | 22.4 | 25.8 | 17.8 | 6.3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 4.9 | . 1 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 6538 |
| 10 | . 7 | . 0 | 6.4 | 13.3 | 20.9 | 20.0 | 15.1 | . 0 | 5.3 | 1.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 5.1 | . 0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 8685 |
| 11 | . 2 | . 0 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 17.5 | 20.9 | . 0 | 17.5 | 5.2 | . 7 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 4.3 | . 0 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 5685 |
| 12 | . 2 | . 0 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 10.7 | 15.0 | . 0 | 18.9 | 12.4 | 3.6 | . 8 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 1 | 23.3 | 100.0 | 8187 |
| 13 | . 1 | . 0 | . 5 | . 9 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.8 | . 0 | 14.0 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 5.4 | . 9 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 2.6 | . 0 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 6480 |
| 14 | . 1 | . 0 | . 2 | . 5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 4.4 | . 0 | 7.4 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 12.9 | 6.2 | . 6 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.6 | . 0 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 6180 |
| 15 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 2 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.7 | . 0 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 2.2 | . 6 | . 0 | . 0 | 1.1 | . 0 | 50.9 | 100.0 | 7301 |
| 16 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 3 | . 6 | . 0 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 15.4 | 5.3 | 2.6 | . 0 | . 0 | . 6 | . 0 | 60.1 | 100.0 | 7062 |
| 17 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 0 | . 3 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 10.9 | 6.5 | 6.7 | . 0 | 1.0 | . | . 0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 6097 |
| 18 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 0 | . 3 | . 3 | . 9 | 2.0 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 6.8 | . 0 | 2.2 | . 3 | . 0 | 76.5 | 100.0 | 9007 |
| 19 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 3 | . 8 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 6.6 | . 0 | 6.8 | . 2 | . 0 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 4919 |
| 20 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 3.9 | . 0 | 6.4 | . 1 | . 0 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 7740 |
| 21 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 3.4 | . 0 | 9.4 | . 1 | . 0 | 84.1 | 100.0 | 4145 |
| 22 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 2 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.7 | . 0 | 7.5 | . 1 | . 0 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 6292 |
| 23 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 1 | 4 | . 5 | 1.2 | . 0 | 8.2 | . 1 | . 0 | 89.3 | 100.0 | 4206 |
| 24 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 3 | . 4 | . 7 | . 0 | 5.3 | . 0 | . 0 | 93.4 | 100.0 | 4355 |
| Total | 1.8 | . 0 | 9.0 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | . 0 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.7 | . 0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | . 0 | 47.2 | 100.0 | 33265 |

## Table DQ.9: Distribution of women by time since last birth

Distribution of women aged 15-49 years with at least one live birth (weighted), by months since last birth, Bangladesh, 2006

| Months since last |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| birth | Number | Percent |
| :--- |
| 0 |

Figure 4. Number of male household population (Y-axis) by single ages (X-axis) (unweighted), Bangladesh, 2006


Figure $5 \quad$ Number of female household population (Y-axis) by single ages (X-axis) (unweighted), Bangladesh, 2006


Figure HH.1: Age and sex distribution of household population, Bangladesh, 2006


## ANNEX E: MICS INDICATORS: NUMERATORS AND DENOMINATORS

|  | dicator | Numerator | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that were attended during childbirth by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5 | Institutional deliveries | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that delivered in a health facility | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in 2 years preceding the survey |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | Number of household members living in households using improved sources of drinking water | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | Number of household members using improved sanitation facilities | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 13 | Water treatment | Number of household members using water that has been treated | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 14 | Disposal of child's faeces | Number of children under age three whose (last) stools were disposed of safely | Total number of children under age three surveyed |
| 15 | Exclusive breastfeeding rate | Number of infants aged 0-5 months that are exclusively breastfed | Total number of infants aged 0-5 months surveyed |
| 16 | Continued breastfeeding rate | Number of infants aged 12-15 months, and 20-23 months, that are currently breastfeeding | Total number of children aged 12-15 months and 20-23 months surveyed |
| 17 | Timely complementary feeding rate | Number of infants aged 6-9 months that are receiving breastmilk and complementary foods | Total number of infants aged 6-9 months surveyed |
| 18 | Frequency of complementary feeding | Number of infants aged 6-11 months that receive breastmilk and complementary food at least the minimum recommended number of times per day (two times per day for infants aged 6-8 months, three times per day for infants aged 9-11 months) | Total number of infants aged 6-11 months surveyed |
| 19 | Adequately fed infants | Number of infants aged 0-11 months that are appropriately fed: infants aged $0-5$ months that are exclusively breastfed and infants aged $6-11$ months that are breastfed and ate solid or semi-solid foods the appropriate number of times (see above) yesterday | Total number of infants aged 0-11 months surveyed |
| 20 | Antenatal care | Number of women aged 15-49 years that were attended at least once during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 22 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks receiving antibiotics | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 23 | Care-seeking for suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks that are taken to an appropriate health provider | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 24 | Solid fuels | Number of residents in households that use solid fuels (wood, charcoal, crop residues and dung) as the primary source of domestic energy to cook | Total number of residents in households surveyed |
| 25 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving BCG vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 26 | Polio immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving OPV3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |


| Indicator | Numerator | Denominator |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | dicator | Numerator | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | Primary completion rate | Number of children (of any age) attending the last grade of primary school (excluding repeaters) | Total number of children of primary school completion age (age appropriate to final grade of primary school) surveyed |
| 60 | Adult literacy rate | Number of women aged 15-24 years that are able to read a short simple statement about everyday life | Total number of women aged 15-24 years surveyed |
| 61 | Gender parity index | Proportion of girls in primary and secondary education | Proportion of boys in primary and secondary education |
| 62 | Birth registration | Number of children aged 0-59 months whose births are reported registered | Total number of children aged 0-59 months surveyed |
| 67 | Marriage before age 15 and age 18 | Number of women that were first married or in union by the exact age of 15 and the exact age of 18 , by age groups | Total number of women aged 15-49 years and 20-49 years surveyed, by age groups |
| 68 | Young women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union | Number of women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union | Total number of women aged 15-19 years surveyed |
| 69 | Spousal age difference | Number of women married/in union aged 15-19 years and 20-24 years with a difference in age of 10 or more years between them and their current spouse | Total number of women aged 15-19 and $20-24$ years surveyed that are currently married or in union |
| 71 | Child labour | Number of children aged 5-14 years that are involved in child labour | Total number of children aged 5-14 years surveyed |
| 72 | Labourer students | Number of children aged $5-14$ years involved in child labour activities that attend school | Total number of children aged 5-14 years involved in child labour activities |
| 73 | Student labourers | Number of children aged 5-14 years attending school that are involved in child labour activities | Total number of children aged 5-14 years attending school |
| 75 | Prevalence of orphans | Number of children under age 18 with at least one dead parent | Total number of children under age 18 surveyed |
| 77 | School attendance of orphans versus nonorphans | Proportion of double orphans (both mother and father dead) aged 10-14 years attending school | Proportion of children aged 10-14 years, both of whose parents are alive, that are living with at least one parent and are attending school |
| 78 | Children's living arrangements | Number of children aged 0-17 years not living with a biological parent | Total number of children aged 0-17 years surveyed |
| 82 | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | Number of women aged $15-24$ years that correctly identify two ways of avoiding HIV infection and reject three common misconceptions about HIV transmission | Total number of women aged 15-24 years surveyed |
| 89 | Knowledge of mother-tochild transmission of HIV | Number of women that correctly identify all three means of vertical transmission | Total number of women surveyed |
| 93 | Security of tenure | Number of household members living in urban households that lack formal documentation for their residence or that feel at risk of eviction | Number of urban household members in households surveyed |
| 94 | Durability of housing | Number of household members living in urban dwellings that are not considered durable | Number of urban household members in households surveyed |
| 95 | Slum household | Number of household members living in urban slums | Number of household members in urban households surveyed |
|  | Child disability | Number of children aged 2-9 years with at least one of nine reported disabilities: (1) delay in sitting, standing or walking, (2) difficulty seeing, either in the daytime or at night, (3) appears to have difficulty hearing, (4) difficulty in understanding instructions, (5) difficulty walking or moving arms or has weakness or stiffness of limbs, (6) has fits, becomes rigid, loses consciousness, (7) does not learn to do things like other children his/her age, (8) cannot speak or cannot be understood in words, (9) appears mentally backward, dull or slow. | Total number of children aged 2-9 surveyed |

## ANNEX F: SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES

(CONFIDENTIAL)
Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics Monitoring the Situation of Children and Women Project Parisankhyan Bhaban, Agargaon, Dhaka.

## MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY (MICS) 2006 HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE

We Are From Bangladesh Bureau Of Statistics. We Are Working On A Project Concerned With Family Health And Education. I Would Like To Talk To You About This. The Interview Will Take About One Hour. All The Information We Obtain Will Remain Strictly Confidential And Your Answers Will Never Be Identified. During This Time I Would Like To Speak With The Household Head And All Mothers Or Others Who Take Care Of Children In The Household.
MAY I START NOW? If permission is given, begin the interview.

| HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION PANEL | H |
| :---: | :---: |
| HH1. Cluster No. $\quad \square 1$ | HH2. Household number: $\quad \square$ |
| Name: |  |
| HH3. Interviewer name and number: Name: | HH4. Supervisor name and number: $\square$ 1 Name. |
| HH5. Day/Month/Year of interview:/ | $/ 2006$ |
| HH6. Area: | HH7. Region/Division: |
| Rural.................................................. 1 | 1. Barisal..................................... 1 |
| Urban | 2. Chittagong ................................ 2 |
| Urban (Municipality) ............................ 2 | 3. Dhaka..................................... 3 |
| Urban non-slum (Metro) ....................... 3 | 4. Khulna ..................................... 4 |
| Urban slum ....................................... 4 | 5. Rajshahi................................... 5 |
| Tribal .................................................. 5 | 6. Sylhet ...................................... 6 |
| HH 7A. District Code $\quad$ | HH 7B. Sub-district (Upazila) Code $\quad 1$ |

Name:
Name:
HH 8. Name of head of household:
After all questionnaires for the household have been completed, fill in the following information:
HH9. Result of HH interview:

Completed................................................ 1
Not at home ............................................. 2
Refused ................................................... 3
HH not found/destroyed........................... 4
Other (specify) _ 6
HH12. No. of women eligible (15-49) for interview:


HH14. No. of children under age 5:


HH10. Respondent to HH questionnaire: Name:
Line No: $\quad \square$

HH11. Total number of household members:

HH13. No. of women questionnaires (WM) completed:


HH15. No. of under-5 questionnaires (UF) completed: Interviewer/supervisor notes: Use this space to record notes about the interview with this household, such as call-back times, incomplete individual interview forms, number of attempts to re-visit, etc.
HH16. Data entry clerk: Name:
No.


| HO | D LIST | ORM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First <br> List the <br> Then, | tell m of the ho ETHERE stions sta | AME OF EACH in line 01 with HL5 for |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o USUALLY LIVE } \\ & \text { hold members } \\ & \text { N IF THEY ARE } \\ & \text { at a time. Add a } \end{aligned}$ | ES HERE, STA not At hom a continuatio | RTING WITH THE elationship to the Now? (These $n$ sheet if there | HEAD OF THE HO e household head MAY INCLUDE CH re more than 15 |  |  | Heir | sex (HL4). OR AT WORK). <br> rs. Tick ( $v$ ) her | fyes, if con | opple | ete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ting. } \\ & \text { leet used } \square \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | women's Interview | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eligible for: } \\ & \text { CHIDD } \\ & \text { LABOUR } \\ & \text { MODULE } \end{aligned}$ | UNDER-5 INTERVIEW |  |  |  | For children ask HL |  | $17{ }_{12}^{7 e c}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { HL1. } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HL2. } \\ & \text { Name } \end{aligned}$ | HL3. What IS THE relationSHIP OF (name) To THE HEAD OF THE houseноць? | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HL} \\ & \text { Is (nan } \\ & \text { MALE } \\ & \text { FEMAL } \\ & 1 \text { MALE } \\ & 2 \text { FEM. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | HL6. Circle Line no. if woman is age $15-49$ | HL7. <br> For each child age 5-14: Who Is THE MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother caretaker | HL8. <br> For each child under 5: Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no of mother/ caretaker |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HL9. } \\ & \text { ame's) } \\ & \text { RAL } \\ & \text { HER } \\ & =? \\ & \text { S } \\ & \Rightarrow \text { HL } \\ & \Rightarrow \text { HL1 } \\ & \text { CLE TH } \\ & \text { NER) } \end{aligned}$ |  | HL10. <br> If alive: <br> Does <br> (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER LIVE <br> IN THIS <br> HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line <br> по. <br> of mother or 00 for ' $n o$ ' | Is ( $n$ NaTu FATH ALIVE? 1 1 YES 2 NO NE 8 DK NE (CIR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HL11. } \\ & \text { Rme's) } \\ & \text { EAL } \\ & \text { ? } \\ & \text { ? } \\ & \text { KT LINE } \\ & \text { KT LINE } \\ & \text { CLE THE } \\ & \text { ANSWE } \end{aligned}$ |  | HL12. <br> If alive <br> DOES (name's) NATURAL father live IN THIS household? Record Line no. of father or 00 for 'no' |  |  |
| LINE | NAME | REL. | M | F | AGE | 15-49 | MOTHER | MOTHER | Y | N | DK | MOTHER | Y | N | DK | FATHER | Y | N |
| 01 |  | 0 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 01 | 1 | $\perp$ | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 02 |  | $\square$ |  | 2 |  | 02 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 03 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 03 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 04 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 04 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 05 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 05 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | $\perp$ | 1 | 2 |
| 06 |  | $\square$ |  | 2 |  | 06 | $\perp$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | $\square$ | 1 | 2 |
| 07 |  | $\square$ |  | 2 |  | 07 | 1 | $\perp$ | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | $\perp$ | 1 | 2 |
| 08 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 08 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | $\square$ | 1 | 2 |


| HL1. HL2. <br> Line Name <br> no.  | HL3. <br> What is THE RELATIONSHIP OF (name) то the head of the houseHOLD? | HL4. Is (name) MALE OR FEMALE? <br> 1 male <br> 2 FEM. | HL5. <br> How old IS (name)? <br> How old WAS (name) ON HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record in completed years $98=\mathrm{DK}^{*}$ | HL6. Circle Line no. if woman is age 15-49 | HL7. <br> For each child age 5-14: Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL8. <br> For each child under 5: Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL9. <br> Is (name's) NATURAL MOTHER ALIVE? <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO $\Rightarrow$ HL11 <br> 8 DK $\Rightarrow$ HL11 <br> (Circle the <br> Answer) | HL10. If alive: Does (name's) NATURAL MOTHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line no. of mother or 00 for 'no' | HL11. Is (name's) NATURAL FATHER ALIVE? <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NOษ <br> NEXT LINE <br> 8 DK乌 <br> NEXT LINE <br> (Circle the Answer) | HL12. <br> If alive: <br> Does <br> (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> FATHER LIVE IN THIS <br> household? <br> Record Line no. <br> of father or 00 for ' $n$ ' | HL Can CH sw |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09 |  | 1 |  | 09 |  |  | 128 |  | 128 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 10 |  | 1 |  | 10 |  |  | 128 |  | 128 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 11 |  | 1 |  | 11 |  |  | 128 |  | 128 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 12 |  | 1 |  | 12 |  |  | 128 | 1 | 128 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 13 |  | 1 |  | 13 |  |  | 128 |  | 128 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 14 | $\square$ | 1 |  | 14 |  |  | 128 | 1 | 128 | $\square$ | 1 | 2 |
| 15 | $1$ | 1 |  | 15 |  |  | 128 | - | 128 | $\square$ | 1 | 2 |
| Are there any other persons living here - Even if they are not members of your family or do not have parents living in this household? INCLUDING CHILDREN AT WORK OR AT SCHOOL? If yes, insert child's name and complete form. <br> Then, complete the totals below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ 15-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Children } \\ & 5-14 \end{aligned}$ | Under-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See instructions: to be used only for elderly household members (code meaning "do not know/over age 50"). <br> Now for each woman age 15-49 years, write her name and line number and other identifying information in the information panel of the Women's Questionnaire. <br> For each child under age 5, write his/her name and line number AND the line number of his/her mother or caretaker in the information panel of the Questionnaire for Children Un You should now have a separate questionnaire for each eligible woman and each child under five in the household. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { * Codes for HL3: Relationshir } \\ & 01 \text { = Head } \\ & 02 \text { = Wife or Husband } \\ & 03 \text { = Son or Daughter } \\ & 04 \text { = Son or Daughter In-Law } \end{aligned}$ | to head of hour | usehold: 05 | Grandchild Parent Parent-In-Law Brother or Sist |  |  | $09=$ Brother or $10=$ Uncle/Aunt $11=$ Niecee//eph 13 O Other Rela | Sister-In-Law hew By Blood tive |  | 14 = Adopted/F <br> 15 = Not Relat <br> 98 = Don't Kno | oster/Stepchild |  |  |

EDUCATION MODULE














| For household members age 5-24 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DURING THIS/THAT SCHOOL | Type of | DID (name) |
| YEAR, WHICH LEVEL AND | School | ATTEND |
| Grade/Class is/was (name) |  | SCHOOL OR |
| ATTENDING? | 1 Formal | PRESCHOOL |
|  | SCHOOL | AT ANY TIME |
| LEVEL: | 2 NonFORMAL | DURING THE |
| 0 Preschool (Nurseries) | SCHOOL | JANUARY- |
| 1 Primary (I-V) | 3 Formal | DECEMBER, |
| 2 SECONDARY (VI-XII) | 4 MON-Formal | 2005? |
| 3 higher (Degree \& above) | MADRASAH |  |
| 6 NGO/MOSQUE BASED/ADULT LITERACY PROGRAMME |  | 1 YES |
| 8 DK |  | 2 NO § |
|  |  | NEXT LINE |
| Class: |  | 8 DK 凹 |
| 98 DK |  | NEXT LINE |



| WATER AND SANITATION MODULE |  | WS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS1. WHAT IS THE MAIN SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER FOR MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD? | Piped water |  |
|  | Piped into dwelling ........................... 11 | $11 \Rightarrow$ WS5 |
|  | Piped into yard or plot........................ 12 | 12¢WS5 |
|  | Public tap/standpipe .......................... 13 |  |
|  | Tubewell ............................................ 21 |  |
|  | Dug well |  |
|  | Protected well.................................. 31 |  |
|  | Unprotected well............................... 32 |  |
|  | Water from spring |  |
|  | Protected spring ............................... 41 | $\Rightarrow$ WS3 |
|  | Unprotected spring ............................ 42 |  |
|  | Rainwater collection............................. 51 |  |
|  | Surface water (river, stream, dam, lake, pond, canal, irrigation channel). |  |
|  | Bottled water....................................... 91 |  |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 | 96 $\Rightarrow$ WS3 |
| WS2. What is the main source of water used BY YOUR HOUSEHOLD FOR OTHER PURPOSES SUCH AS COOKING AND HANDWASHING? | Piped water |  |
|  | Piped into dwelling ............................ 11 | 11 $\Rightarrow$ WS5 |
|  | Piped into yard or plot........................ 12 | 12¢WS5 |
|  | Public tap/standpipe .......................... 13 |  |
|  | Tubewell ............................................ 21 |  |
|  | Dug well |  |
|  | Protected well.................................. 31 |  |
|  | Unprotected well............................... 32 |  |
|  | Water from spring |  |
|  | Protected spring ............................... 41 |  |
|  | Unprotected spring ............................ 42 |  |
|  | Rainwater collection .............................. 51 |  |
|  | Surface water (river, stream, dam, lake, pond, canal, irrigation channel). |  |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 |  |
| WS3. How long does it take to go there, GET WATER, AND COME BACK? | No. of minutes............................ $\square_{\text {- }}$ |  |
|  | Water on premises .............................. 995 |  |
|  | DK .................................................. 998 | 995 $\Rightarrow$ WS5 |
| WS4. Who usually goes to this source to FETCH THE WATER FOR YOUR HOUSEHOLD? | Adult woman(15 \& above)....................... 1 |  |
|  | Adult man(15 \& above)........................... 2 |  |
|  | Female child (under 15) ......................... 3 |  |
| Probe: | Male child (under 15)............................. 4 |  |
| Is this person under age 15? What sex? |  |  |
| Circle code that best describes this person. | DK .................................................... 8 |  |
| WS5. DO YOU TREAT YOUR WATER IN ANY WAY TO MAKE IT SAFER TO DRINK? | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 2 | 2¢WS6_1 |
|  | DK ..................................................... 8 | 8 $\Rightarrow$ WS6_1 |
| WS6. What do you usually do to the water TO MAKE IT SAFER TO DRINK? | Boil .................................................... A |  |
|  | Add bleach/chlorine............................... B |  |
|  | Strain it through a cloth ..........................C |  |
| Anything else? | Use water filter (ceramic, sand, composite, etc.) |  |
| Record all items mentioned. | Let it stand and settle............................ F |  |
|  | Alum ..................................................G |  |
|  | Other (specify) |  |
|  | DK ..................................................... Z |  |


| WATER AND SANITATION MODULE |  | WS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS 6_1. Have you heared of ARSENIC in Water? | Yes .................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No ..................................................... . 2 | $2 \Rightarrow W S 7$ |
| ws 6_2. WHAT ARE THE PROBLEM OR DISEASES CAUSED BY ARSENIC CONTAMINATION? | Black, white or red spot over the body....... A |  |
|  | Hand and feet become rough to touch....... B |  |
|  | Legs swells up ..................................... C |  |
| (Multiple Response) | Losing the feelings of hands and legs........D |  |
|  | Sore over hand and leg......................... E |  |
|  | Others |  |
|  | Nothing/ don't know............................... Z |  |
| Ws 6_3. Are you doing anything to protect YOURSELF FROM ARSENIC CONTAMINATION? IF YES, WHAT ARE YOU DOING FOR THIS? | Using water from arsenic free TW ............ A |  |
|  | Using boiled pond/river/ canal water.......... B |  |
|  | Using rain water....................................C |  |
|  | Using pond/sand filter water ...................D |  |
| (Multiple Response) | Using SIDKO filter................................. E |  |
|  | Others _X |  |
|  | Nothing/ Don't know.............................. Z |  |
| WS 6_4. IF IT IS TW, WAS IT'S WATER TESTED FOR ARSENIC AND TW MARKED BY ANY COLOR? | Not tested ............................................ 1 |  |
|  | Tested (marked red) .............................. 2 |  |
|  | Tested (marked green) .......................... 3 |  |
| WS7. WHAT KIND OF TOILET FACILITY DO members of your household usually USE? | Flush / pour flush |  |
|  | Flush to piped sewer system ............... 11 |  |
|  | Flush to septic tank ........................... 12 |  |
|  | Flush to pit (latrine)........................... 13 |  |
| If "flush" probe: <br> Where does it flush to? | Flush to somewhere else.................... 14 |  |
|  | Flush to unknown place/not sure/DK where $\qquad$ 15 |  |
| If necessary, ask permission to observe the facility. |  |  |
|  | Pit latrine with slab .............................. 22 |  |
|  | Pit latrine without slab/open pit............... 23 |  |
|  | Bucket................................................ 41 |  |
|  | Hanging toilet/hanging latrine ................ 51 |  |
|  | No facilities or bush or field .................... 95 | 95 $\Rightarrow$ |
|  |  | WS9A. |
| WS8. Do You share this facility with other HOUSEHOLDS? | Other (specify) _ 96 |  |
|  | Yes .................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ WS9A. |
| WS9. How many households in total use this |  |  |
| TOILET FACILITY? | No. of households (if less than 10).... 0 \\| |  |
|  | Ten or more households ....................... 10 |  |
|  | DK .................................................... 98 |  |
| WS9A. HOW DO YOU USUALLY WASH YOUR HAND AFTER OWN OR CHILD'S DEFAECATION? | Only water........................................... 1 |  |
|  | Water and soil...................................... 2 |  |
|  | Water and ash...................................... 3 |  |
|  | Water and soap.................................... 4 |  |
|  | Others __ 6 |  |


| HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS MODULE |  | HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC1A. What is the religion of the head of | Religion 1 Islam ................................. 1 |  |
| THIS HOUSEHOLD? | Religion 2 Hindu.................................. 2 |  |
|  | Religion 3 Christian............................. 3 |  |
|  | Religion 4. Buddhist ............................. 4 |  |
|  | Other religion (specify) __ 6 |  |
|  | No religion........................................... 7 |  |
| HC1c. TO WHAT ETHNIC GROUP DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD BELONG? | Ethnic group 1 Bengali....................... 1 |  |
|  | Ethnic group 2 Chakma ..................... 2 |  |
|  | Ethnic group 3 Saotal ........................ 3 |  |
|  | Ethnic group 4 Marma ....................... 4 |  |
|  | Ethnic group 5 Tripura ....................... 5 |  |
|  | Ethnic group 6 Garo ......................... 7 |  |
|  | Other ethnic group (specify) __ 6 |  |
| HC2. How MANY ROOMS IN THIS HOUSEHOLD ARE USED FOR SLEEPING? | No. of rooms ................................. |  |
| HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor | Natural floor |  |
|  | Earth/sand ...................................... 11 |  |
| Record observation. | Rudimentary floor |  |
|  | Wood planks ................................... 21 |  |
|  | Palm/bamboo.................................. 22 |  |
|  | Finished floor |  |
|  | Polished wood................................. 31 |  |
|  | Ceramic tiles/Mojaic .......................... 33 |  |
|  | Cement .......................................... 34 |  |
|  | Carpet............................................ 35 |  |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 |  |
| HC4. Main material of the roof | Natural roofing |  |
|  | Thatch/ Sod/Leaf .............................. 12 |  |
| Record observation. | Rudimentary Roofing |  |
|  | Rustic mat/Plastic sheet/Polythine ........ 21 |  |
|  | Palm/bamboo.................................. 22 |  |
|  | Finished roofing |  |
|  | Metal............................................. 31 |  |
|  | Wood ............................................. 32 |  |
|  | Ceramic tiles ................................... 34 |  |
|  | Cement.......................................... 35 |  |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 |  |
| HC5. Main material of the walls | Natural walls |  |
|  | Cane/palm/trunks/Leaf/Jute stick/Sod... 12 |  |
| Record observation. | Dirt/Mud .......................................... 13 |  |
|  | Rudimentary walls |  |
|  | Bamboo/Bamboo with mud................. 21 |  |
|  | Stone with mud ................................ 22 |  |
|  | Tin sheet.................................... 25 |  |
|  | Finished walls |  |
|  | Cement/Cement block....................... 31 |  |
|  | Bricks............................................. 33 |  |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 |  |
| HC6. What type of fuel does your HOUSEHOLD MAINLY USE FOR COOKING? | Electricity ............................................ 01 |  |
|  | Liquid Propane Gas (LPG)..................... 02 |  |
|  | Natural gas ........................................ 03 |  |
|  | Biogas................................................ 04 |  |
|  | Kerosene ............................................ 05 |  |
|  | Wood ................................................. 08 |  |
|  | Straw/shrubs/grass .............................. 09 |  |
|  | Animal dung....................................... 10 |  |
|  | Agricultural crop residue........................ 11 |  |
|  | Other (specify) __ 96 |  |



| SECURITY OF TENURE AND DURABILITY OF HOUSING |  | HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC15.HOW MANY YEARS DO YOU LIVE IN THIS PROPERTY/LAND? | Duration of living (In Yrs.) |  |
| (IF LESS THAN ONE YEAR WRITE 00) |  |  |
| HC15A. DO YOU OR SOMEONE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD OWN THIS DWELLING, OR DO YOU RENT THIS DWELLING? | Own .................................................. 1 |  |
|  | Rent.................................................. 2 | 2¢HC15D |
|  | Rent free/squatter/other ........................ 3 | 3¢HC15D |
| HC15b. DO YOU OR SOMEONE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD have a title deed for this dwelling? <br> HC15c. What kind of document do you have FOR THE OWNERSHIP OF THIS DWELLING? | Yes | 1¢HC15F |
|  | No |  |
|  | Certificate of occupation (or adjudication certificate) $\qquad$ |  |
|  | Property tax certification......................... B |  |
| Anything else? | Utility bills...........................................C | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{HC15}$ |
|  | Other (specify) ......................................X |  |
| Record all items mentioned. | None/No document ...............................Y |  |
| HC15d. Do you have a written rental CONTRACT FOR THIS DWELLING? | Yes ............. | $1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 15 \mathrm{~F}$ |
|  | No.. |  |
| HC15E. Do you have any documentation or | Informal agreement (written).................... A |  |
| AGREEMENT FOR THE RENTAL OF THIS DWELLING? | Verbal agreement (no document)..............B |  |
|  | Occupied rent free |  |
| If Yes, What kind of document or agreement | With knowledge of owner....................C |  |
| DO YOU HAVE FOR THE RENTAL OF THISDWELLING? | Without knowledge of owner................ D |  |
|  |  |  |
| Anything else? | None/No document ................................ Y |  |
| Record all items mentioned. |  |  |
| HC15F. Do You feel secure from Eviction | Yes .................................................. 1 |  |
| FROM THIS DWELLING? | No.................................................... 2 |  |
|  | DK .................................................... 8 |  |
| HC15G. Have you been evicted from your | Yes .................................................. 1 |  |
| home at any time during the past 5 YEARS? | No.................................................... 2 |  |
| $\mathrm{HC15H}$. Dwelling located in or near: | Landslide area ....................................A |  |
|  | Flood-prone area .................................. B |  |
| Observe, and circle all items that describe the location of dwelling. | River bank..........................................C |  |
|  | Steep hill............................................D |  |
|  | Garbage mountain/pile..........................E |  |
|  | Industrial pollution area ..........................F |  |
|  | Railroad .............................................G |  |
|  | None of the above................................Y |  |
| HC15I. Condition of dwelling: | Cracks/openings in walls........................A |  |
|  | No windows ........................................ B |  |
| Record observation. | Windows with broken glass/no glass .........C |  |
|  | Visible holes in the roof..........................D |  |
| Record all that apply. | Incomplete roof .......................................E |  |
|  | Insecure door......................................F |  |
|  | Squatter (Jhupri) ...................................G |  |
|  | None of the above................................Y |  |
| HC15J. Dwelling surroundings: | Very narrow passage between houses instead of road $\qquad$ A |  |
| Record observation. | Too many power cables connecting to neighborhood's main distribution post.... B |  |
| Record all that apply. | None of the above................................Y |  |

CHILD LABOUR MODULE

leave rows blank.
CL8.
DURING THE PAST
WEEK, DID (name) DO ANY OTHER FAMILY WORK (ON THE FARM ORIN A GOODS IN THE
 1 YES
2 NO §
NEXT LINE

 CL7.
If yes:
SINCE LAST
(day of the week),
ABOUT HOW MANY
HOURS DID HE/SHE
SPEND DOING
THESE CHORES? CL6.
DURING THE PAST
WEEK, DID (name) HELP WITH
CHORES
SUCH AS SHOPPING,
COLLECTING COLLECTING
FETCHING WATER, OR CARING FOR 1 YES
$2 \mathrm{NO} \Rightarrow$ TO CL8

$$
4{ }^{2}-
$$

NO
2
$\stackrel{\text { ๗ }}{\sim}$ THE PAST YEAR, DID (name) DO ANY KIND OF WORK FOR SOMEONE
WHO IS NOT A MEMBER If yes: FOR PAY IN CASH OR KIND?
1 YES, FOR PAY 1 YES, FOR PAY
(CASH OR KIND)
2 YES, UNPAID
 Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ABOUT ANY WORK CHILDREN IN THIS HOUSEHOLD MAY ABOURS DID HE/SHE DO THIS WORK FOR SOMEONE WHO IS NOT A MEMBER OF
THIS HOUSEHOLD? If more than one job, include all
hours at all jobs. Record response then $\Rightarrow$ CL. 6

¿aNIy yo
HSVO NI AVd yos :Sa^fI OR KIND?
1 YES, FOR PAY
(CASH OR KIND) (CASH OR KIND)
2 YES, UNPAID
3 NO $\Rightarrow$ TO CL5


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CL4. } \\
& \text { If yes: } \\
& \text { SINCE LAST } \\
& \text { (day of the week), } \\
& \text { ABOUT HOW MANY }
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\begin{array}{cc}\text { CL1. } & \text { CL2. } \\ \text { Line } & \text { Name } \\ \text { no. } & \end{array}$
SALT IODIZATION MODULE ..... SISI1. We would like to check whether the
SALT USED IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IS IODIZED Not iodized ..... 1
MAY I SEE A SAMPLE OF THE SALT USED TO lodized salt ..... 4
COOK THE MAIN MEAL EATEN BY MEMBERS OF No salt in home ..... 6
YOUR HOUSEHOLD LAST NIGHT? Salt not tested ..... 7
Once you have examined the salt, circle number that corresponds to test outcome.
SI2. Does any eligible woman age 15-49 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL6.You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible woman.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN to administer the questionnaire to the first eligible woman.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue (S13)
SI3. Does any child under the age of 5 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL8. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible child.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE
to administer the questionnaire to caretaker of the first eligible child.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview by thanking the respondent for his/her cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviews completed on the cover page (HH12-15).

## 兆MICS

## MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY (MICS) 2006, BBS

WOMEN'S QUESTIONNAIRE

WM13. Check WM11:
$\square$ Secondary or higher. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module
$\square$ Primary or non-standard curriculum. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with WM14
WM14. Now I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS Cannot read at all...................................... 1
SENTENCE TO ME.
Show sentences to respondent.
If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe:
Can you read part of the sentence to me?
Example sentences for literacy test:
Able to read only parts of sentence ........... 2
Able to read whole sentence ...................... 3
No sentence in
required language
(specify language)
Blind/mute, visually/speech impaired......... 5
1. Always speak the truth.
2. It is raining.
3. I go to school.
4. Birds chirping.
5. I drinkTtubewell water.
Check WM9A:
1(Unmarried) $\Rightarrow$ Go to HIV Module
ELSE $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CMI

| TETANUS TOXOID (TT) MODULE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | TT


| MATERNAL AND NEWBORN HEALTH MODULE |  |  | MN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| MN1. IN THE FIRST 42 DAYS AFTER YOUR LAST BIRTH [THE BIRTH OF name], DID YOU RECEIVE a Vitamin A dose like this? | Yes |  |  |
|  | No. |  |  |
|  | DK |  |  |
| Show 200,000 IU capsuler. |  |  |  |
| MN2. Did you see anyone for antenatal care FOR THIS PREGNANCY? | Health professional: |  |  |
|  | Doctor. |  |  |
|  | Nurse/midwife |  |  |
| If yes: Whom did you see? Anyone else? | Other person |  |  |
|  | Traditional birth attendant...... |  |  |
| Probe for the type of person seen and circle all answers given. | Community health worker............. | ....G |  |
|  | Relative/friend ............ |  |  |
|  | Other (specify) | X |  |
|  | No one........................................ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Y $\Rightarrow$ MN7 |
| MN3. As part of your antenatal care, were |  |  |  |
| ANY OF THE FOLLOWING DONE AT LEAST |  |  |  |
| ONCE? | Yes | No |  |
| MN3A. Were you weighed? | Weight ....................................... 1 | 2 |  |
| MN3b. Was Your blood pressure measured? | Blood pressure............................. 1 | 2 |  |
| MN3C. DID You give a URINE SAMPLE? | Urine sample............................... 1 | 2 |  |
| MN3D. Did you give a blood sample? | Blood sample .............................. 1 | 2 |  |
| MN4. DURING ANY OF THE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR | Yes. | .. 1 |  |
| THE PREGNANCY, WERE YOU GIVEN ANY | No. | 2 |  |
| INFORMATION OR COUNSELED ABOUT AIDS OR the AIDS virus? | DK |  |  |
| MN7. WHO ASSISTED WITH THE DELIVERY OFYOUR LAST CHILD (name)? | Health professional: |  |  |
|  | Doctor | A |  |
|  | Nurse/midwife.. |  |  |
| Anyone else? | Other person |  |  |
|  | Traditional birth attendant.. | $\ldots$ |  |
| Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given. | Community health worker ... |  |  |
|  | Relative/friend.. |  |  |
|  | Other (specify) | X |  |
|  | No one. |  |  |
| MN8. Where did you Give birth to (name)? | Home |  |  |
|  | Your home | . 11 |  |
|  | Other home. | . 12 |  |
| If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | Public sector |  |  |
|  | Govt. hospital | . 21 |  |
|  | Govt. clinic/health center | . 22 |  |
|  | Other public (specify) | 26 |  |
|  | Private Medical Sector |  |  |
|  | Private hospital......... | . 31 |  |
| (Name of place) | Private clinic.. | . 32 |  |
|  | Private maternity home. | . 33 |  |
|  | Other private medical (specify) | 36 |  |
|  | Other (specify) | 96 |  |
|  | Very large ................... | .... 1 |  |
| MN9. WHEN YOUR LAST CHILD (name) WAS BORN, WAS HE/SHE VERY LARGE, LARGER THAN | Larger than average. | . 2 |  |
| AVERAGE, AVERAGE, SMALLER THAN AVERAGE, | Average. |  |  |
| OR VERY SMALL? | Smaller than average | .. 4 |  |
|  | Very small.............. | .. 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| MN10. WAS (name) WEIGHED AT BIRTH? | Yes. | .... 1 |  |
|  | No.. |  | $2 \Rightarrow$ MN12 |
|  | DK.. |  | 8 $¢ \mathrm{MN} 12$ |


| MN11. How much did (name) WEIGH? | From card ..... 1 (kg).......... . $\square^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record weight from health card, if available. | 2 (lb). |  |
|  | From recall.... 3 (kg)........... $\quad 1$ |  |
|  | 4 (lb)........... $\square 1$ |  |
|  | DK ..............8.... ........... 99998 |  |
| MN12. Did you ever breastreed (name)? | Yes .................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ MN14 |
| MN13. How LoNG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST PUT (name) TO THE BREAST? | Immediately ........................... 0 00 |  |
|  | Hours.................................... 1 |  |
| If less than 1 hour, record '00' hours. | or |  |
| If less than 24 hours, record hours. | Days .................................... 2 |  |
| Otherwise, record days. | Don't know/remember 8 98 |  |
| MN14. How LONG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST BATHE YOUR BABY (name)? | With in 24 Hours ..................... 1 |  |
| If less than 24 hours, record hours. Otherwise, record days. | 1-3 Days ............................... 2 |  |
|  | More than 3 days ..................... 3 |  |
|  | Not bathed ............................. 4 |  |
|  | Don't know/remember ............... 8 98 |  |
| MARRIAGE MODULE |  | MA |
| MA1. Are you currently married? | Yes .............................................. 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 3 | $3 \Rightarrow$ MA5 |
| MA2. HOW OLD WAS YOUR HUSBAND ON HIS LAST BIRTHDAY? | Age in years................................. |  |
|  | DK ................................................... 98 |  |
| MA5. HAVE YOU BEEN MARRIED ONLY ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Only once ........................................... 1 |  |
|  | More than once.................................... 2 |  |
| MA6. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY? | Month ...................................... |  |
|  | DK month............................................ 98 |  |
|  | Year............................ $\quad$ \| | | |  |
|  | DK year.......................................... 9998 |  |
| MA8. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU STARTED LIVING WITH YOUR FIRST HUSBAND? | Age in years.. |  |


| HIV\& AIDS MODULE |  |  |  | HA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. | Yes |  |  |  |
| Have you ever heard of the virus HIV or an illness called AIDS? | No..................................................... 2 |  |  | $2 \Rightarrow \text { NEXT }$ <br> MODULE |
| HA2. CAN PEOPLE PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM | Yes $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| GEtting infected with the Hiv virus by | No........................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
| HAVING ONE SEX PARTNER WHO IS NOT INFECTED AND ALSO HAS NO OTHER PARTNERS? | DK .................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| ha3. Can people get infected with the hiv | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |  |  |
| VIRUS BECAUSE OF MAGIC OR OTHER | No...................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
| SUPERNATURAL MEANS? | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| HA4. Can people reduce their chance of | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |  |  |
| getting the Hiv virus by using a condom | No..................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
| EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| HA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE HIV VIRUS FROM | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |  |  |
| MOSQUITO BITES? | No.................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
|  | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| HA7. Can people get the Hiv virus by | Yes .................................................... 1 |  |  |  |
| SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS | No..................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
| AIDS? | DK .................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| HA7A. Can people get the Hiv virus by | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |  |  |
| GETting injections With a needie that | No..................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
| WAS ALREADY USED BY SOMEONE ELSE? | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| HA8. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |  |  |
| Person to have the Hiv virus? | No..................................................... 2 |  |  |  |
|  | DK ................................................... 8 |  |  |  |
| HA9. Can the HIV virus be transmitted from A MOTHER TO A BABY? |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| HA9A. DURING PREGNANCY? | During pregnancy................... 1 | 2 |  |  |
| HA9b. DURING DELIVERY? | During delivery...................... 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| HA9c. By BREASTFEEDING? | By breastfeeding .................... 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| Follow instructions in your Interviewer's Manual. |  |  |  |  |
| HA10. Does any other eligible woman age 15-49 Reside in the household? Check household listing, column HL6. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel FILLED IN FOR NEXT ELIGIBLE WOMAN. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| YES. $\Rightarrow$ Gо то QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN to ADMINISTER THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO THE NEXT ELIGIBLE WOMAN. |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue (HA11) |  |  |  |  |
| HA11. Does any child under the age of 5 reside in the household? Check household listing, column HL8. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible child. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to administer the questionnaire to caretaker of the first eligible child. |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview by thanking the respondent for his/her cooperation. |  |  |  |  |
| Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviews completed on the cover page. |  |  |  |  |

Follow instructions in your Interviewer's Manual.

HA10. Does any other eligible woman age 15-49 Reside in the household? Check household listing, column HL6. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel FILLED IN FOR NEXT ELIGIBLE WOMAN.
$\square$ YES. $\Rightarrow$ Go TO QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ CONTINUE (HA11)
HA11. Does any child under the age of 5 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL8. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible child.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE
to administer the questionnaire to caretaker of the first eligible child.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview by thanking the respondent for his/her cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviews completed on the cover page.

##  QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE



If permission is given, begin the interview. If the respondent does not agree to continue, thank him/her and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

UF10. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HEALTH OF EACH CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 5 IN YOUR CARE, WHO LIVES WITH YOU NOW. NOW I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT (name). IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN?
Probe:
WHAT IS HIS/HER BIRTHDAY?
If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day.
UF11. How OLD WAS (name) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY?
Record age in completed years and complete months. Prove: age/date of birth checking in the field for consistency.


$\left.\begin{array}{|lll}\hline \text { BIRTH REGISTRATION AND EARLY } & \text { LEARNING MODULE } \\ \text { BR10. } & \text { Give warm and responsive care ................. A }\end{array}\right] \quad$ BR

| BREASTFEEDING MODULE |  | BF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF1. Has (name) EVER been breastfed | Yes .................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow B F 3$ |
|  | DK .................................................... 8 | $8 \Rightarrow B F 3$ |
| BFA. Check age of child in UF11: Child is 0-23 months? |  |  |
| $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with BF1A |  |  |
| $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to BF2 |  |  |
| BF1A. Yes .................................................. 1 |  |  |
| DID You Give honey /SUGAR WATER/ MAStered oil | No.................................................... 2 |  |
| ETC TO YOUR CHILD (name) IMMEDIATELY AFTER BIRTH? | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |
| BF1B. |  |  |
| HOW SOON AFTER THE BIRTH DID YOU BEGIN Immediately 00 |  |  |
| BREAST FEEDING YOUR CHILD (name)? | Immediately ........................... 0 0 00 |  |
|  | Hours................................... 1 ¢ |  |
|  | or |  |
|  | Days ..................................... 2 |  |
|  | Don't know/remember 8......... 98 |  |
| BF2. IS HE/SHE STILL BEING BREASTFED? | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 2 |  |
|  | DK .................................................... 8 |  |
| BF3. Since this time yesterday, did he/she RECEIVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Read each item aloud and record response |  |  |
| before proceeding to the next item. Y N DK |  |  |
| MEDICINE? |  |  |
| BF3B. PLAIN WATER? | B. Plain water............................... 128 |  |
| BF3C. SWEETENED, FLAVOURED WATER OR FRUIT JUICE OR TEA OR INFUSION? | C. Sweetened water or juice............ 128 |  |
| BF3D. ORAL REHYDRATION SOLUTION (ORS)? | D. ORS ...................................... 128 |  |
| BF3E. INFANT FORMULA? | E. Infant formula........................... 128 |  |
| BF3F. TINNED, POWDERED OR FRESH MILK? | F. Milk........................................ 128 |  |
| BF3G. ANY OTHER LIQUIDS? | G. Other liquids ............................ 128 |  |
| BF3H. SOLID OR SEMI-SOLID (MUSHY) FOOD? | H. Solid or semi-solid food............... 128 |  |
| BF4. Check BF3H: Child received solid or semi-solid (mushy) food? |  |  |
| $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with BF5 |  |  |
| BF5. SINCE THIS TIME YESTERDAY, HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) EAT SOLID, SEMISOLID, OR SOFT FOODS OTHER THAN LIQUIDS? | No. of times. $\qquad$ <br> Don't know $\qquad$ |  |
| If 7 or more times, record ' 7 '. |  |  |


| CARE OF ILLNESS MODULE |  | CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA1. HAS (name) had diarrhoea in the last tWO WEEKS, THAT IS, SINCE (day of the week) OF THE WEEK bEFORE LAST? | Yes |  |
|  | No... | $2 \Rightarrow C A 5$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | DK ...................................................... 8 | 8¢CA5 |
| Diarrhoea is determined as perceived by mother or caretaker, or as three or more loose or watery stools per day, or blood in stool. |  |  |
| CA2. DURING THIS LAST EPISODE OF DIARRHOEA, DID (name) DRINK ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: |  |  |
| Read each item aloud and record response before proceeding to the next item. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| CA2A. A FLUID MADE FROM A SPECIAL PACKET CALLED (local name for ORS packet solution)? | A. Fluid from ORS packet............... 128 |  |
| CA2b. Salt-Sugar-Water fluid | B. Salt-Sugar-Water fluid................ 128 |  |
| CA2C. A PRE-PACKAGED ORS FLUID FOR DIARRHOEA? |  |  |
|  | C. Pre-packaged ORS fluid ............. 128 |  |
| CA3. DURING (name's) ILLNESS, DID HE/SHE DRINK much less, About the same, or more than USUAL? | Much less or none................................. 1 |  |
|  | About the same (or somewhat less).......... 2 |  |
|  | More ................................................... 3 |  |
| CA4. During (name's) ILLNESS, DID HE/She EAT LESS, ABOUT THE SAME, OR MORE FOOD THAN USUAL? | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |
|  | None................................................ 1 |  |
|  | Much less ........................................... 2 |  |
|  | Somewhat less.................................... 3 |  |
|  | About the same................................... 4 |  |
| If "less", probe: MUCH LESS OR A LITTLE LESS? | More .................................................... 5 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | DK ................................................... 8 |  |
| CA5. HAS (name) had an illness with a cough | Yes................................................... 1 |  |
| AT ANY TIME IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, THAT IS, | No....................................................... 2 | 2¢CA12 |
| SINCE (day of the week) OF THE WEEK before LAST? | DK ................................................... 8 | $8 \Rightarrow C A 12$ |
| CA6. When (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A | Yes .................................................. 1 |  |
| COUGH, DID HE/SHE BREATHE FASTER THAN | No........................................................ 2 | 2¢CA12 |
| USUAL WITH SHORT, QUICK BREATHS OR HAVE |  |  |
| DIFFICULTY BREATHING? | DK .................................................... 8 | 8¢CA12 |
| CA7. Were the symptoms due to a problem in THE CHEST OR A BLOCKED NOSE? | Problem in chest ................................... 1 |  |
|  | Blocked nose ......................................... 2 | 2¢CA12 |
| CA8. Did you seek advice or treatment for the illness outside the home? | Both................................................. 3 |  |
|  | Other (specify) $\qquad$ 6 | $6 \Rightarrow C A 12$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | No.................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow C A 10$ |
|  | DK ................................................... 8 | $8 \Rightarrow$ CA10 |


| CA9. FROM WHERE DID YOU SEEK CARE? | Public sector |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt. hospital/ health centre ................. A |
| Anywhere else? | Health worker/HA .............................. D |
|  | Mobile/outreach clinic.........................E |
| Circle all providers mentioned, | Other public (specify) __ H |
| but do NOT prompt with any suggestions. |  |
|  | Private medical sector |
| If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | Private hospital/clinic.......................... I |
|  | Private physician ................................. J |
|  | Private pharmacy ............................. K |
|  | Other private |
|  | Other source |
|  | Relative or friend ................................P |
| (Name of place) | Shop .............................................Q |
|  | Traditional practitioner ........................R |
|  | NGO Hospital/ Clinic .......................... S |
| CA10. WAS (name) GIVEN MEDICINE TO TREAT THIS ILLNESS? | Other (specify) _ X |
|  | Yes .................................................... 1 |
|  | No..................................................... 2 |
| CA11. What medicine was (name) GIVEN? | DK ..................................................... 8 |
|  | Amoxocilin/Sefrocilin/Cafixin.................... A |
|  | Paracetamol/Panadol/Acetaminophen....... P |
| Circle all medicines given. | Aspirin................................................Q |
|  | Ibuprofen .............................................R |
|  | Other (specify) $\qquad$ <br> DK X |
| CA12. Check UF11: Child aged under 3?Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA13No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA14 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| CA13. The last time (name) PASSED stools, WHAT WAS DONE TO DISPOSE OF THE STOOLS? | Child used toilet/latrine .......................... 01 |
|  | Put/rinsed into toilet or latrine .................. 02 |
|  | Put/rinsed into drain or ditch................... 03 |
|  | Thrown into garbage (solid waste)........... 04 |
|  | Buried ................................................ 05 |
|  | Left in the open .................................... 06 |
|  | Other (specify) 96 DK ...................................................... 98 |
| Ask the following question (CA14) only once for each caretaker. | Child not able to drink or breastfeed.......... A |
|  | Child becomes sicker.............................B |
| CA14. Sometimes children have severe | Child develops a fever...........................C |
| ILLNESSES AND SHOULD BE TAKEN | Child has fast breathing..........................D |
| IMMEDIATELY TO A HEALTH FACILITY. | Child has difficult breathing ....................E E |
| WHAT TYPES OF SYMPTOMS WOULD CAUSE | Child has blood in stool .......................... F |
| FACILITY RIGHT AWAY? | Child is drinking poorly ...........................G |
|  | Animal Bite.......................................... H |
| Keep asking for more signs or symptoms until the caretaker cannot recall any additional symptoms. | Snake Bite ............................................ I |
|  | Drowning (sink in pond/river/canal/lake |
| Circle all symptoms mentioned, | water) .......................................................... |
| But do NOT prompt with any suggestions. | Others (Specify).................................. X |

IMMUNIZATION MODULE
If an immunization card is available, copy the dates in IM2-IM8 for each type of immunization or vitamin A dose recorded on the card. IM10-IM17 are for recording vaccinations that are not recorded on the card. IM10-IM17 will only be asked when a card is not available.
IM1. IS THERE A VACCINATION CARD FOR (name)? Yes, seen
Yes, not seen............................................ 2 2 2 IM10
No............................................................. 3 3 3 IM10
(a) Copy dates for each vaccination from the card.
(b) Write ' 44 ' in day column if card shows that vaccination was given but no date recorded.

| IM2. BCG | BCG |
| :--- | :--- |
| IM4A. DPT1 | DPT1 |
| IM4B. DPT2 | DPT2 |
| IM4c. DPT3 | DPT3 |
| IM21A HEPATITIS B1 | HEPB1 |
| IM21b HEPATITIS B2 | HEPB2 |
| IM21c HEPATITIS B3 | HEPB3 |
| IM3A. Polio AT BIRTH | OPV0 |
| IM3B. Polio 1 | OPV1 |
| IM3c. Polio 2 | OPV2 |
| IM3D. Polio 3 | OPV3 |
| IM3E. Polio 4 | OPV4 |
| IM6. MEASLES (OR MMR) | MEASLES |
| IM8A. VITAMIN A (1) | VITA1 |
| IM8B. VITAMIN A (2) | VITA2 |

IM9. In AdDITION TO THE VACCINATIONS AND VITAMIN A CAPSULES SHOWN ON THIS CARD, DID (name) RECEIVE ANY OTHER VACCINATIONS - INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN CAMPAIGNS OR IMMUNIZATION DAYS?
Record 'Yes' only if respondent mentions $B C G$,
DPT 1-3, Hepatitis B1-3, OPV 0-4, Measles or Vitamin A supplements.
IM10. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY
VACCINATIONS TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM VACCINATIONS TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM RECEIVED IN A CAMPAIGN OR IMMUNIZATION Yes Date of Immunization

```
IM13. How OLD WAS HE/SHE WHEN THE FIRST
    POLIO DOSE WAS GIVEN - JUST AFTER BIRTH Just after birth (within two weeks).............
    (WITHIN TWO WEEKS) OR LATER?
Later
2
IM14. How many times has he/she been given
    THESE POLIO DROPS?
IM15. Has (name) EVER beEN GIVEN "DPT
    VACCINATION INJECTIONS" - THAT IS, AN
    INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS?
    (SOMETIMES GIVEN AT THE SAME TIME AS
    POLIO)
IM16. HOW MANY TIMES?
IM17. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN "MEASLES
    VACCINATION INJECTIONS" SHOT IN THE ARM
    AT THE AGE OF 9 MONTHS OR OLDER?
IM19. PleASE TELL ME IF (name) HAS
    PARTICIPATED IN ANY OF THE FOLLOWING
    CAMPAIGNS, NATIONAL IMMUNIZATION DAYS
    AND/OR VITAMIN A OR CHILD HEALTH DAYS:
IM19A. 18/01/2004 AND 29/02/2004 (NID)
A. NID
                Y N DK
B. VITA-A
                                12
                                8
IM19B. 22/12/2005, VITAMIN -A CAMPAIGN
D. MEASLES
-8
IM19D. 15/02/2006 TO 15/03/2006, MEASLES
1 2 
CAMPAIGN
```

No. of times..................................... $\square$
Yes ............................................................ 1
No............................................................ 2 2 2 IM17
DK ............................................................ 8 8 8 IM17

No. of times.
Yes
$\square$

No.............................................................. 2
1

DK 8

```
IM19. Please tell me if (name) has PARTICIPATED IN ANY OF THE FOLLOWING CAMPAIGNS, NATIONAL IMMUNIZATION DAYS and/or vitamin A or child health days:
IM19B. 22/12/2005, VITAMIN -A CAMPAIGN
D. MEASLES 8
IM20. Does another eligible child reside in the household for whom this respondent is mother/caretaker? Check household listing, column HL8.
\(\square\) Yes. \(\Rightarrow\) End the current questionnaire and then
Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to administer the questionnaire for the next eligible child.
\(\square\) No. \(\Rightarrow\) End the interview with this respondent by thanking him/her for his/her cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviewers completed on the cover page (HH12-15)
```


## ANNEX G: PRIMARY SAMPLING UNITS BY DISTRICT

| DISTRICT | No. |
| :--- | :---: |
| BAGERHAT | 26 |
| BANDARBAN | 29 |
| BARGUNA | 26 |
| BARISAL | 52 |
| BHOLA | 26 |
| BOGRA | 26 |
| BRAHMANBARIA | 26 |
| CHANDPUR | 26 |
| CHITTAGONG | 78 |
| CHUADANGA | 26 |
| COMILLA | 26 |
| COX'S BAZAR | 26 |
| DHAKA | 78 |
| DINAJPUR | 29 |
| FARIDPUR | 26 |
| FENI | 26 |
| GAIBANDHA | 28 |
| GAZIPUR | 26 |
| GOPALGANJ | 26 |
| HABIGANJ | 26 |
| JAMALPUR | 26 |
| JESSORE | 26 |
| JHALOKATI | 26 |
| JHENAIDAH | 26 |
| JOYPURHAT | 26 |
| KHAGRACHHARI | 26 |
| KHULNA | 26 |
| KISHORGONJ | 26 |
| KURIGRAM | 26 |
| KUSHTIA | 26 |
| LAKSHMIPUR | 26 |
| LALMONIRHAT | 2 |
|  | 2 |


| DISTRICT | No. |
| :---: | :---: |
| MADARIPUR | 26 |
| MAGURA | 26 |
| MANIKGANJ | 26 |
| MAULVIBAZAR | 26 |
| MEHERPUR | 26 |
| MUNSHIGANJ | 26 |
| MYMENSINGH | 37 |
| NAOGAON | 40 |
| NARAIL | 26 |
| NARAYANGANJ | 26 |
| NARSINGDI | 26 |
| NATORE | 26 |
| NAWABGANJ | 27 |
| NETRAKONA | 35 |
| NILPHAMARI | 26 |
| NOAKHALI | 26 |
| PABNA | 26 |
| PANCHAGARH | 26 |
| PATUAKHALI | 26 |
| PIROJPUR | 26 |
| RAJBARI | 26 |
| RAJSHAHI | 55 |
| RANGAMATI | 37 |
| RANGPUR | 28 |
| SATKHIRA | 26 |
| SHARIATPUR | 26 |
| SHERPUR | 28 |
| SIRAJGANJ | 26 |
| SUNAMGANJ | 26 |
| SYLHET | 52 |
| TANGAIL | 30 |
| THAKURGAON | 26 |
| Total | 1950 |

# ANNEX H: MEMBERSHIP OF THE MICS 2006 TECHNICAL COMMITTEE 

Director General, BBS<br>Joint Secretary (Statistics), Planning Division<br>Joint Secretary (United Nations), Economics Relations Division, Ministry of Finance<br>Deputy Director General, BBS<br>Joint Chief, Planning Commission<br>Director General, Education and Social Sector, Implementation, Monitoring \& Evaluation<br>Division (IMED), Ministry of Planning<br>Director General, Primary Education<br>Director General, Department of Women Affairs<br>Chief Engineer, Institute of Public Health and Engineering<br>Deputy Secretary (Development), Statistics Wing, Planning Division<br>Director, Primary Health Care (PHC), Ministry of Health \& Family Welfare<br>Director, Institute of Public Health and Nutrition (IPHN)<br>Director, Institute of Statistical Research and Training (ISRT), The University of Dhaka<br>Director, Demography and Health Wing, BBS<br>Project Director, Sample Vital Registration System Project, BBS<br>Ex-Project Director, Monitoring Situation of Children \& Women, BBS<br>Project Director, Monitoring Situation of Children and Women Project, BBS<br>Country Director, Helen Keller International (HKI)<br>Planning Officer, Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Section, UNICEF<br>Nutrition Specialist, Health \& Nutrition Section, UNICEF
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Bangladesh


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Dependency ratio is defined as the ratio of the population aged $0-14$ years and $65+$ to the population aged $15-64$ years.

[^1]:    * An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

    Note: DK= Doesn't know

[^2]:    * An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Unless otherwise stated, 'education' refers to the educational level attended by the respondent (when it is used as a background variable).
    5 A principal components analysis was performed by using information on the ownership of household goods and amenities (assets) to assign weights to each household asset and thus obtain wealth scores for each household in the sample. The assets and other facilities used in these calculations were as follows: persons per sleeping room, type of floor, roof, wall, cooking fuel; source of drinking water and sanitary facility; items requiring electricity connection such as radio, television, mobile telephone, non-mobile phone, refrigerator, electric fan, computer, washing machine and air conditioner; watches, bicycle, motorcycle/scooter, animal-drawn cart, car/truck, boat with motor, sofa and rickshaw/van). Each household was then weighted by the number of household members, and the household population was divided into five groups of equal size, from the poorest quintile to the richest quintile, based on the wealth scores of households they were living in. The wealth index is assumed to capture the underlying long-term wealth through information on the household assets, and is intended to produce a ranking of households by wealth, from poorest to richest. The wealth index does not provide information on absolute poverty, current income or expenditure levels. The wealth scores calculated are applicable for only the particular data set they are based on. Further information on the construction of the wealth index can be found in Rutstein and Johnson, 2004, and Filmer and Pritchett, 2001.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Measurement of iodization is at 10 ppm .

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Measururement of iodization is at 10 ppm

[^6]:    * MICS indicator $34{ }^{* *}$ MICS indicator 35

    An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

[^7]:    An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

[^8]:    An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

[^9]:    Background characteristics

[^10]:    *MICS Indicator 95; MDG Indicator 32
    An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

[^11]:    * MICS Indicator 62

[^12]:    * MICS Indicator 101

[^13]:    An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

[^14]:    An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been supressed.

